Evidence for an anomalous like-sign dimuon charge asymmetry

We measure the charge asymmetry $A$ of like-sign dimuon events in 6.1 fb$^{-1}$ of $pp$ collisions recorded with the D0 detector at a center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV at the Fermilab Tevatron collider. From $A$, we extract the like-sign dimuon charge asymmetry in semileptonic $b$-hadron decays: $A_0^b = -0.00957 \pm 0.00251$ (stat) $\pm 0.00146$ (syst). This result differs by 3.2 standard deviations from the standard model prediction $A_{0}^{b}(\text{SM}) = (-2.3^{+0.5}_{-0.6}) \times 10^{-5}$ and provides first evidence of anomalous CP-violation in the mixing of neutral $B$ mesons.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Studies of particle production and decay under the reversal of discrete symmetries (charge, parity and time reversal) have yielded considerable insight on the structure of the theories that describe high energy phenomena. Of particular interest is the observation of CP violation, a phenomenon well established in the $K^0$ and $B_d^0$ systems, but not yet observed for the $B_s^0$ system, where all CP violation effects are expected to be small in the standard model (SM) [1] (See [2] and references therein for a review of the experimental results and of the theoretical framework for describing CP violation in neutral mesons decays). The violation of CP symmetry is a necessary condition for baryogenesis, the process thought to be responsible for the matter-antimatter asymmetry of the universe [3]. However, the observed CP violation in the $K^0$ and $B_d^0$ systems, consistent with the standard model expectation, is not sufficient to explain this asymmetry, suggesting the presence of additional sources of CP violation, beyond the standard model.

The D0 experiment at the Fermilab Tevatron proton-antiproton ($pp$) collider, operating at a center-of-mass...
In this paper we present a measurement of the like-sign dimuon charge asymmetry $A$, defined as

$$A = \frac{N^{++} - N^{--}}{N^{++} + N^{--}},$$

where $N^{++}$ and $N^{--}$ represent, respectively, the number of events in which the two muons of highest transverse momentum satisfying the kinematic selections have the same positive or negative charge. After removing the contributions from backgrounds and from residual detector effects, we observe a net asymmetry that is significantly different from zero.

We interpret this result assuming that the only source of this asymmetry is the mixing of neutral $B$ mesons that decay semileptonically, and obtain a measurement of the asymmetry $A_{sl}^{b}$ defined as

$$A_{sl}^{b} = \frac{N_{b}^{++} - N_{b}^{--}}{N_{b}^{++} + N_{b}^{--}},$$

where $N_{b}^{++}$ and $N_{b}^{--}$ represent the number of events containing two $b$ hadrons decaying semileptonically and producing two positive or two negative muons, respectively. As shown in Appendix A each neutral $B_{q}^{0}$ meson ($q = d, s$) contributes a term to this asymmetry given by:

$$a_{sl}^{q} = \frac{\Delta \Gamma_{q}}{\Delta M_{q}} \tan \phi_{q},$$

where $\phi_{q}$ is the $CP$-violating phase, and $\Delta M_{q}$ and $\Delta \Gamma_{q}$ are the mass and width differences between the eigenstates of the mass matrices of the neutral $B_{q}^{0}$ mesons. The SM predicts the values $\phi_{s} = 0.0042 \pm 0.0014$ and $\phi_{d} = -0.096^{+0.026}_{-0.038}$ [1]. These values set the scale for the expected asymmetries in the semileptonic decays of $B_{q}^{0}$ mesons that are negligible compared to the present experimental sensitivity [1]. In the standard model $A_{sl}^{b}$ is

$$A_{sl}^{b}(SM) = (-2.3^{+0.5}_{-0.6}) \times 10^{-4},$$

where the uncertainty is mainly due to experimental measurement of the fraction of $B_{q}^{0}$ mesons produced in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at the Tevatron, and of the parameters controlling the mixing of neutral $B$ mesons. The $B_{q}^{0}$ semileptonic charge asymmetry, which constrains the phase $\phi_{q}$, has been measured at $e^{+}e^{-}$ colliders [2], and the most precise results reported by the BaBar and Belle Collaborations, given in Refs. [4, 5], are in agreement with the SM prediction. Extensions of the SM could produce additional contributions to the Feynman box diagrams responsible for $B_{q}^{0}$ mixing and other corrections that can provide larger values of $\phi_{q}$ [6-9]. Measurements of $A_{sl}^{b}$ or $\phi_{q}$ that differ significantly from the SM expectations would indicate the presence of new physics.

The asymmetry $A_{sl}^{b}$ is also equal to the charge asymmetry $a_{sl}^{b}$ of semileptonic decays of $b$ hadrons to muons of “wrong charge” (i.e. a muon charge opposite to the charge of the original $b$ quark) induced through $B_{q}^{0}B_{q}^{0}$ oscillations [10]:

$$a_{sl}^{b} = \frac{\Gamma(B \to \mu^{+}X) - \Gamma(B \to \mu^{-}X)}{\Gamma(B \to \mu^{+}X) + \Gamma(B \to \mu^{-}X)} = A_{sl}^{b}. \quad (5)$$

We extract $A_{sl}^{b}$ from two observables. The first is the like-sign dimuon charge asymmetry $A$ of Eq. (1), and the second observable is the inclusive muon charge asymmetry $a$ defined as

$$a = \frac{n^{+} - n^{-}}{n^{+} + n^{-}}, \quad (6)$$

where $n^{+}$ and $n^{-}$ correspond to the number of detected positive and negative muons, respectively.

At the Fermilab Tevatron collider, $b$ quarks are produced mainly in $bb$ pairs. The signal for the asymmetry $A$ is composed of like-sign dimuon events, with one muon arising from direct semileptonic $b$-hadron decay $b \to \mu^{-}X$ [11], and the other muon resulting from $B_{q}^{0}B_{q}^{0}$ oscillation, followed by the direct semileptonic $B_{q}^{0}$ meson decay $B_{q}^{0} \to B_{q}^{0} \to \mu^{-}X$. Consequently the second muon has the “wrong sign” due to $B_{q}^{0}B_{q}^{0}$ mixing. For the asymmetry $a$, the signal comes from mixing, followed by the semileptonic decay $B_{q}^{0} \to B_{q}^{0} \to \mu^{-}X$. The main backgrounds for these measurements arise from events with at least one muon from kaon or pion decay, or from the sequential decay of $b$ quarks $b \to c \to \mu^{+}X$. For the asymmetry $a$, there is an additional background from direct production of $c$-quarks followed by their semileptonic decays.

The data used in this analysis were recorded with the D0 detector [12-14] at the Fermilab Tevatron proton-antiproton collider between April 2002 and June 2009 and correspond to an integrated luminosity of 6.1 ± 0.4 fb$^{-1}$. The result presented in this Article supersedes our previous measurement [15] based on the initial data set corresponding to 1 fb$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity. In addition to the larger data set, the main difference between these two analyses is that almost all quantities in the present measurement are obtained directly from data, with minimal input from simulation. To avoid any bias, the central value of the asymmetry was extracted from the full data set only after all other aspects of the analysis and all systematic uncertainties had been finalized.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II, we present the strategy of the measurement. The detector and data selections are discussed in Sec. III, and in Sec. IV we describe the Monte Carlo simulations used in this analysis. Sections V-XIII provide further details. Section XIV presents the results, Sec. XV describes consistency checks, Sec. XVI compares the obtained result
with other existing measurements, and, finally, Sec. XVII gives the conclusions. Appendices A–E provide additional technical details on aspects of the analysis.

II. MEASUREMENT METHOD

We measure the dimuon charge asymmetry $A$ defined in Eq. (1) and the inclusive muon charge asymmetry $a$ of Eq. (6), starting from a dimuon data sample and an inclusive muon sample respectively. Background processes and detector asymmetries contribute to these asymmetries. These contributions are measured directly in data and used to correct the asymmetries. After applying these corrections, the only expected source of residual asymmetry in both the inclusive muon and dimuon samples is from the asymmetry $A_{\text{cl}}^L$. Simulations are used to relate the residual asymmetries to the asymmetry $A_{\text{cl}}^L$, and to obtain two independent measurements of $A_{\text{cl}}^L$. These measurements are combined to take advantage of the correlated contributions from backgrounds, and to reduce the total uncertainties in the determination of $A_{\text{cl}}^L$.

The source of the asymmetry $a$ has its nominal origin in the semileptonic charge asymmetry of neutral $B$ mesons, defined in Eq. (5). However, various detector and material-related processes also contribute to $n^\pm$. We classify all muons into two categories according to their origin. The first category, “short”, denoted in the following as “$S$”, includes muons from weak decays of $b$ and $c$ quarks and $\tau$ leptons, and from electromagnetic decays of the short-lived mesons ($\phi, \omega, \eta, \rho^0$). The muons in the second, “long”, category denoted as “$L$”, come from decays of charged kaons and pions and from other processes: charged kaons, pions, and protons not fully absorbed by the calorimeter and reaching the muon detectors (“punch-through”), and false matches of central tracks produced by kaons, pions or protons to a track segment reconstructed in the muon detector. Thus, the $L$ sample contains only the contribution from long-lived particles. The total number of muons in the inclusive muon sample is

$$n = n^+ + n^- = n_S + n_L,$$  

(7)

where $n_S$ is the number of $S$ muons, and $n_L$ is the number of $L$ muons.

The initial number of observed $\mu^+$ (upper signs) or $\mu^-$ (lower signs) is

$$n^\pm \propto f_S(1 \pm a_S)(1 \pm \delta) + f_K(1 \pm a_K) + f_\pi(1 \pm a_\pi) + f_p(1 \pm a_p).$$  

(8)

In this expression, the quantity $\delta$ is the charge asymmetry related to muon detection and identification, $f_K$ is the fraction of muons from charged kaon decay, punch-through, or false association with a kaon track, and $a_K$ is their charge asymmetry. This asymmetry is measured directly in data as described in Sec. XI, and therefore, by definition, includes the contribution from $\delta$. The analogous quantities $f_\pi$ and $f_p$ represent the fraction of muons from charged pion decay, punch-through or false muon association with a pion track, and proton punch-through or false muon association with a proton track, respectively, while $a_\pi$ and $a_p$ represent the corresponding charge asymmetries. The fraction $f_p$ also includes a contribution from the association of falsely identified tracks with muons. The quantity $f_{\text{bkg}} = n_L/(n_S + n_L) = f_K + f_p + f_{\text{bkg}}$ is the $L$ background fraction, $f_S = n_S/(n_S + n_L) = 1 - f_{\text{bkg}}$ is the fraction of $S$ muons, and $a_S$ is related to the semileptonic charge asymmetry $A_{\text{cl}}^S$, as discussed in Sec. XIII. The charge asymmetry $a$ can be expressed in terms of these quantities as

$$a = f_S(a_S + \delta) + f_K a_K + f_\pi a_\pi + f_p a_p,$$  

(9)

where, because of the small values of $\delta$ and $a_S$, only terms that depend linearly on the asymmetries are considered.

The most important background term is $f_K a_K$, which measures the contribution from kaon decay and punch-through. The asymmetry $a_K$ reflects the fact that the inelastic interaction length of the $K^+$ meson is greater than that of the $K^-$ meson [2]. This difference arises from additional hyperon production channels in $K^-$-nucleon reactions, which are absent for their $K^+$-nucleon analogs. Since the interaction probability of $K^+$ mesons is smaller, they travel further than $K^-$ in the detector material, and have a greater chance of decaying to muons, and a larger probability to punch-through the absorber material thereby mimicking a muon signal. As a result, the asymmetry $a_K$ is positive. Since all other asymmetries are at least a factor of ten smaller than $a_K$, neglecting the quadratic terms in Eq. (9) produces an impact of < 1% on the final result.

In analogy with Eq. (7), the number of like-sign dimuon events can be written as

$$N = N^{++} + N^{--} = N_{SS} + N_{SL} + N_{LL},$$  

(10)

where $N_{SS}$ ($N_{LL}$) is the number of like-sign dimuon events with two $S$ ($L$) muons, and, similarly, $N_{SL}$ is the number of events with one $S$ and one $L$ muon. A particle producing an $L$ muon can be a kaon, pion or proton, and, correspondingly, we define the numbers $N_{SL}^x$ with $x = K, \pi, \rho$, and $p$. In a similar way, we define $N_{LL}^{x,y}$ with $x, y = K, \pi, p$. The corresponding fractions, defined per like-sign dimuon event, are

$$F_{SS}^x = N_{SS}^x/N$$

and $F_{LL}^{x,y} = N_{LL}^{x,y}/N$. We also define $F_{SS} = N_{SS}/N$, $F_{SL} = N_{SL}/N$, and $F_{LL} = N_{LL}/N$.

The number of observed like-sign dimuon events $\mu^+\mu^+$ (upper signs) or $\mu^-\mu^-$ (lower signs) is

$$N^{\pm\pm} \propto F_{SS}(1 \pm A_S)(1 \pm \Delta)^2$$

$$+ \sum_{x=K,\pi,p} F_{SL}^x(1 \pm A_x)(1 \pm A_S)(1 \pm \Delta)$$

$$+ \sum_{x,y=K,\pi,p} \sum_{x \neq y} F_{LL}^{x,y}(1 \pm A_x)(1 \pm A_y).$$  

(11)

The charge asymmetry of $N_{SS}$ events contains the contribution from the expected asymmetry $A_S$ that we want...
to measure, and the charge asymmetry $\Delta$ related to the detection and identification of muons. The asymmetry of the $N_{SL}$ events contains the contribution of background asymmetries $A_x \ (x = K, \pi, p)$ for one muon, and the asymmetry $(1 \pm a_S)(1 \pm \Delta)$ for the other muon. The asymmetry of $N_{LL}$ events contains the contribution from background asymmetries $A_x$ for both muons. By definition, the detection asymmetry $\Delta$ is included in the values of $A_K$, $A_\pi$, and $A_p$.

Keeping only the terms linear in asymmetries, the uncorrected dimuon charge asymmetry defined in Eq. (1) can be expressed as

$$A = \frac{N^{++} - N^{--}}{N^{++} + N^{--}} = F_{SS} A_S + F_{SL} A_S$$

$$+(2 - F_{bkg}) \Delta + F_{K} A_K + F_{\pi} A_\pi + F_{p} A_p,$$

where $F_{K} = F_{SL}^{K} + F_{LL}^{K} + F_{LL}^{KP} + 2F_{LL}^{KK}$ is the total number of muons from charged kaon decay or punch-through per like-sign dimuon event, and the quantities $F_x$ and $F_\mu$ are defined similarly for charged pions and protons. The background fraction $F_{bkg}$ is

$$F_{bkg} = F_{K} + F_{\pi} + F_{p} = F_{SL} + 2F_{LL}.$$

From Eqs. (10) and (13), it follows that

$$F_{SS} + F_{bkg} - F_{LL} = 1.$$  

Similarly, the term $F_{K} A_K$ is computed as

$$F_{K} A_K = \sum_{i=0}^{4} F_{\mu}^{i} F_{K}^{i} a_K,$$

where $F_{\mu}^{i}$ is the fraction of muons in a given $p_T$ interval in the like-sign dimuon sample. The kaon asymmetry is determined by the properties of the particle and not those of the event, we use the same asymmetry $a_K$ for a given $p_T$ interval in both the inclusive muon and the like-sign dimuon sample. We verify in Sec. XV that the final result does not depend significantly on muon $\eta$, nor upon kinematic properties of events, luminosity or the mass of the $\mu\mu$ system. The definition of the muon $p_T$ intervals and the values of $f_{\mu}^{i}$ and $F_{\mu}^{i}$ are given in Table I. The same procedure is applied to all other terms in Eqs. (9) and (12), e.g.,

$$(2 - F_{bkg}) \Delta = \sum_{i=0}^{4} F_{\mu}^{i} (2 - F_{bkg}^{i}) \delta_i.$$  

As in the case of $a_s$, the source of the asymmetry $A_S$ is the charge asymmetry in semileptonic $B$-meson decays. Thus, two independent measurements of $A_{sl}^B$ can be performed using the inclusive muon and like-sign dimuon data samples. The asymmetry $a_s$ is dominated by detector effects, mostly due to the asymmetry arising from the different interaction lengths of charged kaons. However, $A_{sl}^B$ far more sensitive to the asymmetry $A_{sl}^B$ because of the definition of $A$ in Eq. (1), which has the number of like-sign dimuon events, rather than all dimuon events in the denominator. Although a weighted average of these $A_{sl}^B$ measurements can be made, we take advantage of correlations among backgrounds and asymmetries to further improve the precision of $A_{sl}^B$ through a linear combination of $A$ and $a$. In this combination, which is discussed in Sec. XIV, the detector effects and related systematic uncertainties cancel to a large degree, resulting in an improved measurement of $A_{sl}^B$.

### III. DETECTOR AND DATA SELECTION

The D0 detector is described in Refs. [12–14]. It consists of a magnetic central-tracking system that comprises a silicon microstrip tracker (SMT) and a central fiber

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bin</th>
<th>Muon $p_T$ Range (GeV)</th>
<th>$f_{\mu}^{i}$</th>
<th>$F_{\mu}^{i}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.5 – 2.5</td>
<td>0.0055</td>
<td>0.0442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5 – 4.2</td>
<td>0.1636</td>
<td>0.2734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.2 – 7.0</td>
<td>0.6587</td>
<td>0.5017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.0 – 10.0</td>
<td>0.1175</td>
<td>0.1238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.0 – 25.0</td>
<td>0.0547</td>
<td>0.0569</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I: Fractions of muon candidates in the inclusive muon ($f_{\mu}^{i}$) and in the like-sign dimuon ($F_{\mu}^{i}$, with two entries per event) samples.
track (CFT), both located within a 1.9 T superconducting solenoidal magnet [13]. The SMT has \( \approx 800,000 \) individual strips, with a typical pitch of 50–80 \( \mu m \), and a design optimized for tracking and vertexing for \( |\eta| < 2.5 \). The system has a six-barrel longitudinal structure, each with a set of four layers arranged axially around the beam pipe, and interspersed with 16 radial disks. In the spring of 2006, a “Layer 0” barrel detector with 12288 additional strips was installed [14], and two radial disks were removed. The sensors of Layer 0 are located at a radius of 17 mm from the colliding beams. The CFT has eight thin coaxial barrels, each supporting two doublets of overlapping scintillating fibers of 0.835 mm diameter, one doublet parallel to the collision axis, and the other alternating by \( \pm 3^\circ \) relative to the axis. Light signals are transferred via clear fibers to visual light photon counters (VLPCs) that have \( \approx 80\% \) quantum efficiency.

The muon system [12] is located beyond the liquid Argon-Uranium calorimeters that surround the central tracking system, and consists of a layer A of tracking detectors and scintillation trigger counters before 1.8 T iron toroids, followed by two similar layers B and C after the toroids. Tracking for \( |\eta| < 1 \) relies on 10-cm wide drift tubes, while 1-cm minidrift tubes are used for \( 1 < |\eta| < 2 \).

The trigger and data acquisition systems are designed to handle the high instantaneous luminosities. Based on information from tracking, calorimetry, and muon systems, the output of the first level of the trigger is used to limit the rate for accepted events to \( < 2 \) kHz. At the next trigger stage, with more refined information, the rate is reduced further to \( < 1 \) kHz. These first two levels of triggering rely mainly on hardware and firmware. The third and final level of the trigger, with access to full event information, uses software algorithms and computing farm, and reduces the output rate to \( < 200 \) Hz, which is written to tape.

The single muon and dimuon triggers used in this analysis are based on the information provided by the muon detectors, combined with the tracks reconstructed by the tracking system. The single muon triggers with the lowest \( p_T \) threshold are prescaled at high instantaneous luminosity, have a higher average \( p_T \) threshold than the dimuon triggers and cover a smaller range of pseudorapidity than the dimuon triggers.

In this analysis we select events with one or two muons. We therefore first apply track selections, and then require either one or two muons.

**Track selection:** we select tracks with \( p_T \) in the range \( 1.5 < p_T < 25 \) GeV and \( |\eta| < 2.2 \). The upper limit on the transverse momentum is applied to suppress the contribution of muons from \( W \) and \( Z \) boson decays. To ensure that the muon candidate can pass through the detector, including all three layers of the muon system, we require either \( p_T > 4.2 \) GeV or a longitudinal momentum component \( |p_L| > 6.4 \) GeV. The selected tracks have to satisfy the following quality requirements: at least 2 axial and 1 stereo hits in the SMT, and at least 3 axial and 3 stereo hits in the CFT. The primary interaction vertex closest to this track must contain at least five charged particles. This vertex is determined for each event using all reconstructed tracks. The average position of the collision point in the plane transverse to the beam is measured for each run and is used as a constraint. The precision of the primary vertex reconstruction for each event is on average \( \approx 20 \mu m \) in the transverse plane and \( \approx 40 \mu m \) along the beam direction. The transverse impact parameter of the selected track relative to the closest primary vertex must be \( < 0.3 \) cm, with the longitudinal distance from the point of closest approach to this vertex \( < 0.5 \) cm.

**Single muon selection:** the selected track must have a matching track segment reconstructed in the muon system, with at least two hits in the layer A chambers, at least two hits in the layer B or C chambers, and at least one scintillator hit associated with the track. The \( \chi^2 \) for the difference between the track parameters measured in the central tracker and in the muon system must be less than 40 (with 5 d.o.f.); the measured time in at least one of the scintillators associated with the muon candidate must be within 5 ns of the expected time. The muon is assigned the charge of the track reconstructed in the central tracker. For muon \( p_T < 25 \) GeV, the fraction of muons with mismeasured charge and their contribution to the asymmetries are found to be negligible. The scintillator timing and the track impact parameter requirements reduce the background from cosmic rays and from beam halo to a negligible level.

**Dimuon selection:** the two highest transverse momentum muons in the event must pass all the selections described above, and be associated to the same interaction vertex, applying the same requirements on the transverse impact parameter and on the distance of closest approach to the primary vertex along the beam axis used in the single muon selection. To remove events in which the two muons originate from the decay of the same \( b \) hadron, we require that the invariant mass of the two muons be \( > 2.8 \) GeV.

These requirements define the reference selections, which are changed while performing consistency checks of the analysis. Unless stated otherwise all figures, tables and results in this article refer to these reference selections.

This analysis uses two data samples. The *inclusive muon sample* contains all events with at least one muon candidate passing the muon selection and at least one single muon trigger. If an event contains more than one muon, each muon is included in the inclusive muon sample. Such events constitute about 0.5% of the total inclusive muon sample. The *like-sign dimuon sample* contains all events with at least two muon candidates of the same charge that pass the reference dimuon selection and at least one dimuon trigger. If more than two muons pass the single muon selection, the two muons with the highest \( p_T \) are selected for inclusion in the dimuon sample. Such events comprise \( \approx 0.7\% \) of the total like-sign dimuon sample.

The polarities of the toroidal and solenoidal magnetic
TABLE II: Weights assigned to the events with different solenoid and toroid polarities in the inclusive muon and like-sign dimuon samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solenoid polarity</th>
<th>Toroid polarity</th>
<th>Weight inclusive muon</th>
<th>Weight like-sign dimuon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.895</td>
<td>0.879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.954</td>
<td>0.961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0.939</td>
<td>0.955</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 1: The normalized muon $p_T$ distribution. The points correspond to the like-sign dimuon sample and the histogram correspond to the inclusive muon sample. The distribution for the like-sign dimuon sample contains two entries per event.

fields are reversed on average every two weeks so that the four solenoid-toroid polarity combinations are exposed to approximately the same integrated luminosity. This allows for a cancellation of first order effects related with the instrumental asymmetry [15]. To ensure such cancellation, the events are weighted according to the integrated luminosity for each dataset corresponding to a different configuration of the magnets’ polarities. These weights are given in Table II.

The normalized $p_T$ distributions of muons in the selected data samples are shown in Fig. 1. Differences in these distributions are caused by the trigger requirements.

IV. MONTE CARLO SIMULATION

Since almost all quantities are extracted from data, the MC simulations are used in only a limited way. The simulations of QCD processes used in this analysis are:

- Inclusive $p\bar{p}$ collisions containing a minimum transverse energy $E_T^{\min} > 10$ or 20 GeV at the generator level.
- Inclusive $p\bar{p} \rightarrow b\bar{b}X$ and $p\bar{p} \rightarrow c\bar{c}X$ final states containing a muon, with an additional requirement that the $b$ or $c$ quark has transverse momentum $p_T > 3$ GeV, and that the produced muon has $p_T > 1.5$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.1$.

The samples with different $E_T^{\min}$ are used to study the impact of the kinematics of generated events on the parameters extracted from the simulation.

In all cases we use the PYTHIA V6.409 [17] event generator, interfaced to the EVTGEN decay package [18] and the CTEQ6L1 [19] parton distribution functions. The generated events are propagated through the D0 detector using a GEANT [20] based program with full detector simulation. The response in the detector is digitized, and the effects of multiple interactions at high luminosity are modeled by overlaying hits from randomly triggered $p\bar{p}$ collisions on the digitized hits from MC. The complete events are reconstructed with the same program as used for data, and, finally, analyzed using the same selection criteria described above for data.

V. MEASUREMENT OF $f_K$, $F_K$

A kaon, pion, or proton can be misidentified as a muon and thus contribute to the inclusive muon and the like-sign dimuon samples. This can happen because of pion and kaon decays in flight, punch-through, or muon misidentification. We do not distinguish these individual processes, but rather measure the total fraction of such particles using data. In the following, the notation $K \rightarrow \mu$ stands for the phrase “kaon misidentified as a muon.” and the notations $\pi \rightarrow \mu$ and $p \rightarrow \mu$ have corresponding meanings for pions and protons. In this Section we discuss the measurement of $f_K$ and $F_K$. The measurement of the corresponding factors for pions and protons and of the asymmetries are discussed in the following Sections.

The fraction $f_K$ in the inclusive muon sample is measured using $K^{*0} \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ decays [11] with $K \rightarrow \mu$. The fraction $f_{K^{*0}}$ of these decays is related to the fraction $f_K$ by

$$f_{K^{*0}} = \varepsilon_0 f_K R(K^{*0}),$$

where $R(K^{*0})$ is the fraction of all kaons that result from $K^{*0} \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ decays, and $\varepsilon_0$ is the efficiency to reconstruct the pion from the $K^{*0} \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ decay, provided that the $K \rightarrow \mu$ track is reconstructed.

We also select $K_S$ mesons and reconstruct $K^{*+} \rightarrow K_S\pi^+$ decays. The number of these decays is

$$N(K^{*+} \rightarrow K_S\pi^+) = \varepsilon_c N(K_S) R(K^{*+}),$$

where $R(K^{*+})$ is the fraction of $K_S$ that result from $K^{*+} \rightarrow K_S\pi^+$ decays, and $\varepsilon_c$ is the efficiency to reconstruct the additional pion in the $K^{*+} \rightarrow K_S\pi^+$ decay, provided that the $K_S$ meson is reconstructed. We use isospin invariance to set

$$R(K^{*0}) = R(K^{*+}).$$
This relation is also confirmed by data as discussed in Sec. VIII. We apply the same kinematic selection criteria to the charged kaon and $K_S$ candidates, and use exactly the same criteria to reconstruct the $K^{*0} \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ and $K^{*+} \rightarrow K_S\pi^+$ decays. Therefore we set

$$\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_c.$$  \hfill (21)

This relation is confirmed by simulation. We assign a systematic uncertainty related to this relation, as discussed in Sec. VIII. From Eqs. (18)–(21), we obtain

$$f_K = \frac{N(K_S)}{N(K^{*+} \rightarrow K_S\pi^+)} f_{K^{*0}},$$  \hfill (22)

We use a similar relation to obtain the quantity $F_K$ of $K \rightarrow \mu$ tracks in the like-sign dimuon sample:

$$F_K = \frac{N(K_S)}{N(K^{*+} \rightarrow K_S\pi^+)} F_{K^{*0}},$$  \hfill (23)

where $F_{K^{*0}}$ is the fraction of $K^{*0} \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ decays with $K \rightarrow \mu$ in the like-sign dimuon sample. The numbers $N(K_S)$ and $N(K^{*+} \rightarrow K_S\pi^+)$ are obtained from the inclusive muon sample.

Since the kaon track parameters must be known to reconstruct the $K^{*0}$ meson, these measurements of $f_K$ and $F_K$ require the kaons to decay after being reconstructed in the central tracking system. A small number of kaon decays occur close to the interaction point, so that the muon track is reconstructed by the tracker. These muons are counted in the inclusive muon and the like-sign dimuon samples, but do not contribute to the measurement of the $K \rightarrow \mu$ fraction, because their parameters differ significantly from the parameters of the original kaon, and they do not produce a narrow $K^{*0}$ meson peak. The fractions $F_K$ and $f_K$ measured in exclusive decays are therefore divided by a factor $C$ that corresponds to the fraction of correctly reconstructed kaons among all $K \rightarrow \mu$ tracks. This factor is calculated from simulation as

$$C = 0.938 \pm 0.006.$$  \hfill (24)

Since the mean decay length of kaons in the laboratory frame is much longer than the size of the D0 detector, the value of $C$ is determined mainly by the detector geometry, and its value is similar for both $K \rightarrow \mu$ and $\pi \rightarrow \mu$ tracks. Therefore, we use the same coefficient $C$ for the computation of the fraction of $\pi \rightarrow \mu$ described in Sec. VII. The difference in this coefficient for kaon and pion tracks observed in simulation is taken as the uncertainty on its value. The uncertainties from the event generation and reconstruction produce a smaller impact on this coefficient.

Details of $K_S \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, $K^{*0} \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$, and $K^{*+} \rightarrow K_S\pi^+$ selections and the fitting procedure to measure the number of these decays are given in Appendix B. All quantities in Eqs. (22) and (23) are obtained as a function of the measured transverse momentum of the kaon. The measured number of $K^{*0} \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ decays with $K \rightarrow \mu$ in a given $p_T$ range is normalized by the total number of muons in that interval. The fraction $F_{K^{*0}}$ includes a multiplicative factor of two, because there are two muons in a like-sign dimuon event, and by definition it is normalized to the number of like-sign dimuon events. Figure 2 and Table III give the resulting fractions $f_K$ and $F_K$ for different $p_T$ bins. Only statistical uncertainties are given; systematic uncertainties are discussed in Sec. VIII.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bin</th>
<th>$f_K \times 10^2$</th>
<th>$F_K \times 10^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.45 ± 1.02</td>
<td>18.13 ± 4.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.14 ± 0.26</td>
<td>14.00 ± 1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.78 ± 0.20</td>
<td>16.14 ± 0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15.63 ± 0.35</td>
<td>11.97 ± 1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>15.26 ± 0.56</td>
<td>21.47 ± 2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>15.46 ± 0.14</td>
<td>15.38 ± 0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table III:** Fractions $f_K$ and $F_K$ for different muon $p_T$ bins. The correspondence between the bin number and the $p_T$ range is given in Table I. The last line shows the weighted average of these quantities obtained with weights given by the fraction of muons in a given $p_T$ interval $f_K$($p_T$) in the inclusive muon (dimuon) sample. Only the statistical uncertainties are given.

**FIG. 2:** The fraction of $K \rightarrow \mu$ tracks in the inclusive muon sample (a) and the like-sign dimuon sample (b), both as a function of the $p_T$ of the kaon.
VI. MEASUREMENT OF $P(\pi \to \mu)/P(K \to \mu)$ AND $P(p \to \mu)/P(K \to \mu)$

The probability $P(K \to \mu)$ for a kaon to be misidentified as a muon is measured using $\phi \to K^+K^-$ decays. Similarly, we use $K_S \to \pi^+\pi^-$ and $\Lambda \to p\pi^-$ decays [11] to measure the probabilities $P(\pi \to \mu)$ and $P(p \to \mu)$, respectively. In all cases we measure the number $N_\mu$ of decays in which the candidate particle satisfies the muon selection criteria defined in Sec. III, and the number of decays $N_{tr}$ in which the tested particle satisfies the track selection criteria. When both kaons (pions) from $\phi \to K^+K^-$ ($K_S \to \pi^+\pi^-$) satisfy the selection criteria, they contribute twice. The details of the event selections and of the fitting procedure used to extract the number of $\phi$, $K_S$, and $\Lambda$ decays are given in Appendix B. The ratio of $N_\mu(\phi)$ to $N_{tr}(\phi)$ defines $P(K \to \mu)\varepsilon(\mu)$, where $\varepsilon(\mu)$ is the efficiency of muon identification. In the same way, the ratio of $N_\mu(K_S)$ to $N_{tr}(K_S)$ yields $P(\pi \to \mu)\varepsilon(\mu)$, and the ratio of $N_\mu(\Lambda)$ to $N_{tr}(\Lambda)$ gives the quantity $P(p \to \mu)\varepsilon(\mu)$. The ratios $P(\pi \to \mu)/P(K \to \mu)$ and $P(p \to \mu)/P(K \to \mu)$ are obtained from

\begin{align}
P(\pi \to \mu)/P(K \to \mu) &= \frac{N_\mu(K_S)/N_{tr}(K_S)}{N_\mu(\phi)/N_{tr}(\phi)}, \\
P(p \to \mu)/P(K \to \mu) &= \frac{N_\mu(\Lambda)/N_{tr}(\Lambda)}{N_\mu(\phi)/N_{tr}(\phi)}.
\end{align}

Since the initial selection for this measurement requires at least one identified muon, we determine all these quantities in the sub-sample of single muon triggers that contain at least one muon not associated with the $K \to \mu$, $\pi \to \mu$, or $p \to \mu$ transitions.

We measure all these parameters as a function of the original particle’s transverse momentum. Figures 3 and 4 show the ratio $P(\pi \to \mu)/P(K \to \mu)$ and $P(p \to \mu)/P(K \to \mu)$ respectively, with the mean values averaged over $p_T$ determined to be

\begin{align}
P(\pi \to \mu)/P(K \to \mu) &= 0.540 \pm 0.029, \\
P(p \to \mu)/P(K \to \mu) &= 0.076 \pm 0.021.
\end{align}

The dominant uncertainty in Eqs. (26) and (27) stems from the limited statistics of data, and the contribution of all other uncertainties is much smaller. The probability of a pion to be misidentified as a muon is much larger than that of proton because the dominant contribution to this probability comes from the $\pi^- \to \mu^-\bar{\nu}$ decay. The measured ratios (26) and (27) agree well with the results obtained from MC, where we obtain $P(\pi \to \mu)/P(K \to \mu)(MC) = 0.530 \pm 0.011$ and $P(p \to \mu)/P(K \to \mu)(MC) = 0.050 \pm 0.003$.

VII. MEASUREMENT OF $f_\pi$, $f_p$, $F_\pi$, $F_p$

The fraction $f_\pi$ of $\pi \to \mu$ tracks in the inclusive muon sample can be expressed as

\begin{equation}
f_\pi = f_K \frac{P(\pi \to \mu)}{P(K \to \mu)} \frac{n_\pi}{n_K}, \tag{28}\end{equation}

where the measurement of the fraction $f_K$ is described in Sec. V, that of the ratio $P(\pi \to \mu)/P(K \to \mu)$ in Sec. VI, and the quantities $n_\pi$ and $n_K$ are the mean multiplicities of pions and kaons in $p\bar{p}$ interactions. In a similar way, the fraction $f_p$ of $p \to \mu$ tracks is determined from

\begin{equation}
f_p = f_K \frac{P(p \to \mu)}{P(K \to \mu)} \frac{n_p + n_\pi}{n_K}, \tag{29}\end{equation}

where $n_p$ is the average number of protons produced in $p\bar{p}$ interactions. We include in the fraction $f_p$ the contribution from the number $n_f$ of false tracks, reconstructed.
The statistical uncertainties are given. In a given range is given in Table I. The last line shows the weighted averages obtained with weights given by the fraction of muons into account in the systematic uncertainty. The correspondence between the bin number and the momentum range is given in Table I. The last line shows the weighted averages obtained with weights given by the fraction of muons into account in the systematic uncertainty. The impact of false tracks on the final result is found to be small and is taken into account in the systematic uncertainty.

The values of \( n_K, n_\pi, n_p, \) and \( n_l \) are taken from the PYTHIA simulation of inclusive hadronic interactions. We count the number of particles satisfying the track selection criteria in the simulated interactions, and obtain the dependence of the ratios \( n_\pi/n_K, n_p/n_K \) and \( n_l/n_K \) on the particle \( p_T \) shown in Fig. 5.

Both \( f_\pi \) and \( f_p \) are measured as a function of the particle \( p_T \). However, they are poorly defined in the first and last bins due to low statistics. Therefore, we combine these quantities for bins 0 and 1 and for bins 3 and 4.

Figure 6 and Table IV provide the measured fractions \( f_\pi \) and \( f_p \) for different \( p_T \) bins. Only statistical uncertainties are given. The systematic uncertainties related to these quantities are discussed in Sec. VIII.

The fractions \( F_\pi \) and \( F_p \) in the like-sign dimuon sample are determined in a similar way:

\[
\begin{align*}
F_\pi &= F_K \frac{P(\pi \rightarrow \mu)}{P(K \rightarrow \mu)} \frac{N_\pi}{N_K}, \\
F_p &= F_K \frac{P(p \rightarrow \mu)}{P(K \rightarrow \mu)} \frac{N_p + N_l}{N_K},
\end{align*}
\]

where the quantities \( N_K, N_\pi, N_p, \) and \( N_l \) represent the average numbers of kaons, pions, protons and false tracks for events with two identified muons with the same charge. The simulation shows that the ratio \( N_\pi/N_K \) can be approximated as

\[
\frac{N_\pi}{N_K} = (0.90 \pm 0.05) \frac{n_\pi}{n_K}. \tag{31}
\]

The main uncertainty in this value is due to the simulation of pion and kaon multiplicities in \( pp \) interactions and is discussed in Sec. VIII. The ratio \( N_\pi/N_K \) is also consistent with the factor given in Eq. 31. The value of \( N_\pi/N_K \) is smaller than that of \( n_\pi/n_K \) because the main contribution in the sample with one identified muon comes from semileptonic decays of \( b \) and \( c \) quarks, which usually also contain at least one kaon. Since the number of simulated events with one identified muon is small, we obtain the ratios \( N_\pi/N_K \) and \( (N_p + N_l)/N_K \) using the approximation of Eq. 31, i.e., multiplying the quantities \( n_\pi/n_K \) and \( (n_p + n_l)/n_K \) by the factor \( 0.90 \pm 0.05 \). Figure 7 and Table IV give the fractions \( F_\pi \) and \( F_p \) for different \( p_T \) bins. Only the statistical uncertainties of the simulation are given. The systematic uncertainties related to these quantities are discussed in Sec. VIII. As in the case of \( f_\pi \) and \( f_p \), the mean value of these quantities are used in bins 0 and 1 and for bins 3 and 4.
The validity of Eq. (20) in simulation relies mainly on the assumptions used in the fragmentation and hadronization process in the event generator. To confirm the validity of Eq. (20), we use the existing experimental data on $K^+$, $K_S$, $K^{*0}$, and $K^{*+}$ multiplicities in jets, which were obtained at $e^+e^-$ colliders at different center-of-mass energies [2]. From these data we obtain:

$$ R(K^{*+})/R(K^{*0}) = 1.039 \pm 0.075. $$

The simulation and data are consistent, and we assign a relative uncertainty of 7.5% to both $f_K$ and $F_K$ from the assumption of Eq. (20). We also assign an uncertainty of 4% due to the fitting procedure used to extract the numbers of $K^{*+}$ and $K^{*0}$ events. This uncertainty is obtained by varying the background parametrization and the fitting range. Since the same background model is used to obtain the number of $K^{*0}$ events, both in the inclusive muon and the like-sign dimuon samples, this uncertainty is taken to be the same for $f_K$ and $F_K$. Adding all contributions in quadrature, and including the uncertainty in Eq. (24), we find a relative systematic uncertainty of 9.0% in $f_K$ and $F_K$, with a 100% correlation between the two.

We assign an additional uncertainty of 2.0% on $F_K$ due to the description of the background in the inclusive muon and like-sign dimuon events. This uncertainty is estimated by varying the background parametrization and range used for fitting, and by comparing with the results of the alternative fitting method presented in Appendix E.

We use the ratio $N(K_S)/N(K^{*+} \rightarrow K_S\pi^+)$ to measure both $f_K$ and $F_K$, which is equivalent to the statement that the ratios $F_{K^{*0}}/F_K$ and $f_{K^{*0}}/f_K$ are identical, i.e., that the fraction of kaons originating from $K^{*0}$ is the same in the inclusive muon and in the like-sign dimuon samples. This is validated in simulation with an uncertainty of 3% due to the statistics of the simulation, which we assign as an additional systematic uncertainty to the fraction $F_K$.

The uncertainty on the background fractions $f_{\pi}$, $F_{\pi}$, $f_p$, and $F_p$ have an additional contribution from the ratios of multiplicities $n_{\pi}/n_K$ and $n_p/n_K$ extracted from the simulation. To test the validity of the simulation, we measure the multiplicity of kaons in the inclusive muon sample. We select events with one reconstructed muon and at least one additional charged particle that satisfies the track selection criteria. We determine the fraction of kaons among these tracks using the same method as in Sec. V, i.e., we find the fraction of tracks from the $K^{*0} \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ decay and convert this into the fraction of kaons. We compare the kaon multiplicity in data using this method with that measured in the simulation, and we find that they agree within 10%. Since part of this difference can be attributed to the uncertainties from the assumptions of Eqs. (20) and (21), and part is due to the fitting procedure described above, we find that the uncertainty of the kaon multiplicity in the simulation does not exceed 4%, and we assign this uncertainty to both quantities $n_{\pi}/n_K$ and $n_p/n_K$. We also assign this 4% uncertainty to the Eq. (31) used to derive the values of $N_{\pi}/N_K$ and $N_p/N_K$.

Any falsely reconstructed track identified as a muon is treated in the analysis in the same way as a proton. We check the impact of this approach by completely removing the contribution of false tracks, or by increasing their contribution by a factor of ten, and the final value of $A_{sl}^b$ changes by less than 0.00016. We include this difference as the systematic uncertainty on the contribution from false tracks.
TABLE V: Fractions $f_{s}$, $f_{K}$, $f_{\pi}$, and $f_{p}+f_{t}$, measured in data and in simulation (MC). Only the statistical uncertainties on these measurements are shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$f_{s} \times 10^{2}$</th>
<th>$f_{K} \times 10^{2}$</th>
<th>$f_{\pi} \times 10^{2}$</th>
<th>$(f_{p}+f_{t}) \times 10^{2}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>58.1 ± 1.4</td>
<td>15.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>25.9 ± 1.4</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC</td>
<td>59.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>14.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>25.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IX. MEASUREMENT OF $f_{s}$, $F_{SS}$

We use the measurements of the fractions of background muons in the “long” category, obtained in the previous sections to evaluate the fraction of muons in the “short” category. In the inclusive muon sample the fraction $f_{s}$ is determined as

$$f_{s} = 1 - f_{K} - f_{\pi} - f_{p}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)

We check through simulation that the contribution from all other sources to the inclusive muon sample, such as $K_{L} \rightarrow \pi \mu \nu$ decays or the semileptonic decays of hyperons, is negligible. The muons from $\tau \rightarrow \mu \bar{\nu}_{\mu} \nu_{\tau}$ are included by definition in the $f_{s}$. The fraction $f_{s}$ is measured separately in each muon $p_{T}$ bin and then a weighted average is calculated with weights given by the fraction of muons in a given $p_{T}$ interval $f_{\mu}^i$ in the inclusive muon samples. From data, we obtain

$$f_{s} = 0.581 \pm 0.014 \text{ (stat) } \pm 0.039 \text{ (syst)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (36)

where the systematic uncertainty comes from the uncertainty on the background fractions described in Sec. VIII.

To check the procedure for determining the background fractions, the composition of the inclusive muon sample in data is compared to that from simulation in Table V, where only statistical uncertainties for both data and simulation are shown. The agreement between data and simulation is very good, and the remaining differences are within the assigned systematic uncertainties. Although the values given in Table V for data and for simulation are not independent, some, such as $f_{K}$ and $P(\pi \rightarrow \mu)/P(K \rightarrow \mu)$ used to derive $f_{\pi}$, are measured directly in data. As a consequence, this result can be used as an additional confirmation of the validity of our method.

The background fractions $F_{K}$, $F_{\pi}$, and $F_{p}$ are obtained from the same weighted average used for Eq. (36) of the quantities measured in each $p_{T}$ interval $i$, starting from the values given in Secs. V and VII ($F_{\mu}^i$ is used as weight instead of $f_{\mu}^i$). Using Eq. (13), we obtain

$$F_{\text{hkg}} = 0.409 \pm 0.019 \text{ (stat) } \pm 0.040 \text{ (syst)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)

To evaluate the fraction $F_{SS}$ in the like-sign dimuon sample, we take into account that, in some events, both muons belong to the $L$ category. The fraction of these events in all events with at least one $L$ muon is measured in simulation and found to be

$$F_{LL}/(F_{SL} + F_{LL}) = 0.220 \pm 0.012.$$  \hspace{1cm} (38)

The uncertainty in Eq. (38) includes the 4% systematic uncertainty related to the multiplicity of different particles in the simulation, as discussed in Sec. VIII. Using Eqs. (13), (37), and (38), we obtain

$$F_{LL} = 0.074 \pm 0.003 \text{ (stat) } \pm 0.008 \text{ (syst)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (39)

and, finally, from Eqs. (14), (37), and (39) we obtain

$$F_{SS} = 0.665 \pm 0.016 \text{ (stat) } \pm 0.033 \text{ (syst)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)

X. MEASUREMENT OF $\delta$

Table III of Ref. [15] gives a complete list of the contributions to the dimuon charge asymmetry that are caused by detector effects. The largest of these effects is $\approx 3\%$. The reversal of magnet polarities is a characteristic of the D0 experiment that allows the cancellation at first order of these detector effects, reducing any charge asymmetry introduced by the track reconstruction considerably [15].

Higher-order effects result in a small residual reconstruction asymmetry at the $10^{-3}$ level. This asymmetry is measured using $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ decays. We select events that pass at least one dimuon trigger and have at least one identified muon and one additional particle of opposite charge that satisfies the track selection criteria of Sec. III. We verify in Appendices C and D that the track reconstruction and trigger selection do not introduce an additional charge asymmetry. The residual asymmetry is measured as a function of the muon transverse momentum. The probability to identify a muon with charge $Q = \pm 1$ and $p_{T}$ corresponding to bin $i$ is denoted by $P_{i}(1 + Q\delta_{i})$, where $P_{i}$ is the mean probability for positive and negative muons, and $\delta_{i}$ is the muon detection asymmetry we want to measure. The probability of identifying the second muon with $p_{T}$ in bin $j$, provided that the first muon has $p_{T}$ in bin $i$, is denoted by $P_{j}(1 + Q\delta_{j})$.

The number of events $N_{ij}$ with a positive muon in bin $i$ and negative muon in bin $j$ is

$$N_{ij} = N P_{i}(1 + \delta_{i}) P_{j}^{\pm}(1 - \delta_{j}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)

where $N$ is the total number of selected $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ decays. The number of events with only one selected muon of charge $Q$ is

$$N_{iQ} = N P_{i}(1 + Q\delta_{i}) \left(1 - \sum_{j=0}^{4} P_{j}^{\pm}(1 - Q\delta_{j})\right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

where the sum extends over the five transverse momentum intervals.

The probabilities $P_{i}$ and $P_{j}^{\pm}$ are not independent. From Eq. (41), we have the following normalization condition

$$P_{i} P_{j}^{\pm} = P_{j} P_{i}^{\pm}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (43)
In addition, since the total probability to identify the muon is $P_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{i=0}^{4} P_i$, we get the following normalization condition

$$(P_{\text{tot}})^2 = \sum_{i,j=0}^{4} P_i P_j^*.$$  \hspace{1cm} (44)

Experimentally we measure the quantities $N_{ii}$, $\Sigma_{ij}$, $\Delta_{ij}$ ($i < j$), $\Sigma_i$, and $\Delta_i$, which can be expressed as

$$N_{ii} = N P_i P_i^*,$$
$$\Sigma_{ij} \equiv N_{ij} + N_{ji} = 2 N P_i P_j^*,$$
$$\Delta_{ij} \equiv N_{ij} - N_{ji} = 2 N P_i P_j^*(\delta_i - \delta_j),$$
$$\Sigma_i \equiv N_{i+} + N_{i-} = 2 N P_i(1 - P_{\text{sum}}^i),$$
$$\Delta_i \equiv N_{i+} - N_{i-} = 2 N P_i(1 - P_{\text{sum}}^i)(\delta_i + \delta_{\text{sum}}),$$

where $P_{\text{sum}}^i = \sum_{j=0}^{4} P_j$ and $\delta_{\text{sum}} = (\sum_{j=0}^{4} P_j^* \delta_j)/(1 - P_{\text{sum}}^i)$.

Since the number of measured quantities is greater than the number of unknowns, $P_i$, $P_j^*$, and $\delta_i$ can be obtained from Eqs. (43–45) by minimizing the $\chi^2$ of the difference between the observed and expected quantities. The quantities $N_{ii}$, $\Sigma_{ij}$, $\Delta_{ij}$ ($i < j$), $\Sigma_i$, and $\Delta_i$ are obtained from fits to the $J/\psi$ mass peak in the dimuon invariant mass distribution $M(\mu^+\mu^-)$ in each of the five $p_T$ bins. The $J/\psi$ signal is described by the sum of two Gaussians. An additional Gaussian is included to take into account the contribution from the $\psi'$. The background is parametrized by a third degree polynomial. The mean position and R.M.S. of all Gaussian functions in the fit of $\Delta_{ij}$ and $\Delta_i$ are fixed to the values obtained in the fit of the corresponding quantities $\Sigma_{ij}$ and $\Sigma_i$. Examples of the fits are shown in Figs. 8 and 9. The values of $\delta_i$ obtained as a function of the muon $p_T$ are given in Table VI and are shown in Fig. 10. The correlations between values of $\delta_i$ in different bins are given in Table VII. The weighted average for the residual muon asymmetry in the inclusive muon and the like-sign dimuon samples, calculated using weights given by the fraction of muons in a given $p_T$ interval $f_\mu (P_\mu^i)$ in the inclusive muon (dimuon) sample, are given respectively by

$$\delta = \sum_{i=0}^{4} P_\mu^i \delta_i = -0.00076 \pm 0.00028,$$
$$\Delta = \sum_{i=0}^{4} P_\mu^i \delta_i = -0.00068 \pm 0.00023,$$

where only the statistical uncertainties are given. The correlations among different $\delta_i$ are taken into account. These small values of the residual muon reconstruction asymmetry are a direct consequence of the regular reversal of the magnets polarities in the D0 experiment.

XI. MEASUREMENT OF $a_K$, $a_\pi$, $a_\rho$

The largest detector-related charge asymmetry is produced by $K \rightarrow \mu$ tracks. It is caused, as discussed in...
TABLE VI: Muon reconstruction asymmetry $\delta_i$ for different muon $p_T$ bins. The correspondence between the bin number and the $p_T$ range is given in Table I. Only the statistical uncertainties are given.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bin</th>
<th>$\delta_i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-0.000230 \pm 0.00194$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$-0.000450 \pm 0.00059$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$-0.001300 \pm 0.00048$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$+0.000750 \pm 0.00125$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$+0.001620 \pm 0.00230$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE VII: Correlation coefficients among values of $\delta_i$ in different bins. The correspondence between the bin number and the $p_T$ range is given in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bin</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1.0000</td>
<td>-0.189</td>
<td>-0.155</td>
<td>+0.024</td>
<td>-0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.189</td>
<td>+1.0000</td>
<td>-0.449</td>
<td>-0.117</td>
<td>-0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.155</td>
<td>-0.449</td>
<td>+1.0000</td>
<td>-0.242</td>
<td>-0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>+0.024</td>
<td>-0.117</td>
<td>-0.242</td>
<td>+1.0000</td>
<td>-0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.051</td>
<td>-0.059</td>
<td>-0.124</td>
<td>-0.006</td>
<td>+1.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sec. II, by the difference between the $K^- N$ and $K^+ N$ interaction cross sections [2], resulting in a positive charge asymmetry of muons coming from kaon decay or punch-through. The asymmetry $a_K$ of $K \rightarrow \mu$ tracks is measured directly in data using $K^{*0} \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$ and $\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-$ decays. In both cases we select candidates with $K \rightarrow \mu$ tracks, in the entire inclusive muon sample. We calculate separate mass distributions for positive and negative $K \rightarrow \mu$ tracks, and fit the sum and the difference of these distributions to extract the quantity $\Delta_K$, corresponding to the difference in the number of $K^{*0}$ or $\phi$ meson decays with positive and negative $K \rightarrow \mu$ tracks, and the quantity $\Sigma_K$, corresponding to their sum. The selection of events and the fitting procedure used to extract the number of signal decays are described in Appendix B. The asymmetry $a_K$ is measured as:

$$a_K = C \Delta_K / \Sigma_K,$$

(48)

where the coefficient $C$ is the fraction of correctly reconstructed kaons among all $K \rightarrow \mu$ tracks as in Eq. (24). In this measurement of $a_K$, we require that the kaon decays after having been reconstructed in the tracking system, since its track parameters must be measured in order to reconstruct the $K^{*0}$ or $\phi$ meson. However, the $K \rightarrow \mu$ tracks in the inclusive sample also include kaons decaying before being reconstructed in the tracker. Since the kaon asymmetry is caused by the interactions of kaons with the material of the detector, and the amount of material near the interaction point is negligible, the kaons decaying before being reconstructed by the tracker do not produce any significant asymmetry. They contribute only in the denominator of Eq. (48). The factor $C$ takes into account the contribution of these tracks. Its numerical value is given in Eq. (24). It should be noted that this factor cancels in the products $f_K a_K$, etc., since both $f_K$ and $a_K$ are measured using the correctly reconstructed $K \rightarrow \mu$ tracks.

Figure 11(a) shows the value of $a_K(K^{*0})$ measured in $K^{*0} \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$ decay as a function of the $p_T$ of the $K \rightarrow \mu$ track. The asymmetry in $\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-$ decays $a_K^{\text{meas}}$ has to be corrected for the charge asymmetry of the second kaon track $a_K^{\text{track}}$:

$$a_K(\phi) = a_K^{\text{meas}} - a_K^{\text{track}}.$$

(49)

The kaon track reconstruction asymmetry $a_K^{\text{track}}$ is discussed and measured as a function of kaon momentum in [22] using the decay $D^{+} \rightarrow D^{0} \pi^{+}$ with $D^{0} \rightarrow K^{-} \mu^{+} \nu$, and is taken from that article. It is convoluted with the $p_T$ distribution of the second kaon for each bin of the $K \rightarrow \mu p_T$. Figure 11(b) shows the resulting asymmetry $a_K(\phi)$.

The two measurements of $a_K$ are consistent. The $\chi^2$/d.o.f. for their difference is 5.40/5. Therefore they can be combined and the resulting asymmetry $a_K$ is shown in Fig. 12 and in Table VIII. Due to the requirement of $p_T > 4.2$ GeV or $|p_z| > 6.3$ GeV, the first two bins in Fig. 12 correspond to muons that traverse the forward toroids of the D0 detector. These muons have a larger momentum and a longer path length before the calorimeter than central muons. As a result, $a_K$ drops at low $p_T$.

The asymmetry $a_\pi$ of $\pi \rightarrow \mu$ tracks and the asymmetry $a_\rho$ of $p \rightarrow \mu$ tracks are expected to be much smaller. We measure these asymmetries using $K_S \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $\Lambda \rightarrow p \pi^-$ decays, respectively. The details of the $K_S$ and $\Lambda$ selections are given in Appendix B. The technique used to measure the asymmetry is the same as in $a_K$ measurement. The same factor $C$ is used to measure the asymmetry $a_\pi$ from $K_S \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays. The uncertainty in $C$ takes into account its difference for kaon.
TABLE VIII: Asymmetries $a_K$, $a_\pi$, and $a_p$ for different $p_T$ bins. The correspondence between the bin number and $p_T$ range is given in Table I. The last line shows the mean asymmetries averaged over the inclusive muon sample. Only the statistical uncertainties are given.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bin</th>
<th>$a_K$</th>
<th>$a_\pi$</th>
<th>$a_p$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$+0.0526 \pm 0.0242$</td>
<td>$+0.0027 \pm 0.0021$</td>
<td>$-0.104 \pm 0.076$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$+0.0424 \pm 0.0027$</td>
<td>$+0.0013 \pm 0.0012$</td>
<td>$+0.028 \pm 0.035$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$+0.0564 \pm 0.0013$</td>
<td>$+0.0164 \pm 0.0044$</td>
<td>$+0.077 \pm 0.055$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$+0.0620 \pm 0.0032$</td>
<td>$+0.0164 \pm 0.0044$</td>
<td>$+0.077 \pm 0.055$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$+0.0620 \pm 0.0008$</td>
<td>$+0.0164 \pm 0.0044$</td>
<td>$+0.077 \pm 0.055$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>$+0.0551 \pm 0.0011$</td>
<td>$+0.0025 \pm 0.0010$</td>
<td>$+0.023 \pm 0.028$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 11: The asymmetry $a_K$ measured with (a) $K^{*+} \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ and (b) $\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$ decays as a function of the $K \rightarrow \mu$ $p_T$.

FIG. 12: The combined asymmetry $a_K$ as a function of $p_T$.

FIG. 13: The asymmetry (a) $a_\pi$ and (b) $a_p$ as a function of the $p_T$ of the pion and proton, respectively.

and pion tracks. Since the proton is stable, this factor is not used in the computation of the asymmetry of $p \rightarrow \mu$ tracks.

The asymmetries $a_\pi$ and $a_p$ are shown in Fig. 13 as a function of the $p_T$ of the $\pi \rightarrow \mu$ and $p \rightarrow \mu$ tracks, respectively. The values of these asymmetries and their averages are listed in Table VIII for different $p_T$ bins. We use the mean value of these quantities in bins 0 and 1 and in bins 3 and 4 since the statistics available in the first and last bin are not sufficient to perform separate measurements.

The asymmetries $A_K$, $A_\pi$ and $A_p$ are obtained from $a_K$, $a_\pi$ and $a_p$ using Eq. 16 (and analogous relations for pions and protons).

XII. CORRECTIONS DUE TO BACKGROUND ASYMMETRIES

The corrections for the asymmetries of the background, obtained from Tables I, III, IV and VIII, are summarized in Tables IX and X. The values $f_{K\pi}a_K$, $F_KA_K$, etc., are computed by averaging the corresponding quantities with weights given by the fraction of muons in a given $p_T$ interval $f_\mu (F_\mu)$ in the inclusive muon (dimuon) sample, see Eqs. (15) and (16). We use the mean value of $f_\pi$, $F_\pi$, $f_p$, $F_p$, $a_\pi$, and $a_p$ in bins 0 and 1 and in bins 3 and 4 as the statistics available in the first and last bin are not sufficient to perform separate measurements.
TABLE IX: Corrections due to background asymmetries \( f_K a_K, f_x a_x, \) and \( f_p a_p \) for different \( p_T \) bins. The last line shows the weighted averages obtained using weights given by the fraction of muons in a given \( p_T \) interval \( f_{\mu} \) in the inclusive muon sample. Only the statistical uncertainties are given.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bin</th>
<th>( f_K a_K \times 10^2 )</th>
<th>( f_x a_x \times 10^2 )</th>
<th>( f_p a_p \times 10^2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>+0.760 ± 0.353</td>
<td>-0.095 ± 0.076</td>
<td>-0.061 ± 0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>+0.600 ± 0.040</td>
<td>+0.033 ± 0.030</td>
<td>+0.020 ± 0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>+0.968 ± 0.054</td>
<td>+0.337 ± 0.109</td>
<td>+0.053 ± 0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+0.946 ± 0.081</td>
<td>+0.095 ± 0.027</td>
<td>+0.012 ± 0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>+0.854 ± 0.018</td>
<td>+0.095 ± 0.027</td>
<td>+0.012 ± 0.022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE X: Corrections due to background asymmetries \( F_K A_K, F_x A_x, \) and \( F_p A_p \) for different \( p_T \) bins. The last line shows the weighted averages obtained using weights given by the fraction of muons in a given \( p_T \) interval \( F_{\mu} \) in the dimuon sample. Only the statistical uncertainties are given.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bin</th>
<th>( F_K A_K \times 10^2 )</th>
<th>( F_x A_x \times 10^2 )</th>
<th>( F_p A_p \times 10^2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>+0.953 ± 0.501</td>
<td>+0.86 ± 0.609</td>
<td>-0.056 ± 0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>+0.901 ± 0.048</td>
<td>+0.030 ± 0.027</td>
<td>+0.019 ± 0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>+0.741 ± 0.106</td>
<td>+0.294 ± 0.098</td>
<td>+0.046 ± 0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1.332 ± 0.176</td>
<td>+0.095 ± 0.025</td>
<td>+0.000 ± 0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>+0.828 ± 0.035</td>
<td>+0.095 ± 0.025</td>
<td>+0.000 ± 0.021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

XIII. ASYMMETRIES \( a_S \) AND \( A_S \)

In the absence of new particles or interactions, the only non-instrumental source of the asymmetries \( a_S \) and \( A_S \) is the semileptonic charm asymmetry \( A_{\text{ch}}^0 \) given by Eq. (5). Both \( a_S \) and \( A_S \) are proportional to \( A_{\text{ch}}^0 \) through the coefficients

\[
c_0 = a_S / A_{\text{ch}}^0, \quad c_b = A_S / A_{\text{ch}}^0,
\]

which are determined from simulation.

The decays producing an \( S \) muon in the inclusive muon sample, and their weights relative to the semileptonic decay \( b \to \mu X \) [11], are listed in Table XI. All weights are computed using simulated events. The main process, denoted as \( T_1 \), is the direct semileptonic decay of a \( b \) quark. It includes the decays \( b \to \mu X \) and \( b \to \tau X \), with \( \tau \to \mu X \). The weights \( w_{1a} \) and \( w_{1b} \) for semileptonic decays of \( B \) mesons with and without oscillations are computed using the mean mixing probability

\[
\chi_0 = f'_{\text{d}} \chi_{\text{d0}} + f'_{\text{s}} \chi_{\text{s0}},
\]

where \( f'_{\text{d}} \) and \( f'_{\text{s}} \) are the fractions of \( B^{0}_{\text{d}} \) and \( B^{0}_{\text{s}} \) mesons in a sample of semileptonic \( B \)-meson decays, and \( \chi_{\text{d0}} \) and \( \chi_{\text{s0}} \) are the \( B^{0}_{\text{d}} \) and \( B^{0}_{\text{s}} \) mixing probabilities integrated over time. We use the value \( \chi_0 = 0.147 \pm 0.011 \) measured at the Tevatron and given in [23]. The second process \( T_2 \) concerns the sequential decay \( b \to c \to \mu X \). For simplicity we use the same value of \( \chi_0 \) to compute the weights \( w_{2a} \) and \( w_{2b} \) of non-oscillating and oscillating sequential decays \( b \to c \to \mu X \). The process \( T_3 \) is the decay of a \( b \) hadron to a \( c \) or \( \bar{c} \) charmed quark producing a muon, while \( T_4 \) includes the decays of short-lived mesons \( \eta, \omega, \rho^0, \phi(1020), J/\psi, \psi' \to \mu^+ \mu^- \). We take into account both the decays of \( b \) hadrons to these particles and their prompt production. The process \( T_5 \) represents four-quark production of \( b \bar{b} c \bar{c} \) with either the \( c \) or \( \bar{c} \) quark decaying to a muon. The decays of \( b \) or \( \bar{b} \) quark to a muon in this process and the four-quark production of \( b \bar{b} b \bar{b} \) are taken into account through processes \( T_1, T_2, \) and \( T_3 \). Finally, the process \( T_6 \) involves \( c \bar{c} \) production followed by \( c \to \mu X \) decay. We separate the processes \( T_2, T_5, \) and \( T_6 \) because only \( T_5 \) contributes to the like-sign dimuon sample, while both \( T_5 \) and \( T_6 \) contribute to the inclusive muon sample.

The uncertainty in the weights of different processes contains contributions from the uncertainty in the momentum of the generated \( b \) hadrons and from the uncertainties of branching fractions of \( b \)-hadron decays. We reweight the simulated \( b \)-hadron momentum to get agreement of the \( \mu \) momentum spectrum in data and in MC, and the difference in weights is assigned as the systematic uncertainty on the momentum distribution. The uncertainties in the inclusive branching fractions \( B \to \mu X, B \to cX \) and \( B \to \bar{c}X \) taken from [2] are propagated into the uncertainties on the corresponding weights. We assign an additional uncertainty of 10% to the weights \( w_5 \) and \( w_6 \) due to the uncertainties on the production cross sections of \( c \bar{c} \) and \( b \bar{b} c \bar{c} \) processes.

Among all processes listed in Table XI, the process \( T_{1b} \) is directly related to the semileptonic charge asymmetry \( A_{\text{ch}}^0 \) (see Appendix A for details). The process \( T_{2b} \) produces the flavor-specific charge asymmetry \( A_{f_x} \). We set \( A_{f_x} = -A_{\text{ch}}^0 \), where the negative sign appears because the charge of the muon in the process \( T_{2b} \) is opposite to the charge of the muon in the process \( T_{1b} \). No other process contributes to the charge asymmetry and therefore they just dilute the value of \( A_{\text{ch}}^0 \). The coefficient \( c_b \) is found
from:
\[ c_b = \frac{w_{16} - w_{2b}}{w_1 + w_2 + w_3 + w_4 + w_5 + w_6} = 0.070 \pm 0.006. \] (53)

The computation of the coefficient \( C_b \) is more complicated. One of the selections for the like-sign dimuon sample requires that the invariant mass of the two muons be greater than 2.8 GeV. This requirement suppresses the contribution from processes in which both muons arise from the decay of the same quark. The probability that the initial \( b \) quark produces a \( \mu^- \) is
\[ P_b \propto w_{1a} + w_{2b} + 0.5(w_3 + w_4 + w_5), \] (54)
where we apply the coefficient 0.5 because processes \( T_3 \), \( T_4 \), and \( T_5 \) produce an equal number of positive and negative muons. The probability that the accompanying \( b \) quark also produces a \( \mu^- \) is
\[ P_b \propto w_{1b} + w_{2a} + 0.5(w_3 + w_4 + w_5). \] (55)

The total probability of observing like-sign dimuon events from decays of heavy quarks is
\[ P_{\text{tot}} \propto P_b P_b. \] (56)

The probability of processes contributing to the charge asymmetry of dimuon events is
\[ P_{\text{as}} \propto w_{1a}[w_{1a} + 0.5(w_3 + w_4 + w_5)] - w_{2b}[w_{2a} + 0.5(w_3 + w_4 + w_5)]. \] (57)

The coefficient \( C_b \) is obtained from the ratio
\[ C_b = \frac{P_{\text{as}}}{P_{\text{tot}}} = 0.486 \pm 0.032. \] (58)

This relation assumes that the processes producing the two muons are independent and is verified by calculating the coefficient \( C_b \) in simulated like-sign dimuon events. We exclude the process \( T_b \) with \( c\bar{c} \) pair production, since the mixing probability of \( D^0 \) meson is small and these events do not contribute significantly to the like-sign dimuon sample. We count the number of direct-direct \( b \)-quark decays, \( N_{dd} \), of direct-sequential decays, \( N_{ds} \), of sequential-sequential decays, \( N_{ss} \), of direct-sequential events, \( N_{dr} \) ("random" includes processes \( T_3 \), \( T_4 \), and \( T_5 \)), of sequential-sequential decays, \( N_{sr} \), to obtain
\[ C_b = \frac{N_{dd} - N_{ss} + \chi_0(N_{dr} - N_{sr})}{N_{ls}} = 0.448 \pm 0.071, \] (59)
where \( N_{ls} \) is the total number of like-sign dimuon events. This result agrees well with the value in Eq. (58). The uncertainty of this method is larger because of the small statistics of simulated like-sign dimuon events.

### XIV. ASYMMETRY \( A_{sl}^b \)

The uncorrected asymmetries \( a \) and \( A \) are obtained by counting the number of events of each charge in the inclusive muon and the like-sign dimuon samples, respectively. In total, there are \( 1.495 \times 10^6 \) muons in the inclusive muon sample, and \( 3.731 \times 10^6 \) events in the like-sign dimuon sample. We obtain
\[ a = +0.00955 \pm 0.00003, \] (60)
\[ A = +0.00564 \pm 0.00053. \] (61)

The results obtained in Secs. V–XIII are used to calculate the asymmetries \( a_S \) and \( A_S \) from these values, which are then used to evaluate the charge asymmetry for semileptonic \( B \) meson decays.

The asymmetry \( A_{sl}^b \), extracted from the asymmetry \( a \) of the inclusive muon sample using Eqs. (9) and (50), is
\[ A_{sl}^b = +0.0094 \pm 0.0112 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.0214 \text{ (syst)}, \] (62)

The contributions to the uncertainty on this value are given in Table XII. Figure 14(a) shows a comparison of the asymmetry \( a \) and the background asymmetry \( a_{\text{bkg}} = f_S b + f_K a_K + f_{\pi} a_{\pi} + f_{\mu} a_{\mu} \), as a function of the muon \( p_T \). There is excellent agreement between these two quantities, with the \( \chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} \) for their difference being 2.4/5. Figure 14(b) shows the value of \( f_S a_S = a - a_{\text{bkg}} \), which is consistent with zero. The values \( a \) and \( a_{\text{bkg}} \) are given in Table XIII. This result agrees with expectations, since the value of the asymmetry \( a \) should be determined mainly by the background, and the contribution from \( A_{sl}^b \) should be strongly suppressed by the small factor of \( c_b = 0.070 \pm 0.006 \).

The consistency of \( A_{sl}^b \) with zero in Eq. (62) and the good description of the charge asymmetry \( a \) for different values of the muon \( p_T \) shown in Fig. 14 constitute an important tests of the validity of the background model and of the analysis method discussed in this article.
The contributions to the uncertainty on \( A_{sl}^b \) are much larger because the asymmetry \( a_s \) is divided by the small coefficient \( c_b \). Since the same background processes contribute to the uncorrected asymmetries \( a \) and \( A \), their uncertainties in Eqs. (62) and (63) are strongly correlated. We take advantage of this correlation to obtain a single optimized value of \( A_{sl}^b \), with higher precision, using a linear combination of the uncorrected asymmetries

\[
A' = A - \alpha a, \tag{64}
\]

and choosing the coefficient \( \alpha \) in order to minimize the total uncertainty on the value of \( A_{sl}^b \).

It is shown in Secs. V–XII that the contributions from background sources in Eqs. (9) and (12) are of the same order of magnitude. On the other hand, the dependence of \( A \) and \( a \) on the asymmetry \( A_{sl}^b \), according to Eqs. (53) and (58), is significantly different, with \( C_b \gg c_b \). As a result, we can expect a reduction of background uncertainties in (64) for \( \alpha \approx 1 \) with a limited reduction of the statistical sensitivity on \( A_{sl}^b \). Figure 15 shows the statistical, systematic, and total uncertainties on \( A_{sl}^b \) as a function of the parameter \( \alpha \). The total uncertainty on \( A_{sl}^b \) has a minimum for \( \alpha = 0.959 \), and the corresponding value of the asymmetry \( A_{sl}^b \) is

\[
A_{sl}^b = -0.00957 \pm 0.00251 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.00146 \text{ (syst)}. \tag{65}
\]

This value is our final result for \( A_{sl}^b \). It differs by 3.2 standard deviations from the standard model prediction of \( A_{sl}^b \) given in Eq. (4). The different contributions to the total uncertainty of \( A_{sl}^b \) in Eq. (65) are listed in Table XII.

**XV. CONSISTENCY CHECKS**

To check the stability of the result, we repeat this measurement with modified selections, or with subsets of the available data sample. Changes are implemented in a variety of tests:

- **Test A:** Using only the part of the data sample corresponding to the first 2.8 fb\(^{-1}\).
• Test B: In addition to the reference selections, requiring at least three hits in muon wire chamber layers B or C, and the $\chi^2$ for a fit to a track segment reconstructed in the muon detector to be less than 8.

• Test C: Since the background muons are produced by decays of kaons and pions, their track parameters measured by the central tracker and by the muon system are different. Therefore, the fraction of background strongly depends on the $\chi^2$ of the difference between these two measurements. The requirement on this $\chi^2$ is changed from 40 to 4 in this study.

• Test D: The maximum value of the transverse impact parameter is changed from 0.3 to 0.05 cm, and the requirement on the longitudinal distance between the point of closest approach to the beam and the associated interaction vertex is changed from 0.5 to 0.05 cm. This test serves also as a cross-check against the possible contamination from muons from cosmic rays in the selected sample.

• Test E: Using only low-luminosity events with fewer than three interaction vertices.

• Test F: Using only events corresponding to two of the four possible configurations of the magnets, for which the solenoid and toroid polarities are identical.

• Test G: Changing the requirement on the invariant mass of the two muons from 2.8 GeV to 12 GeV.

• Test H: Using the same muon $p_T$ requirement, $p_T > 4.2$ GeV, over the full detector acceptance.

• Test I: Requiring the muon $p_T$ to be < 7.0 GeV.

• Test J: Requiring the azimuthal angle $\phi$ of the muon track to be in the range $0 < \phi < 4$ or $5.7 < \phi < 2\pi$. This selection excludes muons directed to the region of poor muon identification efficiency in the support structure of the detector.

• Test K: Requiring the muon $|\eta|$ to be in the range $|\eta| < 1.6$ (this test serves also as a cross-check against the possible contamination from muons associated with the beam halo).

• Test L: Requiring the muon $|\eta|$ to be in the range $1.2 < |\eta| < 2.2$.

• Test M: Requiring the muon $|\eta|$ to be in the range $0.7 < |\eta| < 2.2$.

• Test N: Requiring the muon $|\eta|$ to be in the range $0.7 < |\eta| < 2.2$.

• Test O: Using like-sign dimuon events passing at least one single muon trigger, while ignoring the requirement of a dimuon trigger for these events.

• Test P: Using like-sign dimuon events passing both single muon and dimuon triggers.

A summary of the results from these studies is presented in Tables XIV and XV. The last line, denoted as “significance”, gives the difference between the reference result (column Ref) and each modification, divided by its uncertainty, and taking into account the overlap between the samples. The statistical uncertainties are used in the calculation of the significance of the difference between two results. These tests demonstrate an impressive stability of the $A_{sl}^b$ result, and provide a strong confirmation of the validity of the method. As a result of the variations of the selection criteria, all input quantities are changed over a wide range, while the asymmetry $A_{sl}^b$ remains well within the assigned uncertainties. For example, the uncorrected asymmetry $A$ changes by a factor $\approx 1.5$ in test C, while the asymmetry $A_{sl}^b$ changes by less than 7%. It should also be noted that reducing the kaon background in test C yields a negative asymmetry $A$.

Figure 16 shows the observed and expected uncorrected like-sign dimuon charge asymmetry as a function of the dimuon invariant mass. The expected asymmetry is computed using Eq. (12) and all the measurements of the sample composition and of the asymmetries. We compare the expected uncorrected asymmetry using two different assumptions for $A_{sl}^b$. In Fig. 16(a) the observed asymmetry is compared to the expectation for $A_{sl}^b = 0$, while Fig. 16(b) shows the expected asymmetry for $A_{sl}^b = -0.00957$. A possible systematic discrepancy between the observed and expected asymmetries can be observed for $A_{sl}^b = 0$, while it essentially disappears for the measured $A_{sl}^b$ value corresponding to Eq. (65). It can also be seen that the observed asymmetry changes as a function of the dimuon invariant mass, and that the expected asymmetry reproduces this effect when $A_{sl}^b = -0.00957$. This dependence of the asymmetry on the invariant mass of the muon pair is a complex function of the production mechanism, of the mass of the particles being produced and of their decays. The agreement between the observed and expected asymmetries indicates that the physics leading to the observed asymmetry is well described by the contributions from the backgrounds and from decaying $b$ hadrons.

We conclude that our method of analysis provides a consistent description of the dimuon charge asymmetry for a wide range of input parameters, even for significantly modified selection criteria.

In addition to the described consistency checks, we perform other studies to verify the validity of the analysis method. These tests are described in Appendices C, D, and E. We determine the asymmetry of track reconstruction and the asymmetry of trigger selection. We also measure the ratio $F_{K}/f_{K}$ using an alternative fitting procedure. These studies do not show any bias in
the extracted value of $A_{sl}^b$.

**XVI. COMPARISON WITH EXISTING MEASUREMENTS**

The measured value of $A_{sl}^b$ places a constraint on the charge asymmetries of semileptonic decays of $B^0$ and $B^0_s$ mesons, and the CP-violating phases of the $B_s^0$ and $B^0$ mass mixing matrices. Calculating the coefficients in Eq. (A9) assuming the current PDG values [2] for all parameters (details are given in Appendix A), we obtain

$$A_{sl}^b = (0.506 \pm 0.043) a_{sl}^d + (0.494 \pm 0.043) a_{sl}^s.$$  

(66)

Figure 17 presents this measurement in the $a_{sl}^d-a_{sl}^s$ plane, together with the existing direct measurements of $a_{sl}^d$ from the B-Factories [23] and of our independent measurement of $a_{sl}^s$ in $B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^{\pm} \mu X$ decays [24]. Using Eqs. (65) and (66) and the current experimental value of $a_{sl}^d = -0.0047 \pm 0.0046$ [23], we obtain

$$a_{sl}^s = -0.0146 \pm 0.0075.$$  

(67)

This agrees with our direct measurement of $a_{sl}^s = -0.0017 \pm 0.0091$ [24].

An independent method for measuring $\phi_s$ is through $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ decays. Such measurements have been performed by the D0 [25] and CDF [26] Collaborations. All measurements are consistent and the combined value of $\phi_s$ differs from the standard model prediction by about two standard deviations [27].

Taking into account the experimental constraints on $a_{sl}^b$ [23], Fig. 18 shows the 68% and 95% C.L. regions of $\Delta \Gamma_s$ and $\phi_s$ obtained from our measurement. The 68% and 95% C.L. regions from the D0 measurement using the $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ decay [25] are also included in this figure. Since the sign of $\Delta \Gamma_s$ is not known, there is also a mirror solution with $\phi_s \rightarrow -\pi - \phi_s$, corresponding to the change $\Delta \Gamma_s \rightarrow -\Delta \Gamma_s$. It can be seen that the D0 results are consistent. Figure 19 shows the probability contours in the ($\phi_s, \Delta \Gamma_s$) plane for the combination of our measurement with the result of Ref. [25].

**XVII. CONCLUSIONS**

We have measured the like-sign dimuon charge asymmetry $A_{sl}^b$ of semileptonic $b$-hadron decays:

$$A_{sl}^b = -0.00957 \pm 0.00251 \text{ (stat) } \pm 0.00146 \text{ (syst).}$$  

(68)

This measurement is obtained from a data set corresponding to 6.1 fb$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity collected with the D0 detector at Fermilab Tevatron collider. It is consistent with our previous measurement [15] obtained with 1 fb$^{-1}$ and supersedes it. This asymmetry is in disagreement with the prediction of the standard model by 3.2 standard deviations. This is the first evidence for anomalous CP-violation in the mixing of neutral $B$-mesons.
FIG. 16: The observed and expected like-sign dimuon charge asymmetries in bins of dimuon invariant mass. The expected asymmetry is shown for (a) $A_{sl}^b = 0.0$ and (b) $A_{sl}^b = -0.00957$.

FIG. 17: (Color online) Comparison of $A_{sl}^b$ in data with the standard model prediction for $a_{sl}^d$ and $a_{sl}^s$. Also shown are the existing measurements of $a_{sl}^d$ [23] and $a_{sl}^s$ [24]. The error bands represent the ±1 standard deviation uncertainties on each individual measurement.

FIG. 18: (Color online) The 68% and 95% C.L. regions of probability for $\Delta \Gamma_s$ and $\phi_s$ values obtained from this measurement, considering the experimental constraints on $a_{sl}^d$ [23]. The solid and dashed curves show respectively the 68% and 95% C.L. contours from the $B^0_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ measurement [25]. Also shown is the standard model (SM) prediction for $\phi_s$ and $\Delta \Gamma_s$.

FIG. 19: (Color online) Probability contours in the $(\phi_s, \Delta \Gamma_s)$ plane for the combination of this measurement with the result of Ref. [25], using the experimental constraints on $a_{sl}^d$ [23].
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APPENDIX A: THEORY

This Appendix is included for completeness and to define the notations. Assuming CPT symmetry, the mixing and decay of the $B_q^0, \bar{B}_q^0$ pair ($q = s, d$) is described [28] by

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} B_q^0(t) \\ \bar{B}_q^0(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M_q & M_{12} \\ M_{12}^* & -M_q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_q^0(t) \\ \bar{B}_q^0(t) \end{pmatrix} + i \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_q & \Gamma_{12} \\ \Gamma_{12}^* & -\Gamma_q \end{pmatrix}.$$

(A1)

where $M_q, M_{12}, \Gamma_q,$ and $\Gamma_{12}$ are the elements of the mass matrix of the $B_q^0, \bar{B}_q^0$ system. The matrix element $M_{12}^2$ is due to box diagrams [2]. New particles foreseen in extensions of the standard model can contribute to these box diagrams, and physics beyond the standard model can therefore modify the phase and amplitude of $M_{12}^2$.

The eigenvalues of the mass matrix in Eq. (A1) are

$$M_q + \frac{1}{2} \Delta M_q - \frac{i}{2} (\Gamma_q - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \Gamma_q),$$

$$M_q - \frac{1}{2} \Delta M_q - \frac{i}{2} (\Gamma_q + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \Gamma_q),$$

(A2)

(A3)

where, by definition, $\Delta M_q > 0$. Notice the sign conventions for $\Delta M_q$ and $\Delta \Gamma_q$. With this convention, $\Delta \Gamma_q$ is positive in the standard model. A violation of the $CP$ symmetry is caused by a non-zero value of the phase

$$\phi_q = \arg \left( -\frac{M_{12}^2}{\Gamma_{12}} \right).$$

(A4)

The observable quantities are $M_q, \Gamma_q, \Delta M_q, \Delta \Gamma_q$ and $\phi_q$, with

$$\Delta M_q = 2 |M_{12}^2|, \quad \Delta \Gamma_q = 2 |\Gamma_{12}| \cos \phi_q.$$  

(A5)

The charge asymmetry $a_{s;sl}^q$ for “wrong-charge” semileptonic $B_q^0$-meson decay induced by oscillations is defined as

$$a_{s;sl}^q = \frac{\Gamma(B_q^0(t) \to \mu^+ X) - \Gamma(B_q^0(t) \to \mu^- X)}{\Gamma(B_q^0(t) \to \mu^+ X) + \Gamma(B_q^0(t) \to \mu^- X)},$$

(A6)

This quantity is independent of the lifetime $t$, and can be expressed as

$$a_{s;sl}^q = \frac{|\Gamma_{12}|}{|M_{12}^2|} \sin \phi_q = \frac{\Delta \Gamma_q}{\Delta M_q} \tan \phi_q.$$  

(A7)

The like-sign dimuon charge asymmetry $A_{s;sl}^b$ for semileptonic decays of $b$ hadrons produced in proton-antineutron ($pp$) collisions is defined as

$$A_{s;sl}^b \equiv \frac{N_b^{++} - N_b^{--}}{N_b^{++} + N_b^{--}},$$

(A8)

where $N_b^{++}$ and $N_b^{--}$ are the numbers of events containing two $b$ hadrons that decay semileptonically, producing two positive or two negative muons, respectively, with only the direct semileptonic decays $b \to \mu X$ considered in the definition of $N_b^{++}$ and $N_b^{--}$. The asymmetry $A_{s;sl}^b$ can be expressed [10] as

$$A_{s;sl}^b = \frac{f_d Z_d a_{sl}^d + f_s Z_s a_{sl}^s}{f_d Z_d + f_s Z_s},$$

(A9)

where

$$Z_q \equiv \frac{1 - y_q^2}{1 + x_q^2},$$

(A10)

$$y_q \equiv \frac{\Delta \Gamma_q}{2 \Gamma_q},$$

(A11)

$$x_q \equiv \frac{\Delta M_q}{\Gamma_q},$$

(A12)

with $q = d, s$. The quantities $f_d$ and $f_s$ are the production fractions for $\bar{b} \to B_d^0$ and $\bar{b} \to B_s^0$ respectively. These fractions have been measured for $p\bar{p}$ collisions at the Tevatron [2]:

$$f_d = 0.323 \pm 0.037, \quad f_s = 0.118 \pm 0.015.$$  

(A13)

All other parameters in (A9) are also taken from Ref. [2]:

$$x_d = 0.774 \pm 0.008, \quad y_d = 0, \quad x_s = 26.2 \pm 0.5, \quad y_s = 0.046 \pm 0.027.$$  

(A14)

Substituting these values in Eq. (A9), we obtain

$$A_{s;sl}^b = (0.506 \pm 0.043)a_{sl}^d + (0.494 \pm 0.043)a_{sl}^s.$$  

(A15)

Using the values of $a_{sl}^d, a_{sl}^s$ from Ref. [1],

$$a_{sl}^d(SM) = (-4.8^{+1.0 \pm 0.3}_{-1.2 \pm 0.4}) \times 10^{-4},$$

$$a_{sl}^s(SM) = (2.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5},$$

(A16)

the predicted value of $A_{s;sl}^b$ in the standard model is

$$A_{s;sl}^b(SM) = (-2.3^{+0.5}_{-0.6}) \times 10^{-4}.$$  

(A17)

The current experimental values of the two semileptonic asymmetries are $a_{sl}^d = -0.0047 \pm 0.0046$ [23] and $a_{sl}^s = -0.0017 \pm 0.0091$ [24].

It can be concluded from Eq. (A17) that the standard model predicts a small negative value of $A_{s;sl}^b$ with rather small uncertainty. Any significant deviation of $A_{s;sl}^b$ from the SM prediction on a scale larger than that of the uncertainty on $A_{s;sl}^b$, would be an unambiguous signal of new physics.

The asymmetry $A_{s;sl}^b$ is also equivalent to the charge asymmetry of semileptonic decays of $b$ hadrons to “wrong charge” muons that are induced by oscillations [10], i.e.,

$$a_{s;sl}^b = \frac{\Gamma(B \to \mu^+ X) - \Gamma(B \to \mu^- X)}{\Gamma(B \to \mu^+ X) + \Gamma(B \to \mu^- X)} = A_{s;sl}^b.$$  

(A18)
Without initial flavor tagging it is impossible to correctly select the decays producing a muon of “wrong charge” from a sample of semileptonic decays of $b$ quarks. The charge asymmetry of semileptonic $b$ hadron decays, contrary to the charge asymmetry of like-sign dimuons, is therefore reduced by the contribution of decays producing a muon with “correct” charge, and is consequently less sensitive to the asymmetry $A_{c_{11}}$.

New physical phenomena can change the phase and magnitude of the standard model $M_{s}^{12,SM}$ to

$$M_{s}^{12} = M_{s}^{12,SM} \cdot \Delta s = M_{s}^{12,SM} \cdot |\Delta s| e^{i\phi_{s}}$$

where

$$\phi_{s} = \phi_{s}^{SM} + \phi_{s}^{A},$$

$$\phi_{s}^{SM} = 0.0042 \pm 0.0014.$$ (A19)

Other changes expected as a result of new sources of $CP$ violation [1] are

$$\Delta M_{s} = \Delta M_{s}^{SM} \cdot |\Delta s| = (19.30 \pm 6.74) \text{ ps}^{-1} \cdot |\Delta s|,$$ (A21)

$$\Delta \Gamma_{s} = 2 \left[ \Gamma_{s}^{12} \right] \cos \phi_{s} = (0.966 \pm 0.039) \text{ ps}^{-1} \cdot \cos \phi_{s},$$ (A22)

$$\Delta \Gamma_{s} = \frac{[\Gamma_{s}^{12}]}{M_{s}^{12,SM}} \cdot \cos \phi_{s} \approx (4.97 \pm 0.94) \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot \cos \phi_{s} / |\Delta s|,$$ (A23)

$$a_{s}^{J/\psi\phi} = \frac{[\Gamma_{s}^{12}]}{M_{s}^{12,SM}} \cdot \sin \phi_{s} \approx (4.97 \pm 0.94) \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot \sin \phi_{s} / |\Delta s|.$$ (A24)

The $B_{s}^{0} \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$ decay can also be used to investigate $CP$ violation. In that case, the $CP$-violating phase obtained from fits to the $B_{s}^{0} \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$ angular distributions is modified as follows [1]:

$$\phi_{s}^{J/\psi\phi} = -2 \beta_{s}^{SM} + \phi_{s}^{A},$$ (A25)

where

$$\beta_{s}^{SM} = \text{arg}[V_{ts} V_{cb}^{*}/(V_{ts} V_{cb}^{*})] = 0.019 \pm 0.001$$ (A26)

and the quantities $V_{ts}$, $V_{tb}$, $V_{cs}$, and $V_{cb}$ are the parameters of the CKM matrix. The contribution of new physics to $\phi_{s}$ and $\phi_{s}^{J/\psi\phi}$ are identical.

**APPENDIX B: RECONSTRUCTION OF EXCLUSIVE DECAYS**

1. Reconstruction of $K_{S}$ mesons

The $K_{S}$ meson is used to reconstruct the $K^{*+}$ meson [11] and to measure the fraction and asymmetry of $\pi \rightarrow \mu$ tracks. The $K_{S} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ decay is reconstructed by requiring two tracks with opposite charge. Each track must have an impact parameter significance with respect to the interaction vertex $> 3$, where the significance is defined as $\sqrt{(\epsilon_{T}/\sigma(\epsilon_{T}))^{2} + (\epsilon_{L}/\sigma(\epsilon_{L}))^{2}}$, and $\epsilon_{T}$ is the projection of the track impact parameter on the plane transverse to the beam direction (along the beam direction), and $\sigma(\epsilon_{T})$ is its uncertainty. At least one of the tracks must have an impact parameter significance $> 4$, and at least one of the particles must have $p_{T} > 1.5$ GeV. The two tracks must share a common vertex that is separated from the primary interaction point by more than 4 mm in the transverse plane. The significance of the reconstructed impact parameter for the $K_{S}$ must be $< 4$. All $K_{S}$ candidates satisfying these selection criteria are used to reconstruct the $K^{*+} \rightarrow K_{S}\pi^{+}$ decay. In addition, for the measurement of the fraction and asymmetry of $\pi \rightarrow \mu$ tracks, we require that one of the pions from $K_{S}$ decay pass the muon selection given in Sec. III.

Figure 20 displays the $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ invariant mass distribution of $K_{S} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ candidates in the inclusive muon sample for all $\pi \rightarrow \mu$ with $7.0 < p_{T} < 10.0$ GeV. We show separately the sum and the difference of the distributions for the samples with positive and negative $\pi \rightarrow \mu$ tracks, which are used to measure the asymmetry of $\pi \rightarrow \mu$ tracks. The $K_{S}$ signal is fitted with a double Gaussian, and the background is parameterized by a third degree polynomial for the sum of the two distributions and a straight line for their difference. While fitting the difference of the distributions all the parameters describing the $K_{S}$ signal, except its normalization, are fixed to the values obtained from the fit to the sum of the distributions.

2. Reconstruction of $K^{*+}$ mesons

The $K^{*+}$ [11] signal is obtained by combining the reconstructed $K_{S}$ meson with an additional track which is assigned the mass of the charged pion. The $K_{S}$ candidate must satisfy the track selection criteria given in Sec. III, except for the requirements on the number of hits in the tracking detectors and the $\chi^{2}$ of the track fit. The invariant mass of the $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ system must be $480 < M(\pi^{+}\pi^{-}) < 515$ MeV. The additional track must have at least 2 axial and 1 stereo hits in the silicon microstrip detector, at least 3 axial and 3 stereo hits in the fiber tracker, and a track impact parameter significance $< 3$ relative to the interaction vertex. The cosine of the angle between the direction of the $K_{S}$ meson and the additional track must be greater than 0.3. The $K_{S}$ and the additional track must be consistent with sharing the same interaction vertex.

Figure 21 shows the $K_{S}\pi^{+}$ invariant mass distribution. The $K^{*+}$ signal is fitted with a relativistic Breit-Wigner function convoluted with a Gaussian resolution, and the
background is parameterized by the function

$$f_{\text{back}}(M) = (M - M_K - M_\pi)^{p_0} \times \exp(p_1 M + p_2 M^2 + p_3 M^3),$$

which includes a threshold factor. Here $M$ is the $K_S\pi^+$ invariant mass, and $p_0, p_1, p_2$ and $p_3$ are free parameters. Figure 21(b), which shows the difference between data points and the result of the fit, demonstrates the good quality of the fit with $\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 54/49$. The measured width of the $K^{*+}$ meson is $\Gamma(K^{*+}) = 47.9 \pm 1.4(\text{stat})$ MeV, which is consistent with the current PDG value [2].

3. Reconstruction of $K^{*0}$ mesons

The $K^{*0}$ meson is reconstructed by selecting two tracks of opposite charge and assigning one of them the mass of the charged kaon. This particle is required to be identified as a muon and to pass the muon selection criteria given in Sec. III. The second track is assigned the mass of a pion and required to satisfy the criteria used to select the pion in the $K^{\pm}$ reconstruction.

Figure 22 shows the $K^{+}\pi^-$ [11] invariant mass distribution of the $K^{*0}$ candidates with $K \rightarrow \mu$ in the inclusive muon sample, while Fig. 23 shows the corresponding mass distribution in the like-sign dimuon sample.

The measurement of the number of $K^{*0} \rightarrow K^{+}\pi^-$ decays with $K \rightarrow \mu$ is complicated because of the large combinatorial background under the $K^{*0}$ signal, and because of the contribution of light meson resonances decaying to $\pi^+\pi^-$. The most important contribution comes from the $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ decay with $\pi \rightarrow \mu$. It produces a peak in the mass region close to the $K^{*0}$ mass. Figure 24 shows the mass distribution of simulated $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ decays with one pion assigned the kaon mass. This pion is also required to satisfy the track selections.

To overcome these misidentification difficulties, the fit to the $K^{+}\pi^-$ mass distribution is performed in several steps, assuming for the width of the $K^{*0}$ meson the value obtained in the previous section for the $K^{*+}$ meson. Contrary to the $K^{+}\pi^-$ system, the decays of light resonances do not contribute into the $K_S\pi^+$ mass distribution because the $K_S$ meson is identified unambiguously, and the $K^{*+}$ signal is clean and unbiased.

The $K^{*0}$ mass and detector resolution for $K^{*0} \rightarrow K^{+}\pi^-$ with $K \rightarrow \mu$ are obtained from a fit to the difference of the $K^{+}\pi^-$ and $K^{-}\pi^+$ mass distributions. The reconstruction efficiency of $K \rightarrow \mu$ tracks demonstrates a charge asymmetry $\approx 6\%$, (see Sec. XI). Such an asymmetry is significantly smaller for $\pi \rightarrow \mu$ tracks, and the contribution of $\rho \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ and other light resonances is therefore suppressed in the difference of the $K^{+}\pi^-$ and $K^{-}\pi^+$ mass distributions. In addition, the contribution of the combinatorial background is significantly reduced,
as can be seen in Fig. 25(a). The $K^{*0}$ signal is fitted with a relativistic Breit-Wigner function convoluted with a Gaussian resolution, and the background is parameterized by the function (B1). Figure 25(b), which shows the difference between data and the result of the fit, indicates a moderate quality for the fit, with $\chi^2/d.o.f. = 71/52$. The fit gives $\sigma(M) = 12.2 \pm 1.5\text{(stat)}$ MeV for the $K^{*0}$ mass resolution of the detector. The mass difference between $K^{*0}$ and $K^{*+}$ is

$$M(K^{*0}) - M(K^{*+}) = 3.50 \pm 0.66\text{(stat)} \text{ MeV}, \quad (B2)$$

which is consistent with the PDG value of $4.34 \pm 0.36$ MeV [2].

The number of $K^{*0}$ events in the inclusive muon and in the like-sign dimuon samples is determined from the fit of the mass distributions shown in Figs. 22 and 23. The signal is parameterized with the convolution of a relativistic Breit-Wigner function and a Gaussian resolution. The $K^{*0}$ mass and width and the detector resolution are fixed in the fit to the values obtained from the fit to the distribution in Fig. 25a. The mass distribution of the $\rho^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ background is taken from the MC simulation. To improve the quality of the fit, the parameterization of the background is modified by adding two additional Gaussian terms:

$$f_{\text{bck}}'(M) = f_{\text{bck}}(M) + p_4 \exp\left(-\frac{(M - M_1)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}\right) + p_5 \exp\left(-\frac{(M - M_2)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}\right). \quad (B3)$$

FIG. 22: (a) The $K^+\pi^-$ invariant mass distribution of $K^{*0}$ candidates in the inclusive muon sample. The solid line corresponds to the result of the fit and the dashed line shows the contribution from the combinatorial background. The shaded histogram is the contribution of $\rho^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ events. (b) The difference between data and the result of the fit.

FIG. 23: (a) The $K^+\pi^-$ invariant mass distribution of $K^{*0}$ candidates in the like-sign dimuon sample. The solid line corresponds to the result of the fit and the dashed line shows the contribution from the combinatorial background. The shaded histogram is the contribution of $\rho^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ events. (b) The difference between data and the result of the fit.

FIG. 24: The “$K^+\pi^-$” invariant mass distribution of simulated $\rho^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ decays, where the mass of the charged kaon is assigned to one of the two reconstructed tracks.
The results do not change significantly if these terms are omitted. The impact of these terms on the final measurement is included in the systematic uncertainties of the fractions \( f_K \) and \( F_K \) discussed in Sec. VIII.

In the fit to the inclusive muon distribution, the parameters \( M_1, M_2, \sigma_1, \) and \( \sigma_2 \) and the contribution of \( \rho^0 \to \pi^+\pi^- \), are allowed to vary. The ratio of the fractions of \( \rho^0 \) and \( K^{*0} \) mesons is constrained within 10% of the value obtained in the simulation. The fit yields \( M_1 = 1.095 \pm 0.005 \) GeV and \( M_2 = 1.170 \pm 0.007 \) GeV, which is far from the region of the \( K^{*0} \) mass, and does not influence the fitted number of \( K^{*0} \) mesons. The \( \chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} \) of the fit is 81/45. The results of the fit and corresponding residuals are shown in Fig. 22.

In the fit to the like-sign dimuon distribution the parameters \( M_1, M_2, \sigma_1, \) and \( \sigma_2 \) are fixed to the values obtained in the fit of the inclusive muon sample. The \( \chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} \) of the fit is 48/52. The results of the fit and corresponding residuals are shown in Fig. 23.

4. Reconstruction of \( \phi(1020) \) mesons

The \( \phi(1020) \) meson is reconstructed by selecting two tracks with opposite charge, and assigning both of them the mass of the charged kaon. One track is required to pass the track selections of Sec. III. The second one satisfies the same selection criteria as the pion in the \( K^{*0} \) and \( K^{*0} \) reconstructions.

Figure 26 shows the \( K^+K^- \) invariant mass distribution of the \( \phi(1020) \to K^+K^- \) candidates in the inclusive muon sample, with an additional requirement on the transverse momentum of the kaon misidentified as a muon, \( 4.2 < p_T < 7.0 \) GeV. We display separately the sum and the difference of the distributions for the \( K \to \mu \) tracks with positive and negative charges, as done in the case of \( K_S \) candidates, and use these distributions to measure the asymmetry for \( K \to \mu \) tracks. The \( \phi(1020) \) signal is fitted with a double Gaussian and the background is parameterized by the threshold function

\[
f_{\text{bck}}(M) = (M - 2M_K)^{\rho_0} \times \exp(p_1M + p_2M^2 + p_3M^3).
\]

All the parameters describing the signal, except its normalization, are fixed in the fit of the difference of the invariant mass distributions to the values obtained from the fit to the sum of the distributions.

5. Reconstruction of \( \Lambda \) baryons

The selection of \( \Lambda \to p\pi^- \) decays [11] follows that of \( K_S \to \pi^+\pi^- \), except that one of the tracks is assigned the mass of the proton. Figure 27 shows the \( p\pi^- \) invariant mass distribution of \( \Lambda \to p\pi^- \) candidates in the inclusive muon sample, with an additional requirement on the transverse momentum of the proton misidentified as a muon, for \( 4.2 < p_T < 7.0 \) GeV. Also in this case we display separately the distributions for the sum and the difference of the distributions for \( \Lambda \) and \( \Lambda \) decays, and use them to determine the asymmetry for \( p \to \mu \) tracks. The \( \Lambda \) baryon signal is fitted with a Gaussian, while the background is parameterized by a fourth (second) degree polynomial for the sum (difference) of the invariant mass distributions. All parameters describing the signal, except its normalization, are fixed in the fit of the difference of the invariant mass distributions to the values obtained from the fit to the sum of the distributions.
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APPENDIX C: TRACK RECONSTRUCTION ASYMMETRY

In this measurement of $A_{sl}^b$, we assume that the charge asymmetry of track reconstruction cancels as a result of the regular reversal of the magnets polarity. The method developed in Secs. V and XI provides a way of evaluating this assumption in data by comparing the track charge asymmetry $a_{\text{track}}$ with that expected from already analysed sources. We select events with one reconstructed muon and at least one additional track satisfying the selection criteria of Sec. III. The muon is not used in this study, but is required since the events are collected with single muon triggers. Nevertheless, the charges of the muon and the additional track can be correlated, and the asymmetry of the inclusive muon events can bias the observed track asymmetry. To eliminate this bias, we consider separately events in which the muon and the track have equal and opposite charges. The asymmetries in these samples can be expressed as

\[ a_{\text{opp}} = a_{\text{track}} - a, \]
\[ a_{\text{equal}} = a_{\text{track}} + a. \]  

The asymmetry $a$ is defined in Eq. (6), and the asymmetries $a_{\text{opp}}$ and $a_{\text{equal}}$ are computed as

\[ a_{\text{opp}} = \frac{n^{+-} - n^{-+}}{n^{+-} + n^{-+}}, \]
\[ a_{\text{equal}} = \frac{n^{++} - n^{--}}{n^{++} + n^{--}}, \]

where $n^{ij}$ is the number of events containing a track with charge $i$ and a muon with charge $j$. The track asymmetry $a_{\text{track}}$ is computed as

\[ a_{\text{track}} = \frac{1}{2} (a_{\text{opp}} + a_{\text{equal}}). \]

As discussed in Sec. XI, we expect the value of $a_{\text{track}}$ to contain a contribution from the asymmetry of kaon reconstruction, even after averaging over the different magnet polarities. The expected value of $a_{\text{track}}$ is therefore given by:

\[ a_{\text{track}} = a_{\text{track}}^K f_{\text{track}}^K, \]

where $f_{\text{track}}^K$ is the fraction of reconstructed tracks that are kaons, and $a_{\text{track}}^K$ is the charge asymmetry of kaon reconstruction.

The fraction $f_{\text{track}}^K$ is measured using $K^*0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ decays [11]. The selected charged particle is assigned the
kaon mass and combined with an additional track to produce the $K^{*0}$ candidate. The $K^{*0}$ selection and fitting procedure is described in Appendix B. The measured number of $K^{*0}$ mesons is converted into the number of kaons using a method similar to that presented in Sec. V. The measured $f^\text{track}_K$ fraction is assigned a systematic uncertainty as in Sec. VIII.

The same $K^{*0} \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ decay is used to measure the kaon reconstruction asymmetry. This measurement can be biased by the asymmetry of the muon, because the charge of the kaon and the muon can be correlated. The kaon asymmetry is therefore measured separately in the samples in which the muon and the kaon have equal or opposite charges. The asymmetry $a^\text{track}_K$ is computed in a way similar to Eq. (C3):

$$ a^\text{track}_K = \frac{1}{2}(a^\text{opp}_K + a^\text{equal}_K). \quad (C5) $$

The $K^{*0}$ mass distribution is plotted separately for positive and negative kaons in each sample, and the sum and the difference of these distributions is fitted to extract the quantity $\Delta$, corresponding to the difference in the number of $K^{*0}$ decays with positive and negative kaons, and the quantity $\Sigma$, corresponding to their sum. The asymmetry $a^\text{opp}_K$ is measured as $a^\text{opp}_K = \Delta_{\text{opp}}/\Sigma_{\text{opp}}$, and a similar relation is used to obtain the asymmetry $a^\text{equal}_K$.

The expected and observed track reconstruction asymmetry, i.e., the right and left side of Eq. (C4), are compared in Fig. 28 as a function of track $p_T$. There is excellent agreement between these two quantities. The $\chi^2$/d.o.f. for their difference is 5.4/5. The fit of $\delta_{\text{track}} = a_{\text{track}} - a^\text{track}_K f^\text{track}_K$ to a constant yields the following estimate for a residual track asymmetry of

$$ \delta_{\text{track}} = +0.00011 \pm 0.00035. \quad (C6) $$

We conclude that the residual track asymmetry is consistent with zero as expected. The uncertainty on this value is about a factor of 16 times smaller than the observed charge asymmetry in the like-sign dimuon events. This study provides an additional confirmation of the validity of the method used in this analysis.

**APPENDIX D: TRIGGER ASYMMETRY**

We determine the kaon, pion and proton charge asymmetries using events passing at least one single muon trigger, and we apply the same asymmetries to the like-sign dimuon events collected with dimuon triggers. Similarly, we measure the muon reconstruction asymmetry using events passing the dimuon triggers, and we use the same quantity for events collected with the single muon triggers. If the trigger selection is charge asymmetric, and this asymmetry is different for single muon and for dimuon triggers, the obtained value of $A_{sl}^b$ can be biased. Since we extract the asymmetry $A_{sl}^b$ from the difference $A - aa$, and because the value of $\alpha$ is very close to unity, our measurement is especially sensitive to a difference between the charge asymmetry of dimuon and single muon triggers.

To examine the impact of this difference on our result, we repeat the measurement of $A_{sl}^b$ using dimuon events passing any single muon trigger without requiring dimuon triggers. The result of this test, given in column O of Table XV, does not indicate a bias from the trigger selection. In addition, we measure $A_{sl}^b$ using dimuon events passing both single muon and dimuon triggers. The result of this test is given in column P of Table XV. These two tests provide a residual difference $\delta_T$ between the asymmetry of dimuon triggers and single muon triggers of

$$ \delta_T = +0.00010 \pm 0.00029. \quad (D1) $$

From this result, we conclude that the trigger selections do not produce any significant bias to the value of $A_{sl}^b$.

**APPENDIX E: ALTERNATIVE MEASUREMENT OF $F_K/f_K$**

We consider two methods to obtain the parameters $\alpha_i \equiv F_K/f_K$ in the $p_T$ interval $i$ from

$$ \alpha_i = 2 \frac{N_i(K^{*0} \rightarrow K \rightarrow \mu)}{N_i} \frac{n_{i}}{n_i(K^{*0} \rightarrow K \rightarrow \mu)}. \quad (E1) $$
where $N_i$ refers to the like-sign dimuon sample and $n_i$ refers to the inclusive muon sample. $N_i(K^{*0} \to K \to \mu)$ and $n_i(K^{*0} \to K \to \mu)$ are obtained by fitting the invariant mass histograms of $K^{*0} \to \pi K \to \mu$ in the like-sign dimuon and inclusive muon samples, respectively. These fits require precise modeling of the $\rho^0$ resonance and other backgrounds. In the first method we use the results listed in Table III and obtain the values of $\alpha_i$ listed in Table XVI.

A second set of values for the $\alpha_i$ parameters can be obtained by finding a scale factor which minimizes the differences between invariant mass distributions for the $K^{*0}$ candidates in the inclusive muon and dimuon samples (null fit method). Invariant mass distributions for $K^{*0}$ candidates analogous to the ones shown in Fig. 22 and 23 are built for each bin of $K \to \mu$ transverse momentum. We scale the invariant mass distribution in the inclusive muon sample by a factor $\alpha_i N_i/(2n_i)$ and subtract it from the invariant mass distribution obtained from the dimuon sample. The contributions from the $\rho$ resonance and from other backgrounds cancel to first order in the difference of the two invariant mass distributions, simplifying the convergence of mass fits. We then vary the factor $\alpha_i$ and find the value that yields a null normalization factor for the residual $K^{*0}$ signal. The statistical uncertainty on $\alpha_i$ is obtained by choosing the value of the scale factor which yields a positive (negative) value of this normalization factor which is equal to its uncertainty. The values of $\alpha_i$ obtained with this method are also listed in Table XVI, with their statistical uncertainties. There is remarkable agreement between the values of $\alpha_i$ obtained using the two methods.

[11] Charge conjugation invariance is implied throughout this article.
[16] Pseudorapidity $\eta \equiv -\ln [\tan(\theta/2)]$, where $\theta$ is the angle of the track relative to the direction of the proton beam; $\phi$ is the polar angle with respect to the proton beam, and $\phi = 90^\circ$ defined as the vertical axis.
[21] In this section the symbol “$\mu$” and word “muon” stand for “muon candidate passing a specified set of selections.”