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Using data collected with the D0 detector at the Fermilab Tevatron Collider, corresponding to 5.3 fb$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity, we search for violation of Lorentz invariance by examining the $t\bar{t}$ production cross section in lepton+jets final states. We quantify this violation using the standard-model extension framework, which predicts a dependence of the $t\bar{t}$ production cross section on sidereal time as the orientation of the detector changes with the rotation of the Earth. Within this framework, we measure components of the matrices $(c_Q)_{\mu\nu33}$ and $(c_U)_{\mu\nu33}$ containing coefficients used to parametrize violation of Lorentz invariance in the top quark sector. Within uncertainties, these coefficients are found to be consistent with zero.

PACS numbers: 11.30.Cp, 13.85.Qks,. 14.65.Ha

We investigate the possibility of Lorentz invariance violation (LIV) in the top quark ($t$) sector, using data collected with the D0 detector at the Fermilab Tevatron $p\bar{p}$ Collider corresponding to 5.3 fb$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity collected between August 2002 and June 2009. We examine events in which a $t\bar{t}$ pair is produced and decays into a final state including two light quarks ($q, q'$), two $b$ quarks ($b, \bar{b}$), and a lepton-neutrino pair ($\ell, \nu_\ell$) via the mode $t\bar{t} \to W^+bW^-\bar{b} \to \ell\nu b\bar{q}q'$, where $\ell = e, \mu$. The standard-model extension (SME) framework [1] provides an effective field theoretical treatment for violation of Lorentz and CPT symmetry in particle interactions by introducing Lorentz-violating terms to the Lagrangian density of the standard model (SM). As yet, there are no quantitative limits on violations of CPT or Lorentz invariance in the top quark sector [2]. This parameter space is accessible only at high-energy particle colliders. Because top quarks decay before hadronizing, this study also offers the possibility of extending such investigation to what are essentially free quarks.

Strong limits have been set on the magnitude of LIV in gravitational and electromagnetic interactions, as well as in many particle sectors. Most constraints in the particle sectors are for matter involving quarks of the first generation. The latter include limits for the second generation, but only a few for the third generation [2]. The latter include limits for the $b$ quark through $B$-meson oscillations [3], and for $\tau$ neutrinos from neutrino oscillations [4]. There is also a constraint for $\tau$ leptons deduced from theoretical grounds using astrophysical observations [5]. Constraints on LIV have also been predicted for the SM Higgs sector, as derived from radiative corrections [6]. Many of the limits on LIV coefficients in the quark, lepton, and gauge boson sectors are $\lesssim 10^{-5}$. However, no constraints have yet been placed on LIV in the top quark sector. Because the
SME represents a general phenomenological formalism, the LIV terms of the SME are not constrained to couple with the same strength to all particle species. We therefore consider separately only those SME terms that affect the top quark fields in $\bar{t}t$ events.

While it has been shown that CPT violation implies violation of Lorentz invariance [7], the contributions from CPT violating terms in the SME to the matrix element for $\bar{t}t$ production and decay are suppressed. However, contributions from other Lorentz-violating terms can be significant [8]. At leading order in LIV coefficients, the matrix element describing the production and decay of a $\bar{t}t$ pair involves coefficients of the form $c_{\mu\nu}$, where $\mu$ and $\nu$ refer to space-time indices. Although at leading order CPT-odd SME terms describing LIV in the top quark sector are not observable in $\bar{t}t$ production or decay, this analysis is sensitive to several components of the CPT-even ($c_{Q})_{\mu\nu}$ and ($c_{U})_{\mu\nu}$ terms, where $A, B = 3, 3$ refer to the third quark generation. The ($c_{Q})_{\mu\nu}33$ are the SME coefficients coupling to the left-handed components of the third generation quark fields, and ($c_{U})_{\mu\nu}33$ are the SME coefficients coupling to the right-handed singlet top quark field. For brevity, we drop the generation subscripts since we are restricting the analysis to the terms that couple to the top quark fields. To compare our results with SME studies in other particle sectors [2], we also examine the linear combinations

$$c_{\mu\nu} = (c_{Q})_{\mu\nu} + (c_{U})_{\mu\nu},$$
$$d_{\mu\nu} = (c_{Q})_{\mu\nu} - (c_{U})_{\mu\nu}.\quad (1)$$

The matrix element for leading-order $\bar{t}t$ production and decay, including leading-order contributions from SME terms, can be written as [8]

$$|\mathcal{M}|_{\text{SME}}^2 = P F \bar{F} + (\delta P) F \bar{F} + P (\delta F) \bar{F} + P F (\delta \bar{F}).\quad (2)$$

The $P$ terms are functions of the parton momenta at the $\bar{t}t$ production vertex, while the $F$ terms involve parton momenta at the decay vertices. The $P F \bar{F}$ term corresponds to the usual SM component, while the $\delta$-terms reflect the dependence on SME coefficients. This expression summarizes how the SME modifies the matrix element for $\bar{t}t$ production and decay at leading order.

The $\delta$-terms contain contractions of $c_{\mu\nu}$ coefficients with tensors that are functions of the four-momenta of the particles in $\bar{t}t$ production and decay. Due to the $V - A$ structure of the weak current, the right-handed coefficients, $(c_{U})_{\mu\nu}$, couple only to the production ($\delta P$) terms, while the left-handed coefficients, $(c_{Q})_{\mu\nu}$, couple to both production and decay ($\delta F$) terms. The matrices of $c_{\mu\nu}$ coefficients are symmetric and traceless. Within the SME, these coefficients are defined by convention in the canonical Sun-centered reference frame [2].

The kinematic component of the $\delta$-terms of Eq. (2) can be evaluated in any coordinate system. A convenient reference frame is that of a coordinate system fixed to the measuring apparatus, and we therefore choose to evaluate such contractions in the D0 coordinate system. In this system, the momenta entering the calculation of Eq. (2) are just the momenta of the particles measured in the detector, and, to calculate the matrix element, the coefficients $(c_{U})_{\mu\nu}$ and $(c_{Q})_{\mu\nu}$ must therefore be transformed from the Sun’s reference system to the D0 coordinate system.

Since the Earth is rotating about its axis, the transformation of the coefficients $(c_{U})_{\mu\nu}$ and $(c_{Q})_{\mu\nu}$ from the Sun-centered frame to the laboratory frame introduces a time dependence. The relevant time scale is the sidereal day, which has a period of 23 hr 56 min 4.1 s (86,164.1 s). If any of the coefficients $(c_{U})_{\mu\nu}$ or $(c_{Q})_{\mu\nu}$ are non-zero in the Sun-centered frame, they can be detected through a periodic oscillation in the number of $\bar{t}t$ events observed in the Earth-based detector as a function of sidereal time.

The data used for this analysis correspond to 5.3 fb$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity collected with the D0 detector. The D0 detector [9] consists of several subdetectors designed for identification and reconstruction of the products of $\bar{p}p$ collisions. A silicon microstrip tracker and central fiber tracker surround the interaction region for pseudorapidities $|\eta| < 3$ and $|\eta| < 2.5$, respectively (where $\eta = -\ln[\tan(\theta/2)]$ is measured relative to the center of the detector, and $\theta$ is the polar angle with respect to the proton beam direction). These elements of the central tracking system are located within a 2 T superconducting solenoidal magnet, providing measurements for reconstructing event vertices and paths of charged particles. Particle energies are measured using a liquid argon and uranium calorimeter. Outside of the calorimetry, trajectories of muons are measured using three layers of tracking detectors and scintillation trigger counters, with 1.8 T iron toroidal magnets between the first two layers. Plastic scintillator arrays in front of the end-calorimeter cryostats provide measurements of luminosity.

We employ the same event selection as described in greater detail in Ref. [10]. Briefly, events are collected using a suite of triggers selecting events with a single lepton ($e$ or $\mu$) or a single lepton plus a jet. Candidate $\bar{t}t$ events in the lepton+jets channels are then selected by requiring the presence of one isolated electron (or muon) candidate with transverse momentum $p_T > 20$ GeV and pseudorapidity $|\eta| < 1.1$ (2), and an imbalance in transverse energy of $E_T > 20$ GeV (25 GeV). Events are divided into bins of jet multiplicity, and all jets are required to be reconstructed with $p_T > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$, with a leading jet of $p_T > 40$ GeV. One of the jets is required to be tagged as a b-jet candidate through a neural-network-based (NN) algorithm [11]. The time of production of each $\bar{t}t$ event is recorded with the event data, with an average accuracy of approximately $\pm 30$ s. To follow the
conventions utilized in other SME studies [2], we shift the origin of the time coordinate to correspond to the vernal equinox of the year 2000.

The SME predicts time dependent effects on the $t\bar{t}$ cross section of the form

$$\sigma(t) \approx \sigma_{\text{ave}} [1 + f_{\text{SME}}(t)], \quad \text{(3)}$$

where $\sigma_{\text{ave}}$ is the observed (time averaged) cross section for $t\bar{t} \rightarrow W^+W^–\bar{b} \rightarrow \ell\nu bq\bar{q}'\bar{b}$, in $\ell$+jets final states. To arrive at Eq. (3), we compare the contribution from the SME terms in Eq. (2) to the SM expectation by considering the ratio of $|M|_{\text{SME}}^2$ to the SM component $PFF$. The SME contributions in this ratio are collected into the function

$$f_{\text{SME}}(t) = [(c_Q)_{\mu\nu} + (c_U)_{\mu\nu}] R^\alpha(t) R^\beta(t) A^{\alpha\beta}_P + (c_Q)_{\mu\nu} R^\mu(t) R^\nu(t) A^{\alpha\beta}_F. \quad \text{(4)}$$

Eq. (4) is a product of the matrices of time-independent coefficients $(c_Q)_{\mu\nu}$ and $(c_U)_{\mu\nu}$, four-by-four matrices of terms that depend on the event production ($A^{\alpha\beta}_P$) and decay ($A^{\alpha\beta}_F$) kinematics in the D0 frame, and a rotation matrix $R^\alpha(t)$ that transforms $A^{\alpha\beta}_P$ and $A^{\alpha\beta}_F$ from the D0 frame to the Sun-centered frame.

The $A^{\alpha\beta}_P$ and $A^{\alpha\beta}_F$ matrices are evaluated using $t\bar{t}$ Monte Carlo events generated with PYTHIA [12]. Events that pass detector acceptance, trigger, event reconstruction, and analysis selections (modeled by a full simulation of the D0 detector) are corrected according to the SME expectation of Eq. (2).

The SME contribution to the cross section has the general form $f_{\text{SME}}(t) = C_{\mu\nu} R^\mu(t) R^\nu(t) A^{\alpha\beta}$ for the four model assumptions summarized in Table I. For each model, $C_{\mu\nu}$ represents the constant coefficients we wish to determine, and $A^{\alpha\beta}$ refers to the appropriate linear combination of $A^{\alpha\beta}_P$ and $A^{\alpha\beta}_F$.

**TABLE I: $f_{\text{SME}}(t)$ for different SME assumptions.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assumption</th>
<th>$f_{\text{SME}}(t)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$(c_U)_{\mu\nu} = 0$</td>
<td>$(c_Q)_{\mu\nu} R^\mu(t) R^\nu(t)(A^{\alpha\beta}_P + A^{\alpha\beta}_F)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(c_Q)_{\mu\nu} = 0$</td>
<td>$(c_U)_{\mu\nu} R^\mu(t) R^\nu(t)(A^{\alpha\beta}_P)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_{\mu\nu} = 0$</td>
<td>$d_{\mu\nu} R^\mu(t) R^\nu(t) \frac{1}{2} A^{\alpha\beta}_A$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{\mu\nu} = 0$</td>
<td>$c_{\mu\nu} R^\mu(t) R^\nu(t) (A^{\alpha\beta}_P + \frac{1}{2} A^{\alpha\beta}_F)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For each model, we estimate one possible component of $C_{\mu\nu}$ at a time. We impose the requirements that each tensor $C_{\mu\nu}$ is symmetric and traceless, choosing $C_{XX} = -C_{YY}$ to satisfy the latter condition. We adopt the index ordering conventions $\mu, \nu = \{T, X, Y, Z\}$ to refer to coordinates in the Sun-centered frame and $\alpha, \beta = \{t, x, y, z\}$ for coordinates in the D0 frame. Evaluating Eq. (4) for the different assumptions of Table I yields the following results: (i) Coefficients $C_{TT}$ and $C_{ZZ}$ contribute only to the total cross section, and we do not attempt to extract these coefficients. (ii) Coefficients $C_{TX}, C_{TY},$ and $C_{TZ}$ combine with the small off-diagonal elements of matrices $A^{\alpha\beta}_P$ and $A^{\alpha\beta}_F$, for which we expect poor sensitivity. (iii) Coefficients $C_{XZ}$ and $C_{YZ}$ couple to expressions that depend on sidereal time (differing by a phase of $\pi/2$). (iv) Coefficients $C_{XX}$ and $C_{YY}$ couple to time dependent expressions with twice the sidereal frequency, and the two terms differ by a phase of $\pi/4$.

Table II collects the resulting forms of the function $f_{\text{SME}}(t)$ for different assumptions. We refer to the “sidereal phase” $\omega_s t$ as $\phi$, where $\omega_s$ is the inverse of the sidereal day. The b-terms in these expressions depend on the colatitude of the detector, the orientation of the proton beam at the detector relative to geographic north, and the $XX$ and $ZZ$ elements of the combination of $A^{\alpha\beta}_P$ and $A^{\alpha\beta}_F$ that are appropriate to the particular assumption of the model.

**TABLE II: Forms for $f_{\text{SME}}(\phi)$ used to extract SME coefficients.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>$f_{\text{SME}}(\phi)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_{XX} = -C_{YY}$</td>
<td>$2C_{XX} (\cos 2\phi + b_3 \sin 2\phi)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{XY} = C_{YY}$</td>
<td>$2C_{XY} (b_1 \cos 2\phi + b_3 \sin 2\phi)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{XZ} = C_{ZZ}$</td>
<td>$2C_{XZ} (b_1 \cos 2\phi + b_3 \sin 2\phi)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{YX} = C_{YY}$</td>
<td>$2C_{YX} (b_1 \cos 2\phi + b_3 \sin 2\phi)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assuming that any LIV originates from just the top quark sector, we expect the background rate (principally $W\text{+jets}$ events) to be proportional only to the luminosity. To search for a signal varying with sidereal time, we sum the contributions to each of twelve $N_i$ bins (corresponding to two sidereal hours each) for all data:

$$N_i \approx N_{\text{tot}} \frac{L_i}{L_{\text{int}}} [1 + f_S f_{\text{SME}}(\phi_i)], \quad \text{(5)}$$

where $N_{\text{tot}}$ is the total number of signal ($t\bar{t}$) and background (non-$t\bar{t}$) events corresponding to the total integrated luminosity $L_{\text{int}}$, $L_i$ is the integrated luminosity over the appropriate bin of sidereal phase $\phi_i$, and $f_S$ is the average fraction of signal events in the data.

We extract $f_S$ from the data that was used previously to determine the $tt$ cross section in $\ell$+jets events [10]. The $t\bar{t}$ cross section is measured in bins of jet multiplicity for the $\ell$+jets and $\mu$+jets channels. The subset of events with at least four reconstructed jets that pass selection requirements contain a high fraction of $t\bar{t}$ events, providing the best sensitivity to any time dependence in the $t\bar{t}$ event rate. We find $f_S(\ell+\text{+3-jets}) = 0.78 \pm 0.12$ and
\[ f_S(\mu^+ > 3\text{-jets}) = 0.76 \pm 0.11. \] Because of this difference, we treat the electron and muon channels separately.

To simplify fitting \( f_{\text{SME}}(\phi) \) to the data, we define a variable \( R \) for each bin:

\[ R_t = \frac{1}{f_S} \left( \frac{N_t / N_{\text{int}}}{L_t / L_{\text{int}}} - 1 \right). \quad (6) \]

Equation (6) is the luminosity-corrected sidereally-binned relative \( t\bar{t} \) event rate, which can be compared directly to \( f_{\text{SME}}(\phi) \). In the absence of any significant sidereal time dependence, all the \( R_t \) values should be consistent with zero, while a sidereal time dependence would produce a sinusoidal variation in this rate. The amplitude for any sinusoidal dependence is given by the product of an SME coefficient and a mixture of contributions from the rotation matrix and appropriate combination of elements from \( A_{p}^{Qa3} \) and \( A_{F}^{Qa3} \). This latter mixture also fixes the phase of the sinusoidal function in a fit to the data.

The resulting distributions for \( R \) as a function of sidereal phase are shown in Fig. 1, separately for the electron and muon channels. The forms of \( f_{\text{SME}}(\phi) \) are fitted to these two distributions to estimate the values of the SME coefficients for the assumptions summarized in Tables I and II. We apply a small correction of 1.2\%–4.7\% to each extracted value to account for biases introduced by the finite bin size.

**FIG. 1:** The dependence of \( R \), as defined in Eq. (6), on sidereal phase for (a) \( e^+ > 3\text{-jets} \) \( t\bar{t} \) candidates, and (b) \( \mu^+ > 3\text{-jets} \) \( t\bar{t} \) candidates.

While the dominant contribution to the uncertainty on the SME coefficients results from the limited size of our \( t\bar{t} \) data sample, the estimated fraction of \( t\bar{t} \) events in the data contributes an additional uncertainty. We treat this as a systematic uncertainty in this study. The background from single top quark events can, in principle, exhibit SME effects. However, their relative contribution to the \( t\bar{t} \) sample is negligible (\( \approx 1\% \)). The orientation and location of the detector, as well as the origin chosen for the time of events, also carry negligible uncertainties. Finally, any uncertainties in the values of the elements of \( A_{p}^{Qa3} \) and \( A_{F}^{Qa3} \) can potentially contribute a systematic uncertainty in this analysis, in ways similar to those discussed in the analysis of the \( t\bar{t} \) cross section, as summarized below.

The leading sources of systematic uncertainty in the kinematics of \( t\bar{t} \) events arise from: (i) the jet energy scale, (ii) jet energy resolution, and (iii) jet identification. These can affect the distributions of momenta reconstructed in the detector, but, as the elements of \( A_{p}^{Qa3} \) and \( A_{F}^{Qa3} \) reflect only average values of the components of the momenta over the detector acceptance, such averages are not very sensitive to small changes in kinematic parameters. The relative uncertainty of the contributing elements is negligible compared to the statistical uncertainty of the data and the systematic uncertainties on signal fractions \( f_S \).

A periodic time dependence could potentially be introduced to the event rate through changes in event selection efficiency. We check this possibility by examining the luminosity-corrected sidereally-binned relative event rates (\( R \) distributions) for the lepton+\( n \)-jets channels, where \( n = 2, 3 \). These bins of jet multiplicity contain relatively small contributions from \( t\bar{t} \) events, with \( f_S(\ell + 2\text{-jets}) \approx 12\% \) and \( f_S(\ell + 3\text{-jets}) \approx 45\% \). We extract the amplitudes for any time dependent oscillations, corresponding to the parameterizations used for the coefficients in Tables III–V, in each of the four cross-check channels (\( \ell + n \)-jets, where \( \ell = e, \mu \) and \( n = 2, 3 \)). For each assumption, the ensemble of fits is consistent with no time dependence at levels of probability in the range 6\%–38\%. We therefore conclude that these cross checks give no indication of a sidereal time-dependent efficiency.

Finally, it should be noted that any residual nondirectional time dependence is suppressed greatly by folding the data into twelve bins of sidereal phase, as the magnitude of any residual contribution following this folding depends inversely on the difference in the period of the time-dependent efficiency and the sidereal period. Most problematic would be an unexpected time-dependent efficiency with a period close to that of a sidereal day. The worst realistic case would be a contribution to detector efficiency that has a period of 24 solar hours. However, because the data taking spans approximately seven years, any contributions from such an effect would be suppressed by about a factor of 10. To affect our conclusions, we would have had to experience a highly unlikely periodic dependence of the efficiency of approximately 75\% over 24 hours. No periodic effects of this magnitude have ever been observed in the detection efficiencies for objects considered in this analysis.

Because the SME contribution to the matrix element is independent of lepton flavor, we perform a simultaneous fit to both the \( e^+ > 3\text{-jets} \) and \( \mu^+ > 3\text{-jets} \) data to obtain the final results. The extracted SME coefficients are all consistent with no time dependence, and we therefore find no evidence for violation of Lorentz invariance in
the $t\bar{t}$ system.

We define the observed limits (95% C.L. intervals) for each SME coefficient as the extracted value $\pm 2$ standard deviations. Because the magnitude of the 95% confidence bounds on elements of the linear combination $c_{\mu\nu} = (c_Q)_{\mu\nu} + (c_U)_{\mu\nu}$ for the assumption of $d_{\mu\nu} = 0$ are larger than 1, we cannot place meaningful limits on these combinations of SME coefficients in this analysis. The remaining limits are presented in Tables III–V.

TABLE III: Limits on SME coefficients at the 95% C.L., assuming $(c_U)_{\mu\nu} \equiv 0$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Value $\pm$ Stat. $\pm$ Sys.</th>
<th>95% C.L. Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$(c_Q)<em>{X</em>{33}}$</td>
<td>$-0.12 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$[-0.34, +0.11]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(c_Q)<em>{Y</em>{33}}$</td>
<td>$0.12 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$[-0.11, +0.34]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(c_Q)<em>{X</em>{33}}$</td>
<td>$-0.04 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$[-0.26, +0.18]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(c_Q)<em>{Y</em>{33}}$</td>
<td>$0.15 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$[-0.01, +0.31]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(c_Q)<em>{Y</em>{33}}$</td>
<td>$-0.03 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$[-0.19, +0.12]$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE IV: Limits on SME coefficients at the 95% C.L., assuming $(c_Q)_{\mu\nu} \equiv 0$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Value $\pm$ Stat. $\pm$ Sys.</th>
<th>95% C.L. Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$(c_U)<em>{X</em>{33}}$</td>
<td>$0.10 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$[-0.08, +0.27]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(c_U)<em>{Y</em>{33}}$</td>
<td>$-0.10 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$[-0.27, +0.08]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(c_U)<em>{X</em>{33}}$</td>
<td>$0.04 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$[-0.14, +0.22]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(c_U)<em>{Y</em>{33}}$</td>
<td>$-0.14 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$[-0.28, +0.01]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(c_U)<em>{Y</em>{33}}$</td>
<td>$0.01 \pm 0.07 \pm &lt; 0.01$</td>
<td>$[-0.13, +0.14]$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE V: Limits on SME coefficients at the 95% C.L., assuming $c_{\mu\nu} \equiv 0$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Value $\pm$ Stat. $\pm$ Sys.</th>
<th>95% C.L. Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$d_{X_{33}}$</td>
<td>$-0.11 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$[-0.31, +0.09]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{Y_{33}}$</td>
<td>$0.11 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$[-0.09, +0.31]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{X_{33}}$</td>
<td>$-0.04 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$[-0.24, +0.16]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{Y_{33}}$</td>
<td>$0.14 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$[-0.01, +0.29]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{Y_{33}}$</td>
<td>$-0.02 \pm 0.07 \pm &lt; 0.01$</td>
<td>$[-0.16, +0.13]$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the SME, different particles can have distinct Lorentz-violating properties, so it is of interest to test all species. Most constraints on LIV are for particles of the first and second generations, with a few limits on SME coefficients for the third generation. The only sector for which no constraints on Lorentz violation exist to date is the top quark [2]. The limits on the $(c_Q)_{\mu\nu}^{33}$ and $(c_U)_{\mu\nu}^{33}$ coefficients determined in this study represent the first constraints on LIV in the top quark sector, and the first such constraints on any free quark.
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