Observation of a new $B_s^0\pi^\pm$ state

V.M. Abazov,[31] B. Abbott,[67] B.S. Acharya,[25] M. Adams,[46] T. Adams,[44] J.P. Agnew,[41] G.D. Alexeev,[31]
G. Alkhazov,[35] A. Alton[a],[56] A. Askew,[44] S. Atkins,[54] K. Augsten,[7] V. Aushev,[38] Y. Aushev,[38] C. Avila,[5]
F. Badaud,[10] L. Bagby,[45] B. Baldin,[45] D.V. Bandurin,[74] S. Banerjee,[25] E. Barberis,[55] P. Baringer,[53] J.F. Bartlett,[45]
U. Bassler,[15] V. Bazterra,[46] A. Bean,[53] M. Begalli,[2] L. Bellantoni,[45] S.B. Beri,[23] G. Bernardi,[14] R. Bernhard,[19]
I. Bertram,[39] M. Besançon,[15] R. Beuselinck,[40] P.C. Bhat,[45] S. Bhatia,[58] V. Bhatnagar,[23] G. Blazey,[47] S. Blessing,[44]
K. Bloom,[59] A. Boehnlein,[45] D. Boline,[64] E.E. Boos,[33] G. Borissov,[39] M. Borysova[l],[38] A. Brandt,[71] O. Brandt,[20]
M. Brochmann,[75] R. Brock,[57] A. Bross,[45] D. Brown,[14] X.B. Bu,[45] M. Buehler,[45] V. Buescher,[21] V. Bunichev,[33]
S. Burdin[b],[39] C.P. Buszello,[37] E. Camacho-Pérez,[28] B.C.K. Casey,[45] H. Castilla-Valdez,[28] S. Caughron,[57]
S. Chakrabarti,[64] K.M. Chan,[51] A. Chandra,[73] E. Chapon,[15] G. Chen,[53] S.W. Cho,[27] S. Choi,[27] B. Choudhary,[24]
S. Cihangir[‡],[45] D. Claes,[59] J. Clutter,[53] M. Cooke[k],[45] W.E. Cooper,[45] M. Corcoran,[73] F. Couderc,[15]
M.-C. Cousinou,[12] J. Cuth,[21] D. Cutts,[70] A. Das,[72] G. Davies,[40] S.J. de Jong,[29, 30] E. De La Cruz-Burelo,[28]
F. Déliot,[15] R. Demina,[63] D. Denisov,[45] S.P. Denisov,[34] S. Desai,[45] C. Deterre[c],[41] K. DeVaughan,[59] H.T. Diehl,[45]
M. Diesburg,[45] P.F. Ding,[41] A. Dominguez,[59] A. Drutskoy[p],[32] A. Dubey,[24] L.V. Dudko,[33] A. Duperrin,[12] S. Dutt,[23]
M. Eads,[47] D. Edmunds,[57] J. Ellison,[43] V.D. Elvira,[45] Y. Enari,[14] H. Evans,[49] A. Evdokimov,[46] V.N. Evdokimov,[34]
A. Fauré,[15] L. Feng,[47] T. Ferbel,[63] F. Fiedler,[21] F. Filthaut,[29, 30] W. Fisher,[57] H.E. Fisk,[45] M. Fortner,[47]
H. Fox,[39] J. Franc,[7] S. Fuess,[45] P.H. Garbincius,[45] A. Garcia-Bellido,[63] J.A. García-González,[28] V. Gavrilov,[32]
W. Geng,[12, 57] C.E. Gerber,[46] Y. Gershtein,[60] G. Ginther,[45] O. Gogota,[38] G. Golovanov,[31] P.D. Grannis,[64]
S. Greder,[16] H. Greenlee,[45] G. Grenier,[17] Ph. Gris,[10] J.-F. Grivaz,[13] A. Grohsjean[c],[15] S. Grünendahl,[45]
M.W. Grünewald,[26] T. Guillemin,[13] G. Gutierrez,[45] P. Gutierrez,[67] J. Haley,[68] L. Han,[4] K. Harder,[41] A. Harel,[63]
J.M. Hauptman,[52] J. Hays,[40] T. Head,[41] T. Hebbeker,[18] D. Hedin,[47] H. Hegab,[68] A.P. Heinson,[43] U. Heintz,[70]
C. Hensel,[1] I. Heredia-De La Cruz[d],[28] K. Herner,[45] G. Hesketh[f],[41] M.D. Hildreth,[51] R. Hirosky,[74] T. Hoang,[44]
J.D. Hobbs,[64] B. Hoeneisen,[9] J. Hogan,[73] M. Hohlfeld,[21] J.L. Holzbauer,[58] I. Howley,[71] Z. Hubacek,[7, 15] V. Hynek,[7]
I. Iashvili,[62] Y. Ilchenko,[72] R. Illingworth,[45] A.S. Ito,[45] S. Jabeen[m],[45] M. Jaffré,[13] A. Jayasinghe,[67] M.S. Jeong,[27]
R. Jesik,[40] P. Jiang[‡],[4] K. Johns,[42] E. Johnson,[57] M. Johnson,[45] A. Jonckheere,[45] P. Jonsson,[40] J. Joshi,[43]
A.W. Jung[o],[45] A. Juste,[36] E. Kajfasz,[12] D. Karmanov,[33] I. Katsanos,[59] M. Kaur,[23] R. Kehoe,[72] S. Kermiche,[12]
N. Khalatyan,[45] A. Khanov,[68] A. Kharchilava,[62] Y.N. Kharzheev,[31] I. Kiselevich,[32] J.M. Kohli,[23] A.V. Kozelov,[34]
J. Kraus,[58] A. Kumar,[62] A. Kupco,[8] T. Kurča,[17] V.A. Kuzmin,[33] S. Lammers,[49] P. Lebrun,[17] H.S. Lee,[27]
S.W. Lee,[52] W.M. Lee,[45] X. Lei,[42] J. Lellouch,[14] D. Li,[14] H. Li,[74] L. Li,[43] Q.Z. Li,[45] J.K. Lim,[27] D. Lincoln,[45]
J. Linnemann,[57] V.V. Lipaev[‡],[34] R. Lipton,[45] H. Liu,[72] Y. Liu,[4] A. Lobodenko,[35] M. Lokajicek,[8] R. Lopes de Sa,[45]
R. Luna-Garcia[g],[28] A.L. Lyon,[45] A.K.A. Maciel,[1] R. Madar,[19] R. Magaña-Villalba,[28] S. Malik,[59] V.L. Malyshev,[31]
J. Mansour,[20] J. Martínez-Ortega,[28] R. McCarthy,[64] C.L. McGivern,[41] M.M. Meijer,[29, 30] A. Melnitchouk,[45]
D. Menezes,[47] P.G. Mercadante,[3] M. Merkin,[33] A. Meyer,[18] J. Meyer[i],[20] F. Miconi,[16] N.K. Mondal,[25]
M. Mulhearn,[74] E. Nagy,[12] M. Narain,[70] R. Nayyar,[42] H.A. Neal,[56] J.P. Negret,[5] P. Neustroev,[35] H.T. Nguyen,[74]
T. Nunnemann,[22] J. Orduna,[70] N. Osman,[12] A. Pal,[71] N. Parashar,[50] V. Parihar,[70] S.K. Park,[27] R. Partridge[e],[70]
N. Parua,[49] A. Patwa[j],[65] B. Penning,[40] M. Perfilov,[33] Y. Peters,[41] K. Petridis,[41] G. Petrillo,[63] P. Pétroff,[13]
M.-A. Pleier,[65] V.M. Podstavkov,[45] A.V. Popov,[34] M. Prewitt,[73] D. Price,[41] N. Prokopenko,[34] J. Qian,[56]
A. Quadt,[20] B. Quinn,[58] P.N. Ratoff,[39] I. Razumov,[34] I. Ripp-Baudot,[16] F. Rizatdinova,[68] M. Rominsky,[45]
A. Ross,[39] C. Royon,[8] P. Rubinov,[45] R. Ruchti,[51] G. Sajot,[11] A. Sánchez-Hernández,[28] M.P. Sanders,[22]
A.S. Santos[h],[1] G. Savage,[45] M. Savitskyi,[38] L. Sawyer,[54] T. Scanlon,[40] R.D. Schamberger,[64] Y. Scheglov,[35]
H. Schellman,[69, 48] M. Schott,[21] C. Schwanenberger,[41] R. Schwienhorst,[57] J. Sekaric,[53] H. Severini,[67] E. Shabalina,[20]
V. Shary,[15] S. Shaw,[41] A.A. Shchukin,[34] V. Simak,[7] P. Skubic,[67] P. Slattery,[63] G.R. Snow,[59] J. Snow,[66] S. Snyder,[65]
S. Söldner-Rembold,[41] L. Sonnenschein,[18] K. Soustruznik,[6] J. Stark,[11] N. Stefaniuk,[38] D.A. Stoyanova,[34]
M. Strauss,[67] L. Suter,[41] P. Svoisky,[74] M. Titov,[15] V.V. Tokmenin,[31] Y.-T. Tsai,[63] D. Tsybychev,[64] B. Tuchming,[15]
C. Tully,[61] L. Uvarov,[35] S. Uvarov,[35] S. Uzunyan,[47] R. Van Kooten,[49] W.M. van Leeuwen,[29] N. Varelas,[46]
E.W. Varnes,[42] I.A. Vasilyev,[34] A.Y. Verkheev,[31] L.S. Vertogradov,[31] M. Verzocchi,[45] M. Vesterinen,[41]
D. Vilanova,[15] P. Vokac,[7] H.D. Wahl,[44] M.H.L.S. Wang,[45] J. Warchol,[51] G. Watts,[75] M. Wayne,[51] J. Weichert,[21]
L. Welty-Rieger,[48] M.R.J. Williams[n],[49] G.W. Wilson,[53] M. Wobisch,[54] D.R. Wood,[55] T.R. Wyatt,[41] Y. Xie,[45]
R. Yamada,[45] S. Yang,[4] T. Yasuda,[45] Y.A. Yatsunenko,[31] W. Ye,[64] Z. Ye,[45] H. Yin,[45] K. Yip,[65] S.W. Youn,[45]
J.M. Yu,[56] J. Zennamo,[62] T.G. Zhao,[41] B. Zhou,[56] J. Zhu,[56] M. Zielinski,[63] D. Zieminska,[49] and L. Zivkovic[14]

(The D0 Collaboration[*])

[1]LAFEX, Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, RJ 22290, Brazil
[2]Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ 20550, Brazil
[3]Universidade Federal do ABC, Santo André, SP 09210, Brazil
[4]University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, People's Republic of China
[5]Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, 111711, Colombia
[6]Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics,
Center for Particle Physics, 116 36 Prague 1, Czech Republic
[7]Czech Technical University in Prague, 116 36 Prague 6, Czech Republic
[8]Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, 182 21 Prague, Czech Republic
[9]Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador
[10]LPC, Université Blaise Pascal, CNRS/IN2P3, Clermont, F-63178 Aubière Cedex, France
[11]LPSC, Université Joseph Fourier Grenoble 1, CNRS/IN2P3,
Institut National Polytechnique de Grenoble, F-38026 Grenoble Cedex, France
[12]CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS/IN2P3, F-13288 Marseille Cedex 09, France
[13]LAL, Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91898 Orsay Cedex, France
[14]LPNHE, Universités Paris VI and VII, CNRS/IN2P3, F-75005 Paris, France
[15]CEA Saclay, Irfu, SPP, F-91191 Gif-Sur-Yvette Cedex, France
[16]IPHC, Université de Strasbourg, CNRS/IN2P3, F-67037 Strasbourg, France
[17]IPNL, Université Lyon 1, CNRS/IN2P3, F-69622 Villeurbanne Cedex,
France and Université de Lyon, F-69361 Lyon CEDEX 07, France
[18]III. Physikalisches Institut A, RWTH Aachen University, 52056 Aachen, Germany
[19]Physikalisches Institut, Universität Freiburg, 79085 Freiburg, Germany
[20]II. Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, 37073 Göttingen, Germany
[21]Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, 55099 Mainz, Germany
[22]Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, 80539 München, Germany
[23]Panjab University, Chandigarh 160014, India
[24]Delhi University, Delhi-110 007, India
[25]Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai-400 005, India
[26]University College Dublin, Dublin 4, Ireland
[27]Korea Detector Laboratory, Korea University, Seoul, 02841, Korea
[28]CINVESTAV, Mexico City 07360, Mexico
[29]Nikhef, Science Park, 1098 XG Amsterdam, the Netherlands
[30]Radboud University Nijmegen, 6525 AJ Nijmegen, the Netherlands
[31]Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna 141980, Russia
[32]Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow 117259, Russia
[33]Moscow State University, Moscow 119991, Russia
[34]Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Moscow region 142281, Russia
[35]Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, St. Petersburg 188300, Russia
[36]Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA) and Institut
de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE), 08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain
[37]Uppsala University, 751 05 Uppsala, Sweden
[38]Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Kiev, 01601, Ukaine
[39]Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YB, United Kingdom
[40]Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom
[41]The University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom
[42]University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721, USA
[43]University of California Riverside, Riverside, California 92521, USA
[44]Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, USA
[45]Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510, USA
[46]University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60607, USA
[47]Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, Illinois 60115, USA
[48]Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA
[49]Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405, USA
[50]Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, Indiana 46323, USA
[51]University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556, USA
[52]Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011, USA
[53]University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66045, USA
[54]Louisiana Tech University, Ruston, Louisiana 71272, USA
[55]Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA
[56]University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA
[57]Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA
[58]University of Mississippi, University, Mississippi 38677, USA

^{59}University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska 68588, USA
^{60}Rutgers University, Piscataway, New Jersey 08855, USA
^{61}Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA
^{62}State University of New York, Buffalo, New York 14260, USA
^{63}University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627, USA
^{64}State University of New York, Stony Brook, New York 11794, USA
^{65}Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA
^{66}Langston University, Langston, Oklahoma 73050, USA
^{67}University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma 73019, USA
^{68}Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma 74078, USA
^{69}Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon 97331, USA
^{70}Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island 02912, USA
^{71}University of Texas, Arlington, Texas 76019, USA
^{72}Southern Methodist University, Dallas, Texas 75275, USA
^{73}Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005, USA
^{74}University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22904, USA
^{75}University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA
(Dated: February 25, 2016)

We report the observation of a narrow structure, $X(5568)$, in the decay sequence $X(5568) \to B_s^0 \pi^\pm$, $B_s^0 \to J/\psi \phi$, $J/\psi \to \mu^+ \mu^-$, $\phi \to K^+ K^-$. This is the first observation of a hadronic state with valence quarks of four different flavors. The mass and natural width of the new state are measured to be $m = 5567.8 \pm 2.9\,\text{(stat)}^{+0.9}_{-1.9}\,\text{(syst)}\,\text{MeV}/c^2$ and $\Gamma = 21.9 \pm 6.4\,\text{(stat)}^{+5.0}_{-2.5}\,\text{(syst)}\,\text{MeV}/c^2$, and the significance including look-elsewhere effect and systematic uncertainties is 5.1σ. The observation is based on 10.4 fb^{-1} of $p\bar{p}$ collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV collected by the D0 experiment at the Fermilab Tevatron collider.

PACS numbers: 14.40.Rt,13.25.Gv,12.39.Mk

During the last few years several resonant states that cannot be conventional quark-antiquark mesons or three-quark baryons have been observed [1–5]. Taking into account the decay modes and charges of these states they may be interpreted as four-quark or five-quark states. These states have one common feature: they consist of a combination of heavy and light quarks. These discoveries open up a new era of multi-quark hadron spectroscopy. Various combinations of heavy and light mesons may be tested. One such system is the combination of the heavy B_s^0 or $\overline{B}_s^0$ meson and the light $\pi^\pm$ meson. Such systems are composed of two quarks and two antiquarks of four different flavors: b, s, u, d, which might be a tightly bound di-quark anti-diquark pair such as $[bu][\overline{ds}]$, $[bd][\overline{su}]$, $[su][\overline{bd}]$, or $[sd][\overline{bu}]$, or a "molecule" of the loosely bound B and K mesons. This Letter presents a study of the $B_s^0 \pi^\pm$ invariant mass spectrum using a data sample of 10.4 fb^{-1} collected with the D0 detector at the Fermilab Tevatron collider.

The D0 detector consists of a central tracking system, calorimeters, and muon detectors [6]. The central tracking system comprises a silicon microstrip tracker (SMT) and a central fiber tracker (CFT), both located inside a 1.9 T superconducting solenoidal magnet. The tracking system is designed to optimize tracking and vertexing for pseudorapidities $|\eta| < 3$, where $\eta = -\ln[\tan(\theta/2)]$, and θ is the polar angle with respect to the proton beam direction. The SMT can reconstruct the $p\bar{p}$ interaction vertex (primary vertex) for interactions with at least three tracks with a precision of 40 μm in the plane transverse to the beam direction. The muon detector, positioned outside the calorimeter, consists of a central muon system covering the pseudorapidity region $|\eta| < 1$ and a forward muon system covering the pseudorapidity region $1 < |\eta| < 2$. Both central and forward systems consist of a layer of drift tubes and scintillators inside 1.8 T iron toroidal magnets with two similar layers outside the toroids.

Events used in this analysis are collected with both single muon and dimuon triggers. Single muon triggers require a coincidence of signals in trigger elements inside and outside the toroidal magnets. Dimuon triggers in the central rapidity region require at least one muon to penetrate the toroid. In the forward region, both muons

*with visitors from ^{a}Augustana College, Sioux Falls, SD 57197, USA, ^{b}The University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 3BX, UK, ^{c}Deutshes Elektronen-Synchrotron (DESY), Notkestrasse 85, Germany, ^{d}CONACyT, M-03940 Mexico City, Mexico, ^{e}SLAC, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA, ^{f}University College London, London WC1E 6BT, UK, ^{g}Centro de Investigacion en Computacion - IPN, CP 07738 Mexico City, Mexico, ^{h}Universidade Estadual Paulista, São Paulo, SP 01140, Brazil, ^{i}Karlsruher Institut für Technologie (KIT) - Steinbuch Centre for Computing (SCC), D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany, ^{j}Office of Science, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. 20585, USA, ^{k}American Association for the Advancement of Science, Washington, D.C. 20005, USA, ^{l}Kiev Institute for Nuclear Research (KINR), Kyiv 03680, Ukraine, ^{m}University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA, ^{n}European Orgnaization for Nuclear Research (CERN), CH-1211 Geneva, Switzerland, ^{o}Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA, and ^{p}Lebedev Physical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, 119991, Russia. ‡Deceased.

FIG. 1: Invariant mass distribution of $J/\psi\phi$ candidates. The signal region and two sideband regions are indicated. The solid curve presents the fit results to the function, modeled by a sum of a third order polynomial to describe combinatorial background and a Gaussian to describe the B_s^0 signal. The dotted curve shows the combinatorial background.

are required to penetrate the toroid.

Candidate events are required to include a pair of oppositely charged muons both with $p_T > 1.5$ GeV/c in the invariant mass range $2.92 < m(\mu^+\mu^-) < 3.25$ GeV/c^2, consistent with J/ψ decay, accompanied by two additional particles of opposite charge assumed to be kaons, each with $p_T > 0.7$ GeV/c, with an invariant mass of $1.012 < m(K^+K^-) < 1.030$ GeV/c^2, consistent with ϕ decay, and a third charged particle with $p_T > 0.5$ GeV/c assumed to be a pion.

In the event selection, both muons are required to be detected in the muon chambers inside the toroidal magnet, and at least one of the muons is required to be also detected outside the iron toroid. Each muon candidate [7] is required to match a track found in the central tracking system, and each of the five final-state tracks is required to have at least one SMT hit and at least one CFT hit. The dimuon invariant mass is constrained to the world-average J/ψ mass [8], and the four tracks forming a $J/\psi\phi$ candidate are required to satisfy a fit to a common vertex that is displaced from the primary vertex in the plane perpendicular to the beam direction by at least three times the standard deviation of the measurement uncertainty. The pion candidate is required to be consistent with originating from the primary $p\bar{p}$ collision vertex.

To form a $B_s^0\pi^\pm$ combination, we select the B_s^0 candidates in the mass range $5.303 < m(J/\psi\phi) < 5.423$ GeV/c^2, corresponding to an interval of ± 2 standard deviations around the mean value of the reconstructed B_s^0 mass. The $m(J/\psi\phi)$ distribution is shown in Fig. 1. The fit, including a third-order polynomial describing the combinatorial background and a Gaussian function describing the signal, yields the Gaussian signal parameters $m(B_s^0) = 5363.3 \pm 0.6$ MeV/c^2, $\sigma(B_s^0) = 31.6 \pm 0.6$ MeV/c^2 and the number of signal events $N_{ev} = 5582 \pm 100$. To improve the reso-

lution of the invariant mass of the $B_s^0\pi^\pm$ system and to remove the measured B_s^0 mass bias, we define it as $m(B_s^0\pi^\pm) = m(J/\psi\phi\,\pi^\pm) - m(J/\psi\phi) + 5.3667$ GeV/c^2, where $m(J/\psi)$ is not constrained to the nominal value. We study events as a function of mass in the range $5.5 < m(J/\psi\phi) < 5.9$ GeV/c^2.

Background in the $B_s^0\pi^\pm$ invariant mass spectrum results from random combinations of selected B_s^0 candidates with low momentum charged particles coming mostly from the primary vertex. To further reduce background, we impose a limit on the difference between the directions of the B_s^0 candidate and the pion to be $\Delta R = \sqrt{\Delta\eta^2 + \Delta\phi^2} < 0.3$, where η is the pseudorapidity and ϕ is the azimuthal angle. In addition to increasing the signal-to-background ratio this "cone cut" limits backgrounds that are not included in available simulations.

The B_s^0 candidates include genuine B_s^0 mesons and the combinatorial background under the B_s^0 signal, as seen in Fig. 1. The $B_s^0\pi^\pm$ background with a real B_s^0 meson is modeled using a Monte Carlo (MC) simulation [9] of events containing a B_s^0 meson and additional pions tuned to reproduce the B_s^0 transverse momentum distribution in data.

The background with a false B_s^0 meson is modeled using the sideband events obtained from data. The chosen sideband regions $5.0 < m(J/\psi\phi) < 5.21$ GeV/c^2 and $5.51 < m(J/\psi\phi) < 5.87$ GeV/c^2 are indicated in Fig. 1. The sidebands are separated by $\sim 5\sigma$ from the B_s^0 nominal mass. The left and right sideband ranges are chosen to provide a large event sample and to have an average mass of $m(B_s^0)$.

The two background components are found to have similar shapes [10]. The fraction of the real B_s^0 events in the signal region is obtained from the fit to the B_s^0 meson in the $m(J/\psi\phi)$ distribution and is found to be $(70.9 \pm 0.6)\%$. MC events and the sideband events are mixed in this proportion to obtain the combined background that includes pions from both sources. The event selection results in pions that mainly come from the primary vertex, although pions originating from heavy flavor decays are also present in the sample.

Multiple entries for a single event may occur when more than one pion candidate passes the event selection and they are retained in the sample. The rate of duplicate entries in the mass range $5.5 < m(B_s^0\pi^\pm) < 5.6$ GeV/c^2 ($\sim 5\%$) is lower than for masses above 5.7 GeV/c^2 ($\sim 8\%$).

The combined background is modeled by a function of the parameter $m_0 = m_{B\pi} - \Delta$, where $m_{B\pi} \equiv m(B_s^0\pi^\pm)$ and $\Delta = 5.5$ GeV/c^2, of the form:

$$F_{\text{bgr}}(m_0) = P_{4(C1=0)} \times \exp(P_2). \qquad (1)$$

Here $P_{4(C1=0)}$ and P_2 are fourth- and second-order polynomials, and the linear term of the first polynomial is set to zero. This empirical function gives a good description of the combined backgrounds, as seen in Fig. 2.

FIG. 2: The combined background for the $m(B_s^0\pi^\pm)$ distribution described in the text and the fit to that distribution with the cone cut and without the cone cut.

The $B_s^0\pi^\pm$ invariant mass spectrum is shown in Fig. 3(a) with the cone cut and (b) without the cone cut. An enhancement is seen near 5.57 GeV/c^2. To extract the signal parameters, the distributions are fitted with a function F (Eq. 2) that includes two terms: the background term $F_{\rm bgr}(m_{B\pi})$ with fixed shape parameters as in Fig. 2 and the signal term $F_{\rm sig}(m_{B\pi}, M_X, \Gamma_X)$, modeled by a relativistic Breit-Wigner function convolved with a Gaussian detector resolution function and with the mass-dependent efficiency of the cone cut [10]. Here M_X and Γ_X are the mass and the natural width of the resonance. The Gaussian width parameter $\sigma_{\rm res} = 3.8$ MeV/c^2 is taken from simulations.

The fit function has the form:

$$F = f_{\rm sig} \times F_{\rm sig}(m_{B\pi}, M_X, \Gamma_X) + f_{\rm bgr} \times F_{\rm bgr}(m_{B\pi}), \ (2)$$

where $f_{\rm sig}$ and $f_{\rm bgr}$ are normalization factors.

We use the Breit-Wigner parametrization appropriate for an S-wave two-body decay near threshold:

$$BW(m_{B\pi}) \propto \frac{M_X^2 \Gamma(m_{B\pi})}{(M_X^2 - m_{B\pi}^2)^2 + M_X^2 \Gamma^2(m_{B\pi})}. \ (3)$$

The mass-dependent width $\Gamma(m_{B\pi}) = \Gamma_X \cdot (q_1/q_0)$ is proportional to the natural width Γ_X, where q_1 and q_0 are three-vector momenta of the B_s^0 meson in the rest frame of the $B_s^0\pi^\pm$ system at the invariant mass equal to $m_{B\pi}$ and M_X, respectively.

In the fit shown in Fig. 3a, the normalization parameters $f_{\rm sig}$ and $f_{\rm bgr}$ and the Breit-Wigner parameters M_X and Γ_X are allowed to vary. The fit yields the mass and width of $M_X = 5567.8 \pm 2.9$ MeV/c^2, $\Gamma_X = 21.9 \pm 6.4$ MeV/c^2, and the number of signal events of $N = 133 \pm 31$. As the measured width is significantly larger than the experimental mass resolution, we infer that $X(5568) \to B_s^0\pi^\pm$ is a strong decay. The statistical significance of the signal is defined as $\sqrt{-2\ln(\mathcal{L}_0/\mathcal{L}_{\rm max})}$,


FIG. 3: The $m(B_s^0\pi^\pm)$ distribution together with the background distribution and the fit results (a) after applying the cone cut and (b) without the cone cut.

where $\mathcal{L}_{\rm max}$ and $\mathcal{L}_0$ are likelihood values at the best-fit signal yield and the signal yield fixed to zero. The obtained local statistical significance is 6.6σ for the given mass and width values. With the look-elsewhere effect [11] taken into account, the global statistical significance is 6.1σ. The search window is taken as the interval between the $B_s^0\pi^\pm$ threshold (5506 MeV/c^2) and the $B_d^0 K^\pm$ mass threshold (5774 MeV/c^2).

We also extract the signal from the $m(B_s\pi^\pm)$ distribution without the ΔR cone cut, fixing the mass and natural width of the signal and the background mass shape to their default values. We see a tendency for data to exceed background for $m(B_s\pi^\pm) > M_X$ [10]. We perform a fit in the restricted range $m(B_s^0\pi^\pm) < 5.7$ GeV/c^2

FIG. 4: The $m(B_s^0\pi^\pm)$ distribution resulting from fits of the B_s^0 signal to $m(J/\psi\phi)$ in twenty mass intervals of $(B_s^0\pi^\pm)$ candidates described in the text. The solid line shows the result of the fit. The dashed line shows the contribution of events due to B_s^0 background from simulations. There is no non-B_s^0 background.

(Fig. 3b) and find the fitted number of signal events to be 106 ± 23. The difference in yields with and without the cone cut is not fully explained by statistical fluctuations. We have verified qualitatively that an excess of data relative to the background model at higher mass would tend to increase the background estimate in the signal region. Additional background processes not present in our MC such as $B_c \to B_s\, n\pi$ with $n > 1$, or other new states at higher mass, would thus have the effect of reducing the $X(5568)$ yield for the no-cone cut case.

As a cross-check, we extract a pure $B_s^0\pi^\pm$ signal by performing fits of the number of B_s^0 events in the $J/\psi\phi$ mass distribution in 20 MeV intervals in the range $5.5 < m(B_s^0\pi^\pm) < 5.9$ MeV/c^2. Results of those fits are shown in Fig. 4. A fit to the dependence of resulting B_s^0 yields on $m(B_s^0\pi^\pm)$, with the mass and natural width fixed to the previously obtained values, gives 118 ± 22 signal events. This result confirms that the observed signal is due to $B_s^0\pi^\pm$ candidates with genuine B_s^0 mesons and thus eliminates the possibility of non-B_s^0 processes mimicking the signal.

We obtain the systematic uncertainties for the measured values of the $X(5568)$ state mass, natural width, and the number of events. The dominant uncertainties are due to the background and signal shapes. We evaluate the systematic uncertainties due to the background shape by a) using different models of bottom pair production in generating the B_s^0 MC samples, b) varying the sideband mass intervals, c) changing the way the B_s^0 mass constraint is applied in the calculation of $m(B_s^0\pi^\pm)$ for the sideband events by replacing the mass difference defined in the text by the kinetic energy obtained by forcing $m(J/\psi\phi)$ to the world-average B_s^0 mass, d) changing the ratio of the MC to the sideband events within 1σ, e) using different background functions by replacing the fourth-order polynomial in Eq.1 with a third- or fifth-order poly-

nomial or replacing the second-order polynomial in the exponential with the first- or third-order polynomial, and f) varying the nominal B_s^0 mass within ±1 MeV/c^2 in the background samples, both for the sideband data and simulated events. The systematic uncertainties due to the signal shape are evaluated by a) varying the detector resolution within ±1 MeV/c^2 around the mean value, b) using a non-relativistic Breit-Wigner function, and c) using a P-wave relativistic Breit-Wigner function.

Additionally, we estimate the systematic uncertainties due to the binning by changing the bin size to 5 MeV/c^2 and to 10 MeV/c^2 instead of 8 MeV/c^2, and shifting the lower edge of the mass scale by 1/3, 1/2 and 2/3 of the bin size. All systematic uncertainty sources are summarized in Table 1. The uncertainties are added in quadrature separately for positive and negative values to obtain the total systematic uncertainties for each measured parameter and are treated as nuisance parameters to construct a prior predictive model [8, 12] of our test statistic. When the systematic uncertainties are included, the significance of the observed signal including the look-elsewhere effect is reduced to 5.1σ.

The stability of the result is checked by examining subsamples with a) different signs of the $\pi^\pm$ meson, b) different ranges of the azimuth and rapidity, c) the distance between the B_s^0 vertex and the primary vertex changed to five standard deviations, d) different B_s^0 mass windows (1.7σ, 1.5σ, 1.2σ), e) different $B_s^0\pi^\pm$ momentum intervals ($p_T > 9$ GeV/c, $p_T > 12$ GeV/c), and f) different cone cuts ($\Delta R < 0.2$, $\Delta R < 0.15$). Taking into account the efficiencies of these cuts, no unexpected behaviors are observed in these tests.

The invariant mass spectra of B_s^0 candidates and charged tracks with kaon or proton mass hypotheses, are checked and no resonant-like enhancements in these distributions are found.

We measure the ratio ρ of the yield of the new state $X(5568)$ to the yield of the B_s^0 meson in two kinematic ranges, $10 < p_T(B_s^0) < 15$ GeV/c and $15 < p_T(B_s^0) < 30$ GeV/c, by repeating the $m(B_s^0\pi)$ fits with free mass and width parameters for the $X(5568)$ signal. The results for ρ are $(9.1 \pm 2.6 \pm 1.6)\%$ and $(8.2 \pm 2.7 \pm 1.6)\%$, respectively, with an average of $(8.6 \pm 1.9 \pm 1.4)\%$. The systematic uncertainties due to B_s^0 reconstruction efficiency cancel out in the ratio. The combined factor of the soft pion kinematic acceptance, reconstruction efficiency, and selection efficiency is obtained from a simulated samples of events with a spinless particle of mass equal to 5568 MeV/c^2 decaying to B_s^0 and a charged pion. The pion efficiency increases with $p_T(B_s^0)$ from $(26.1 \pm 3.2)\%$ to $(42.1 \pm 6.5)\%$ for the two $p_T(B_s^0)$ ranges. The systematic uncertainty due to a potential difference of the soft pion reconstruction efficiency in MC and data of $\pm5\%$ is accounted for in systematics. Within uncertainties, the production ratio ρ does not depend on $p_T(B_s^0)$.

A possible interpretation of the observed structure is a four-quark state made up of a diquark-antidiquark pair. With the $B_s^0\pi^+$ produced in an S wave, its quantum

TABLE I: Systematic uncertainties for the observed $X(5568)$ state mass, natural width and number of events.

Source	mass, MeV/c^2	width, MeV/c^2	rate, %
Background shape			
MC samples with soft or hard B_s^0	+0.2 ; −0.6	+2.6 ; −0.0	+8.2 ; −0.0
Sideband mass ranges	+0.2 ; −0.1	+0.7 ; −1.7	+1.6 ; −9.3
Sideband mass calculation method	+0.1 ; −0.0	+0.0 ; −0.4	+0.0 ; −1.3
MC to sideband events ratio	+0.1 ; −0.1	+0.5 ; −0.6	+2.8 ; −3.1
Background function used	+0.5 ; −0.5	+0.1 ; −0.0	+0.2 ; −1.1
B_s^0 mass scale, MC and data	+0.1 ; −0.1	+0.7 ; −0.6	+3.4 ; −3.6
Signal shape			
Detector resolution	+0.1 ; −0.1	+1.5 ; −1.5	+2.1 ; −1.7
Non-relativistic BW	+0.0 ; −1.1	+0.3 ; −0.0	+3.1 ; −0.9
P-wave BW	+0.0 ; −0.6	+3.1 ; −0.0	+3.8 ; −0.0
Other			
Binning	+0.6 ; −1.1	+2.3 ; −0.0	+3.5 ; −3.3
Total	+0.9 ; −1.9	+5.0 ; −2.5	+11.4 ; −11.2

numbers would be $J^P = 0^+$. Thus, the state may be a heavy analogue of the isotriplet scalar state $a(980)$, with an s quark replaced by a b quark. Such open charm and open bottom scalar mesons are predicted in Ref. [13]. On the other hand, the state can decay through the chain $B_s^* \pi^\pm$, $B_s^* \to B_s^0 \gamma$, where the low-energy photon is not detected. In this case, the quantum numbers of this state would be $J^P = 1^+$ that would make it a counterpart to other heavy tetraquark candidates. The mass of the new state would be shifted by addition of the nominal mass difference $m(B_s^*) - m(B_s^0)$, while its width would remain unchanged. The large difference between the mass of this state and the sum of the B_d and $K^\pm$ masses implies [14] that $X(5568)$ is unlikely to be a molecular state composed of loosely bound B_d and $K^\pm$ mesons.

In summary, a structure is observed in the $B_s^0 \pi^\pm$ invariant mass spectrum near threshold with a statistical significance, including the look-elsewhere effect, of 6.1σ. When the systematic uncertainties are included, the significance of the observed signal is 5.1σ. This structure may be interpreted as a tetraquark state with four different valence quark flavors, b, s, u, d. The mass and natural width of the observed $X(5568)$ state are $m = 5567.8 \pm 2.9 \,(\text{stat})^{+0.9}_{-1.9} \,(\text{syst})$ MeV/c^2 and $\Gamma = 21.9 \pm 6.4 \,(\text{stat})^{+5.0}_{-2.5} \,(\text{syst})$ MeV/c^2.

[1] S.-K. Choi, *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), "Observation of a Resonancelike Structure in the $\pi^\pm \psi'$ Mass Distribution in Exclusive $B \to K\pi^\pm \psi'$ Decays", Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 142001 (2008).

[2] R. Mizuk, *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), "Observation of two resonancelike structures in the $\pi^+ \chi_{c1}$ mass distribution in exclusive $\overline{B}^0 \to K^- \pi^+ \chi_{c1}$ decays", Phys. Rev. D **78**, 072004 (2008).

[3] T. Aaltonen, *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), "Evidence for a Narrow Near-Threshold Structure in the $J/\psi\phi$ Mass Spectrum in $B^+ \to J/\psi\phi K^+$ Decays", Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 242002 (2009).

[4] A. Bondar, *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), "Observation of Two Charged Bottomoniumlike Resonances in $\Upsilon(5S)$ Decays", Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 122001 (2012).

[5] R. Aaij, *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), "Observation of

$J/\psi p$ Resonances Consistent with Pentaquark States in $\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\psi K^- p$ decays", Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 072001 (2015).

[6] V. M. Abazov *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), "The upgraded D0 detector", Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A **565**, 463 (2006).

[7] V. M. Abazov *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), "Muon reconstruction and identification with the Run II D0 detector", Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A **737**, 281 (2014).

[8] K. A. Olive *et al.*, (Particle Data Group), "Review of Particle Physics", Chin. Phys. C **38**, 090001 (2014).

[9] T. Sjöstrand *et al.*, "High-energy-physics event generation with PYTHIA 6.1", Comp. Phys. Commun. **135**, 238 (2001). We use versions 6.323 and 6.409.

[10] See Supplemental material at `http://www-d0.fnal.gov/Run2Physics/WWW/results/final/B/B16A/`.

[11] E. Gross, O. Vitells, "Trial factors or look elsewhere effect in high energy physics", Eur. Phys. J., **C70**, 525 (2010).

[12] C. Giunti, "Treatment of the background error in the statistical analysis of Poisson processes", Phys. Rev. D **59**, 113009 (1999).

[13] L. Maiani, F. Piccinini, A. D. Polosa, and V. Riquer, "New Look at Scalar Mesons", Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 212002 (2004).

[14] M. Karliner, "Doubly Heavy Tetraquarks and Baryons", EPJ Web Conference **71**, 00065 (2014); arXiv:1401.4058.