Search for Neutral Higgs Bosons Decaying to Pair Taus in $p\bar{p}$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV

A search for the production of neutral Higgs bosons $\Phi$ decaying into $\tau^+\tau^-$ final states in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 1.96 TeV is presented. The data, corresponding to an
Final states leading to high-mass tau lepton pairs can arise from various physics processes beyond the standard model including the production of neutral Higgs bosons (generally denoted as $\Phi$). This is of particular interest in models with more than one Higgs doublet, where production rates for $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \Phi \rightarrow \tau\tau$ can potentially be large enough for an observation at the Fermilab Tevatron Collider. For instance, the minimal supersymmetric standard model (MSSM) [1] contains two complex Higgs doublets, leading to two neutral CP-even ($h, H$), one CP-odd ($A$), and a pair of charged ($H^\pm$) Higgs bosons. At tree level, the Higgs sector of the MSSM is fully specified by two parameters, generally chosen to be $M_A$, the mass of the CP-odd Higgs boson, and $\tan\beta$, the ratio of the vacuum expectation values of the two Higgs doublets. At large $\tan\beta$, the coupling of the neutral Higgs bosons to down-type quarks and charged leptons is strongly enhanced, leading to sizeable cross sections.

Searches for neutral MSSM Higgs bosons have been conducted at LEP [2] and at the Tevatron [3, 4]. In this Letter a search for $\Phi \rightarrow \tau\tau$ decays is presented. At least one of the tau leptons is required to decay leptonically, leading to final states containing $e\tau_h$, $\mu\tau_h$ and $e\mu$, where $\tau_h$ represents a hadronically decaying tau lepton.

The data were collected at the Fermilab Tevatron Collider between September 2002 and August 2004 at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV and correspond to integrated luminosities of 328 pb$^{-1}$, 299 pb$^{-1}$, and 348 pb$^{-1}$ for the $e\tau_h$, $\mu\tau_h$ and $e\mu$ final states, respectively. Final states with two electrons or two muons have a small signal-to-background ratio due to the small branching fraction and the large background from $Z/\gamma^*\gamma$ production, and are therefore not considered.

A thorough description of the DØ detector can be found in Ref. [5]. Briefly, the detector consists of a magnetic central tracking system surrounded by a liquid-argon and uranium calorimeter and a toroidal muon spectrometer. The central tracking system comprises a silicon microstrip tracker (SMT) and a central fiber tracker (CFT), both located within a 2 T magnetic field provided by a superconducting solenoidal magnet. The SMT and CFT designs were optimized to provide precise tracking and vertexing capabilities over the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 2.5$, where $\eta = -\ln(\tan(\theta/2))$ and $\theta$ is the polar angle with respect to the proton beam. The calorimeter is divided into a central section (CC) covering $|\eta| \leq 1.1$, and two end calorimeters (EC) that extend coverage to $|\eta| \approx 4.2$, all housed in separate cryostats. Scintillators between the CC and EC cryostats provide sampling of developing showers for $1.1 < |\eta| < 1.4$. A muon system, at $|\eta| < 2$, consists of a layer of tracking detectors and scintillation trigger counters in front of 1.8 T toroids, followed by two similar layers after the toroids. The luminosity is measured using plastic scintillator arrays located in front of the EC cryostats, covering $2.7 < |\eta| < 4.4$.

The $e\tau_h$ and the $\mu\tau_h$ analyses rely on single electron and single muon triggers, respectively, while the $e\mu$ analysis uses dilepton triggers. The triggers exploit the typical signatures of leptons in the detector, including high-momentum tracks in the tracking system, energy deposits in the calorimeter, and hits in the muon detector.

Signal and standard model processes are modeled using the PYTHIA 6.202 [6] Monte Carlo (MC) generator, followed by a GEANT-based [7] simulation of the DØ detector geometry. MC events are then reconstructed with the same programs as used for data. All background processes, apart from QCD multijet production, are normalized using cross sections calculated at next-to-leading order (NLO) and next-to-NLO (for $Z$ boson, $W$ boson, and Drell-Yan production) based on the CTEQ5 [8] parton distribution functions (PDF).

The normalization and shape of background contributions from QCD multijet production, where jets are misidentified as leptons, are estimated from the data themselves by using like-sign $e$ and $\tau_h$ candidate events (in the $e\tau_h$ analysis) or by selecting background samples by inverting lepton identification criteria (in the $\mu\tau_h$ and $e\mu$ analyses). These samples are normalized to the data at an early stage of the selection in a region of phase space dominated by multijet production.

Isolated electrons are reconstructed based on their characteristic energy deposition in the calorimeter, including the transverse and longitudinal shower profile. In addition, a track must point to the energy deposition in the calorimeter, and the track momentum and calorimeter energy must be consistent. Further rejection against background from photons and jets is achieved by using a likelihood discriminant, which is exploiting characteristic calorimeter and tracking information. Muons are selected using tracks in the central tracking detector in combination with patterns of hits in the muon detector. Muons are required to be isolated in both the calorimeter and the tracker. Reconstruction efficiencies for both leptons are measured using data.

A hadronically decaying tau lepton is characterized by a narrow isolated jet with low track multiplicity. The
tau reconstruction is either seeded by calorimeter energy clusters or tracks [9]. Three τ-types are distinguished:

- τ-type 1: a single track with energy deposition in the hadronic calorimeter (1-prong, π±-like);
- τ-type 2: a single track with energy deposition in the hadronic and the electromagnetic calorimeter (1-prong, ρ±-like);
- τ-type 3: two or three tracks with an invariant mass below 1.1 or 1.7 GeV, respectively (3-prong).

A set of neural networks, one for each τ-type, has been developed based on further discriminating variables. The neural networks were used elsewhere for a cross section measurement of the process $Z/\gamma^{*} \rightarrow \tau\tau$ [9]. The input variables exploit the differences between hadronically decaying tau leptons and jets in the longitudinal and transverse shower shape as well as differences in the isolation in the calorimeter and the tracker. The training of the neural networks is performed using multijet events from data as the background sample and tau MC events as signal, resulting in a network output close to one for tau candidates and close to zero for background. For τ-types 1 and 2, hadronic tau candidates are required to have a neural network output greater than 0.9. Due to the larger background contamination, this cut value is tightened to 0.95 for τ-type 3.

Electrons and muons can be misidentified as one-prong hadronic tau decays. Hadronically decaying tau leptons deposit a significant fraction of their energy in the hadronic part of the calorimeter. To reject electrons, the ratio between the transverse energy in the hadronic calorimeter and the transverse momentum of the tau track is required to be larger than 0.4. With a smaller rate, background from muons occurs in τ-types 1 and 2 in the $e\tau_h$ analysis. This background is suppressed by rejecting tau candidates to which a muon can be matched.

The signal is characterized by two leptons, missing transverse momentum, and little jet activity. It would stand out as an enhancement above the background from standard model processes in the visible mass

$$M_{\text{vis}} = \sqrt{(P_{T1} + P_{T2} + P_T)^2}, \quad (1)$$

calculated using the four vectors of the visible tau decay products $P_{T1,2}$ and of the missing momentum $p_T = (E_T, E_x, E_y, 0)$. For the optimization of the signal selection, only the high mass region is used, which is defined as $M_{\text{vis}} > 120$ GeV in the $e\tau_h$ and $\mu\tau_h$ analyses and as $M_{\text{vis}} > 110$ GeV in the $e\mu$ analysis.

In the $e\tau_h$ and $\mu\tau_h$ analyses, an isolated lepton ($e, \mu$) and an isolated hadronic tau with transverse momenta above 14 GeV and 20 GeV respectively are required. In addition to the irreducible background from $Z/\gamma^{*} \rightarrow \tau\tau$ production, a $W \rightarrow \ell\nu$ decay can be misidentified as a high-mass di-tau event if it is produced in association with an energetic jet that is misidentified as a hadronic tau decay. In these events, a strongly boosted $W$ boson recoils against the jet, and the mass of the $W$ boson can be reconstructed in the following approximation

$$M_W^{e/\mu} = \sqrt{2 E^\nu E^{e/\mu} (1 - \cos \Delta \phi)}, \quad (2)$$

where the azimuthal angle $\Delta \phi$ is between the lepton and the $E_T$, and $E^\nu = E_T \cdot E^\ell / E^\ell_T$. To suppress the large $W$+jet background, $M_W^{e/\mu}$ is required to be less than 20 GeV.

In the $e\mu$ analysis, two isolated leptons with $p_T > 14$ GeV are required. The dominant background contributions after the lepton selection come from the irreducible $Z/\gamma^{*} \rightarrow \tau\tau$ process, followed by $WW, WZ, t\bar{t}, W \rightarrow \ell\nu$, and multijet events. In this analysis the multijet background is suppressed by requiring $E_T > 14$ GeV. Background from $W$+jet events can be reduced using the transverse mass $M_T^{e/\mu} = \sqrt{2 p_T^{e/\mu} E_T (1 - \cos \Delta \phi)}$ by requiring that either $M_T^e < 10$ GeV or $M_T^\mu < 10$ GeV. Furthermore, the minimum angle between the leptons and the $E_T$ vector, $\min[\Delta \phi(e, E_T), \Delta \phi(\mu, E_T)]$, has to be be smaller than 0.3. Finally, a cut on the scalar sum $H_T$ of the transverse momenta of all jets in the event, $H_T < 70$ GeV, is applied to suppress contributions from $t\bar{t}$ background.

The numbers of events observed in the data and those expected from the various standard model processes are summarized in Table I. The distribution of the visible mass as well as the contributions from various background components are shown in Fig. 1. The estimate of the expected numbers of background and signal events depends on numerous measurements that introduce a systematic uncertainty: integrated luminosity (6.5%), trigger efficiency (1%-4%), lepton identification and reconstruction efficiencies (2%-5%), jet and tau energy calibration (2%-6%), PDF uncertainty (3%-4%), and modeling of multijet background (2%-9%). All except the last one are correlated between the three final states. As

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>$e\tau_h$</th>
<th>$\mu\tau_h$</th>
<th>$e\mu$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QCD</td>
<td>199±26</td>
<td>62±7</td>
<td>2.1±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \tau\tau$</td>
<td>203±26</td>
<td>492±53</td>
<td>39±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow e\ell, \mu\mu$</td>
<td>10±1</td>
<td>5±1</td>
<td>0.6±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W \rightarrow e\nu, \mu\nu, \tau\nu$</td>
<td>14±2</td>
<td>14±2</td>
<td>0.3±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di-boson</td>
<td>0.5±0.1</td>
<td>3.1±0.3</td>
<td>1.0±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t\bar{t}$</td>
<td>0.4±0.1</td>
<td>1.2±0.2</td>
<td>0.06±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total expected</td>
<td>427±55</td>
<td>576±62</td>
<td>44±5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
can be seen from Table I and Fig. 1, good agreement is found between the numbers of events observed and those expected from standard model backgrounds.

The efficiencies for a Higgs boson signal are found to vary between 2.1%, 4.0%, and 1.2% for $M_\Phi = 100$ GeV and 9.9%, 13.6%, and 9.3% for $M_\Phi = 300$ GeV for the $e\tau_h$, $\mu\tau_h$, and $e\mu$ analyses respectively. Since no significant evidence for the production of neutral Higgs bosons with decays $\Phi \to \tau\tau$ is observed, upper limits on the production cross section times branching ratio are extracted as a function of $M_\Phi$. In order to maximize the sensitivity (expected limit), the event samples of the $e\tau_h$ and $\mu\tau_h$ analyses are split into subsamples according to different signal-to-background ratios: The subsamples are separated by $\tau$-type and by $M_W$ ($M_{W^\pm} < 6$ GeV, $6 < M_{W^\pm} < 20$ GeV). Furthermore the differences in shape between signal and background are exploited by using the information of the full mass spectrum of $M_{vis}$ in the limit calculation. Both the expected and the observed limits on the cross section times branching ratio at the 95% confidence level (CL), calculated using the modified frequentist approach [10], are presented in Fig. 2 as a function of $M_\Phi$.

In the MSSM, the masses and couplings of the Higgs bosons depend, in addition to $\tan\beta$ and $M_A$, on the SUSY parameters through radiative corrections. In a constrained model, where unification of the SU(2) and U(1) gaugino masses is assumed, the most relevant parameters are the mixing parameter $X_t$, the Higgs mass parameter $\mu$, the gaugino mass term $M_2$, the gluino mass $m_{\tilde{g}}$, and a common scalar mass $M_{SUSY}$. Limits on $\tan\beta$ as a function of $M_A$ are derived for two scenarios assuming a CP-conserving Higgs sector: the so-called $m_{A}^{\text{max}}$ scenario (with the parameters $M_{SUSY} = 1$ TeV, $X_t = 2$ TeV, $M_2 = 0.2$ TeV, $\mu = \pm 0.2$ TeV, and $m_{\tilde{g}} = 0.8$ TeV) and the no-mixing scenario (with the parameters $M_{SUSY} = 2$ TeV, $X_t = 0$, $M_2 = 0.2$ TeV, $\mu = \pm 0.2$ TeV, and $m_{\tilde{g}} = 1.6$ TeV) [11]. The production cross sections, widths, and branching ratios for the Higgs bosons are calculated over the mass range from 90 to 300 GeV using the feynhiggs program [12], where the
complete set of one-loop corrections and all known two-loop corrections are incorporated. The contributions of SUSY particles in the loop of the gluon fusion process are taken into account, as well as mass- and tan $\beta$-dependent decay widths. In the region of large tan $\beta$, the $A$ boson is nearly degenerate in mass with either the $h$ or the $H$ boson, and their production cross sections are added.

The DØ results obtained in the present analysis are combined with those obtained in the $\Phi b(\bar{b}) \rightarrow b\bar{b}b(\bar{b})$ search [3], which are re-interpreted in the MSSM scenarios used in this Letter. These limits are shown in Fig. 3. For illustration purposes, the limit is shown up to tan $\beta = 100$, ignoring the effects from potentially large higher-order corrections in the very high tan $\beta$ regime. The combined result currently represents the most stringent limit on the production of neutral MSSM Higgs bosons at hadron colliders.
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