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The BFKL equation became famous due to the prediction of the rapid growth of the $\gamma^* p$ cross sections discovered at HERA.

Therefore BFKL is usually associated with the evolution equation for the unintegrated gluon distribution.

Cross sections of processes with a hard scale $Q^2$ symbolically may be written as

$$\mathcal{F} \otimes \hat{\sigma} \otimes \mathcal{F}$$

$\mathcal{F}_A^i(x, Q^2)$ — parton distributions

$\sigma_{ij}(x_i, x_j, Q^2)$ — partonic cross sections.

Evolution of the parton distributions with $\tau = \ln \left( Q^2 / \Lambda_{QCD}^2 \right)$ is determined by the DGLAP equations.
V.N. Gribov, L.N. Lipatov, 1972, 
L.N. Lipatov, 1975, 
G. Altarelli, Parisi, 1977 
Yu.L. Dokshitzer, 1977,

\[
\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \tau} = \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_S(Q^2)}{2\pi} \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{F}
\]

which are basically renorm group equations. The standard DGLAP approach fails at small \( x = Q^2/s \) \((s \) is c.m.s. energy squared\), in particular because of the necessity to sum the terms of the perturbation series enhanced by powers of \( \log(1/x) \). Resummation of leading \( \log(1/x) \)-terms \((\alpha_S \ln(1/x))^n \) was performed in the BFKL approach.
based on the gluon Reggeization.

The BFKL approach describes evolution of the unintegrated gluon distribution $F(x, \kappa^2)$ not in $\ln Q^2$, but in $\ln(1/x)$:

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \ln(1/x)} = \mathcal{K} \bigotimes F,$$

$\mathcal{K}$ is the BFKL kernel and $\bigotimes$ means convolution not over fractions of longitudinal momenta as in the DGLAP equation, but over transverse momenta. The BFKL equation resums the terms

$$(\alpha_S \ln(1/x))^n \quad \text{at leading order (LO}_x),$$

$$\alpha_S(\alpha_S \ln(1/x))^n \quad \text{at next-to-leading order (NLO}_x).$$
In the leading logarithmic approximation (LLA) it predicts \( \sigma \sim \left( \frac{1}{x} \right)^{\omega_P} \), where the Pomeron intercept (with subtracted 1)

\[
\omega_P = 4N_c \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \ln 2, \quad \omega_P \simeq 0.4 \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha_s = 0.15
\]

The BFKL equation became famous just due to this prediction, since the rapid growth of the \( \gamma^*p \) cross sections was discovered at HERA.

Therefore BFKL is usually associated with the evolution equation for the unintegrated gluon distribution.

Actually the region of applicability of the BFKL approach is much wider.

The evolution equation for the unintegrated gluon distribution appears in this approach as a particular result for the imaginary part of the forward scattering amplitude (\( t = 0 \) and vacuum quantum numbers in the \( t \)-channel).
Introduction

But the approach gives the description of scattering amplitudes at any fixed momentum transfer $\sqrt{-t}$ and at any colour state in the $t$-channel in the limit of large center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s}$ (Regge limit).

It is worthwhile to add that the approach was developed, and is more suitable, for the description of processes with only one hard scale, such as $\gamma^*\gamma^*$ scattering with both photon virtualities of the same order, where the DGLAP evolution is absent.

In the leading logarithmic approximation (LLA) neither scale of energy nor scale of transverse momenta entering in strong coupling $\alpha_s(k_{\perp})$ are fixed. They can be determined at next-to-leading approximation NLA, when the terms $\alpha_s(\alpha_s \ln(1/x))^n$ are resummed. The Pomeron intercept and normalization of cross sections can be fixed only in the NLA.
Evidently the power growth violate the Froissart bound

\[ \sigma_{tot} < const (\ln s)^2. \]

This problem can not be solved by calculation of radiative corrections at any fixed \( NNN \ldots NL \) order and requires other methods. The most popular now are non-linear generalizations of the BFKL equation, related to the idea of saturation of parton densities


A general approach to the unitarization problem is reformulating of QCD in terms of a gauge-invariant effective field theory for the Reggeized gluon interactions

Fixed order calculations

The idea of the gluon Reggeization arised as the result of the fixed order calculations.

Dispersion approach based on the analyticity and unitarity


Born amplitudes: $t$-channel unitarity.

Elastic scattering amplitudes $A_{AB}^{A'B'}(s,t)$: $t$-channel discontinuity

$$g^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \frac{2p_B^\mu p_A^\nu}{s}; \quad 2iS_t A_{AB}^{A'B'}(s,t) = -4\pi is\delta(t)\Gamma_{A'A}^c \Gamma_{B'B}^c;$$
The discontinuity determines the amplitudes unambiguously.

\[
A_{AB}'(s,t) = \frac{2s}{t+i0} \Gamma_{A'A}^{c} \Gamma_{B'B}^{c}
\]

\[
\Gamma_{P'P}^{c} = g T_{P'P}^{c} \delta_{\lambda_{P'}\lambda_{P}}
\]

\(T_{P'P}^{c}\) – the colour group generators
\(\lambda\) – helicities
Fixed order calculations

Dispersion approach requires production amplitudes. Amplitudes $A_{AB}^{A'B'B}(s, t)$: $t_A$ and $t_B$-channel discontinuities
Fixed order calculations

\[ A_{AB}^{A'B'B'} = 2s \Gamma_{AA'}^{c_1} \frac{1}{t_1} \gamma_{c_1c_2}^d (q_1, q_2) \frac{1}{t_2} \Gamma_{B'B}^{c_2} \]

\[ \gamma_{c_1c_2}^d (q_1, q_2) = gT_{c_1c_2}^d e^*_\mu (k) C^\mu (q_2, q_1) \]

\[ C^\mu (q_2, q_1) = -q_1^\mu - q_2^\mu + \frac{p_1^2}{k p_1} - 2 \frac{k p_2}{p_1 p_2} - p_2^\mu \left( \frac{q_2^2}{k p_2} + 2 \frac{k p_1}{p_1 p_2} \right) \]
Fixed order calculations

Radiative corrections: $s$–channel unitarity
Fixed order calculations

The results are compatible with the Reggeized form of elastic amplitudes

\[ \mathcal{A}_{AB}^{A'B'} = \Gamma_{A'A}^c \left[ \left( \frac{-s}{-t} \right)^{\alpha(t)} - \left( \frac{s}{-t} \right)^{\alpha(t)} \right] \Gamma_{B'B}^c ; \]

\[ j(t) = 1 + \omega(t) \quad \text{Reggeon trajectory} \]

\[ \omega(t) = \frac{g^2 N_c t}{2(2\pi)^{D-1}} \int \frac{d^{D-2}q_1}{q_1^2 (q - q_1)^2} = -g^2 \frac{N_c \Gamma(1 - \epsilon)}{(4\pi)^{D/2}} \frac{\Gamma^2(\epsilon)}{\Gamma(2\epsilon)} (q^2)^{-\epsilon} \]
The gluon Reggeization hypothesis

The three-loop calculations


confirmed the reggeized form of the elastic amplitudes
and permitted to formulate the Reggeization hypothesis for inelastic ones

\[
\mathcal{R} A_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}+n} = 2s \Gamma_{\tilde{A}A}^{c_1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{c_i c_{i+1}}^{J_i} (q_i, q_{i+1}) \right) \left( \frac{s_i}{s_0} \right)^{\omega(t_i)} \frac{1}{t_i} \left( \frac{s_{n+1}}{s_0} \right)^{\omega(t_{n+1})} \Gamma_{\tilde{B}B}^{c_{n+1}}
\]
The gluon Reggeization hypothesis

The hypothesis is extremely powerful:

- It allows us to express scattering amplitudes only through several effective vertices and gluon trajectory.
- It creates the basis of the BFKL approach to the theoretical description of high energy scattering.
- The Pomeron and Odderon in QCD appear as the compound state of the Reggeized gluons.
- The effective action based on Reggeized gluons is the most general way of the solution of saturation and unitarization problems.
- It gives a link between QCD and the String Theory.
The gluon Reggeization hypothesis

Assuming this form the vertices $\Gamma_{PP'}$ and the Regge trajectories $\omega$ can be easily calculated in the leading order (LO).

To find them it is sufficient to calculate the simplest elastic scattering amplitude with the $P \rightarrow P'$ transition in the Born approximation. Of course, other processes can be used to test that the Regge form is valid.

To find a trajectory it is sufficient to calculate with logarithmic accuracy one-loop correction to elastic scattering amplitude with corresponding quantum numbers in the $t$–channel.

Of course, neither the calculation, nor the results are not so simple in the next-to-leading order (NLO).

All vertices for interaction of the Reggeon with quarks and gluons are known in the NLO
The gluon Reggeization hypothesis

V.S.F., L.N. Lipatov, 1993;
V.S.F., R. Fiore, 1992;
V.S.F., R. Fiore, A. Quartarolo; 1994;

The two-loop contribution to the Regge trajectory was obtained at arbitrary space-time dimension $D = 4 + 2\epsilon$ in terms of integrals over transverse momenta

V.S.F., R. Fiore, M.I. Kotsky, 1995;
V.S.F., R. Fiore, A. Quartarolo, 1996;
V.S.F., R. Fiore, M.I. Kotsky, 1996.

The integrals can be expressed in terms of elementary functions only for $\epsilon \to 0$. 
The gluon Reggeization hypothesis

Explicit expression for the two-loop contribution


in pure gluodynamics

\[ \omega^{(2)}(t) \simeq \left( \frac{g^2}{\epsilon} \right)^2 \left[ \frac{11}{3} + \left( 2\psi'(1) - \frac{67}{9} \right) \epsilon \right. \]
\[ + \left. \left( \frac{404}{27} + \psi''(1) - \frac{22}{3} \psi'(1) \right) \epsilon^2 \right] , \quad \bar{g}^2 = \frac{g^2 N \Gamma(1 - \epsilon)}{(4\pi)^{D/2}} . \]

where \( \psi(x) = \frac{\Gamma'(x)}{\Gamma(x)} \), \( \Gamma \) is the Euler gamma-function. The space-time dimension \( D = 4 + 2\epsilon = 4 \).
The gluon Reggeization hypothesis

\( \Gamma_{Q'Q}^R \) and \( \Gamma_{G'G}^R \) describe transitions \( Q \to Q' \) and \( G \to G' \) in collision with Reggeon \( R \).

In light cone gauge the vertex of gluon transition can be written as:

\[
\Gamma_{G'G}^{c(B)} = -g(e^*(p')e(p))_\perp T_{G'G}^c
\]

\[
\Gamma_{G'G}^a = \Gamma_{G'G}^{a(B)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\omega^{(1)}(t)}{2} \left[ \frac{2}{\epsilon} + \psi(1) + \psi(1 - \epsilon) - 2\psi(1 + \epsilon) - \frac{9(1 + \epsilon)^2 + 2}{2(1 + \epsilon)(1 + 2\epsilon)(3 + 2\epsilon)} + \frac{n_f}{N_c} \frac{(1 + \epsilon)^3 + \epsilon^2}{(1 + \epsilon)^2(1 + 2\epsilon)(3 + 2\epsilon)} \right] \right\} +
\]

\[
+ gT_{G'G}^a e_\perp^* e_\perp \left( g_\perp^{\mu\nu} - (D - 2) \frac{q_\perp^\mu}{q_\perp^2} \right) \frac{\epsilon\omega^{(1)}(t)}{2(1 + \epsilon)^2(1 + 2\epsilon)(3 + 2\epsilon)} \left( 1 + \epsilon - \frac{n_f}{N_c} \right),
\]

V.S. F., L.N. Lipatov, 1993
Amplitudes of processes with all possible quantum numbers in the $t$–channel are calculated using unitarity and analyticity.

\[ \sum_n \]

\[ p_A \quad q_1 \quad q_i \quad q_{i+1} \quad q_{n+1} \quad p_B \]

\[ p_{A'} \quad q'_1 \quad q'_i \quad q'_{i+1} \quad q'_{n+1} \quad p_{B'} \]
Scattering amplitudes

The amplitudes are presented in the form:

$$\Phi_{A'A} \otimes G \otimes \Phi_{B'B}.$$
Scattering amplitudes

Impact factors $\Phi_{A'A}$ and $\Phi_{B'B}$ describe transitions $A \rightarrow A' B \rightarrow B'$, $G$ – Green’s function for two interacting Reggeized gluons,

$$\hat{G} = e^{Y\hat{\mathcal{K}}} ,$$

$\hat{\mathcal{K}}$ – BFKL kernel, $Y = \ln(s/s_0)$ ,

$$\hat{\mathcal{K}} = \hat{\omega}_1 + \hat{\omega}_2 + \hat{\mathcal{K}}_r$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{K}}_r = \hat{\mathcal{K}}_G + \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{Q\bar{Q}} + \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{GG}$$

Energy dependence of scattering amplitudes is determined by the BFKL kernel.
Scattering amplitudes
The gluon Reggeization

The Reggeon vertices and trajectory were obtained assuming the Reggeized form of elastic amplitudes.

This form was proved at Born level using $t$–channel unitarity and analyticity. Their Reggeization (appearance of the Regge factors $s^\omega(t)$ as a result of calculation of radiative corection) arose as a hypothesis in the LLA (only gluons can be produced and each jet is actually a gluon in this approximation) on the basis of direct calculations at three-loop level for elastic amplitudes and one-loop level for one-gluon production amplitudes. Later it was proved in the LLA for all amplitudes at arbitrary number of loops with the help of bootstrap relations

The gluon Reggeization

The hypothesis is extremely powerful since an infinite number of amplitudes is expressed in terms of the gluon Regge trajectory and several Reggeon vertices.

Evidently, its proof is extremely desirable. The proof is especially necessary because of appearance of statements about existence of contributions violating the Regge ansatz at three loop level.

T. Kuks, 2004

Now the desired proof is completed

V.S.F., R. Fiore, M.G. Kozlov, A. V. Reznichenko, 2006
The proof of the gluon Reggeization in the NLA is also based on the bootstrap relations. Following from the bootstrap requirement (compatibility of the Reggeized form with the $s$–channel unitarity)

\[
\frac{1}{-\pi i} \text{disc}_s A^{A'B'}_{AB} = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Re A^{A'B'}_{AB} / s
\]
The gluon Reggeization

The proof of the gluon Reggeization in the NLA is also based on the bootstrap relations:

\[
\frac{1}{\pi i} \left( \sum_{l=j+1}^{n+1} \text{disc}_{s_{j,l}} - \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \text{disc}_{s_{l,j}} \right) A_{2\to n+2}^S(p_A^+ p_B^-) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} A_{2\to n+2}^S(y_i)/(p_A^+ p_B^-)
\]

that allow us to express partial derivatives \( \partial/\partial y_j \) of the amplitudes, through the certain combination of discontinuities of the signaturized amplitudes:

\( S \) means symmetrization with respect to simultaneous change of signs of all \( s_{i,j} \) with \( i < k \leq j \), performed independently for each number of channel \( k = 1, \ldots, n + 1 \).

One of the methods for the b.r. derivation is based on the Steinmann theorem in conjunction with general analytical properties of the MRK amplitudes.
The gluon Reggeization

If we prove the b.r. in perturbative calculation, it will means the proof of the Regge form in NLA, since one can recursively calculate Regge amplitudes loop-by-loop in all orders of coupling constant using MRK amplitudes only in the one loop approximation for every $n$ as an input. Indeed, b.r. express all partial derivatives of the real parts at some number of loops through the discontinuities, calculated using the $s$-channel unitarity in terms of amplitudes with a smaller number of loops. In the NLA only real parts of the amplitudes do contribute in the unitarity relations.
Talking about the BFKL kernel one usually has in mind the case of the forward scattering, i.e. $t = 0$ and vacuum quantum numbers in the $t$-channel. However, the BFKL approach is not limited to this particular case and, what is more, from the beginning it was developed for arbitrary $t$ and for all possible $t$-channel colour states.

The forward BFKL kernel at NLO was found more than seven years ago. V.S.F., L.N. Lipatov, 1998, M. Ciafaloni, G. Camici, 1998. The forward kernel can carry only restrictive information about the BFKL dynamics. Moreover, the non-forward case has an advantage of smaller sensitivity to large-distance contributions, since the diffusion in the infrared region is limited by $\sqrt{|t|}$. But the calculation of the non-forward kernel at NLO was completed only last year.

The reason was a complexity of the two-gluon contribution.
The “real” contribution

\[ \hat{\mathcal{K}}_r = \hat{\mathcal{K}}_G + \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{Q\bar{Q}} + \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{GG} \]

is related to particle production in Reggeon-Reggeon collisions and consists of parts coming from one-gluon, two-gluon and quark-antiquark pair production. The first part is also universal, apart from a colour coefficient, and is also known in the NLO

V.S.F., D.A. Gorbachev, 2000.

The new contributions which appear in the NLO are \( \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{Q\bar{Q}} \) and \( \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{GG} \). Each of them is written as a sum of two terms with coefficients depending on a colour representation \( R \) in the \( t \)-channel. For the \( Q\bar{Q} \) case both these terms are known. Instead, only the piece related to the gluon channel was known for the \( GG \) case.

V.S.F., D.A. Gorbachev, 2000.
Thus, the two-gluon contribution was the only missing piece in the non-forward BFKL kernel.

The “non-subtracted” contribution to the kernel $K_{GG}$ is

$$
\sum_{G_1 G_2} \int \gamma^{G_1 G_2} (\gamma'^{G_1 G_2})^* \, d\phi_{G_1 G_2},
$$

$\gamma^{G_1 G_2}$ and $\gamma'^{G_1 G_2}$ – effective vertices for two-gluon production in collision of Reggeized gluons with momenta $q_1$, $-q_2$ and $q'_1$, $-q'_2$ respectively;

$$q_1 - q'_1 = q_2 - q'_2 = q,$$

$q$ is the total momentum transfer,

$$q_1 - q_2 = q'_1 - q'_2 = k_1 + k_2,$$
\( k_i \) – momenta of produced gluons,
\( d\phi_{G_1 G_2} \) – their phase space element; the sum is over polarizations and colours of produced gluons. For two-gluon states (and only for them) the integral over their invariant mass \( k^2 \) is logarithmically divergent at large \( k^2 \), that requires subtraction of the region of large invariant mass. This region is taken into account in the leading terms.

The two-gluon vertex

\[
\gamma^{G_1 G_2} = T^{G_1} T^{G_2} \gamma_{12} + T^{G_2} T^{G_1} \gamma_{21},
\]

Accordingly, for any representation of \( \mathcal{R} \) of the colour group the two-gluon contribution \( \mathcal{K}^{(R)}_{GG} \) contains two terms:
"direct"

\[ T^{G_1} T^{G_2} T^{G_2} T^{G_1} \]

and "interference"

\[ T^{G_1} T^{G_2} T^{G_1} T^{G_2} , \]

with different colour coefficients \( a_R \) and \( b_R \) and the functions \( F_a \) and \( F_b \),

\[ F_a \propto \gamma_1 \gamma'_1 + \gamma_2 \gamma'_2 , \quad F_b \propto \gamma_1 \gamma'_2 + \gamma_2 \gamma'_1 , \]

With account of the subtraction \( K^{(R)}_{GG} \) is presented in the form

\[ \frac{2g^4 N_c^2}{(2\pi)^{D-1}} \hat{S} \int_0^1 dx \int \frac{d^2+2\epsilon k_1}{(2\pi)^{D-1}} \left( \frac{a_R F_a(k_1, k_2) + b_R F_b(k_1, k_2)}{x(1-x)} \right) + , \]
where the operator $\hat{S}$ symmetrizes with respect to exchange of the Reggeon momenta, $x$ is a fraction of longitudinal momenta of a produced gluon,

$$\left(\frac{f(x)}{x(1-x)}\right)_+ \equiv \frac{1}{x}[f(x) - f(0)] + \frac{1}{(1-x)[f(x) - f(1)],}$$

The group coefficients are expressed through the coefficients $c_R$ appearing in the leading order: $a_R = c_R^2$ and $b_R = c_R (c_R - \frac{1}{2})$.

For the colour group $SU(N_c)$ with $N_c = 3$ the possible representations $\mathcal{R}$ are

$$1, 8_a, 8_s, 10, \overline{10}, 27.$$
Corresponding coefficients are

\[ c_1 = 1, \quad c_{8a} = c_{8s} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad c_{10} = c_{10} = 0, \quad c_{27} = -\frac{1}{4N_c} \]

In particular,

\[ a_0 = 1, \quad a_{8a} = a_{8s} = \frac{1}{4}, \quad b_1 = 1/2, \quad b_{8a} = b_{8s} = 0. \]

The last equality is especially important for the antisymmetric case, since the vanishing of \( b_{8a} \) is crucial for the gluon Reggeization.
BFKL Pomeron

The equality $b_8 = 0$ extremely simplifies calculation of the octet kernel

V.S.F., D.A. Gorbachev, 2000.

Remarkably, that only planar diagrams contribute to $\mathcal{K}^{(8)}_{GG}$ due to the colour structure.

Instead of calculation of the second term in

$$
\frac{2g^4 N_c^2}{(2\pi)^{D-1}} \hat{S} \int_0^1 dx \int \frac{d^{2+2\epsilon} k_1}{(2\pi)^{D-1}} \left( \frac{a_R F_a(k_1, k_2) + b_R F_b(k_1, k_2)}{x(1-x)} \right) +
$$

we have found more convenient to calculate the “symmetric" contribution

$$
\mathcal{K}^{(s)}_{GG}(\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2; \vec{q}) = \frac{2g^4 N_c^2}{(2\pi)^{D-1}} \hat{S} \int_0^1 dx \int \frac{d^{2+2\epsilon} k_1}{(2\pi)^{D-1}} \left( \frac{F_s(k_1, k_2)}{x(1-x)} \right) +
$$
BFKL Pomeron

where

\[ F_s = F_a + F_b \propto (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)(\gamma'_1 + \gamma'_2). \]

A marvellous feature of \( \mathcal{K}_{GG}^{(s)} \) is absence of infrared singularities.

The disappearance of the singularities is rather tricky: it takes place due to independence of infrared singular terms in the \( F_s \) from \( x \). Because of this reason the singularities vanish after the substraction.

Relations between the colour coefficients \( a_R \) and \( b_R \) permits to write the two-gluon contribution to the kernel for any representation \( R \) is the form

\[ \mathcal{K}_{GG}^{(R)} = 2c_R \mathcal{K}_{GG}^{(8)} + b_R \mathcal{K}_{GG}^{(s)}. \]

Moreover, in pure gluodynamics an analogous relations is valid for total "real" parts of the kernel:
\[ \mathcal{K}^{(R)}_r = 2c_R \mathcal{K}^{(8)}_r + b_R \mathcal{K}^{(s)}_{GG}. \]

Since \( \mathcal{K}^{(s)}_{GG} \) is infrared safe, this relation greatly simplifies analysis of infrared singularities, especially because

The "real" part \( \mathcal{K}^{(8)}_r \) for the gluon channel is rather simple
\[ K_{\tau}^{(8)}(\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2; \vec{q}) = \frac{g^2 N_c}{2(2\pi)^{D-1}} \left\{ \left( \frac{\vec{q}_1^2 \vec{q}_2'^2 + \vec{q}_1'^2 \vec{q}_2^2}{k_2^2} - \vec{q}^2 \right) \right\} \]

\[ \times \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{g^2 N_c \Gamma(1 - \epsilon)(k_2^2)\epsilon}{(4\pi)^{2+\epsilon}} \left( -\frac{11}{6\epsilon} + \frac{67}{18} - \zeta(2) + \epsilon \left( -\frac{202}{27} + 7\zeta(3) + \frac{11}{6} \zeta(2) \right) \right) \right) \]

\[ + \frac{g^2 N_c \Gamma(1 - \epsilon)}{(4\pi)^{2+\epsilon}} \left[ \vec{q}^2 \left( \frac{11}{6} \ln \left( \frac{\vec{q}_1^2 \vec{q}_2^2}{\vec{q}_2^2 k_2^2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \ln \left( \frac{\vec{q}_1^2}{\vec{q}_2^2} \right) \ln \left( \frac{\vec{q}_1'^2}{\vec{q}_2'^2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \ln \left( \frac{\vec{q}_2^2}{\vec{q}_2'^2} \right) \ln \left( \frac{\vec{q}_1'^2}{\vec{q}_2'^2} \right) \right] \]

\[ + \frac{1}{4} \ln^2 \left( \frac{\vec{q}_1^2}{\vec{q}_2^2} \right) - \frac{\vec{q}_1'^2 \vec{q}_2^2 + \vec{q}_2'^2 \vec{q}_1^2}{2k_2^2} \ln^2 \left( \frac{\vec{q}_1^2}{\vec{q}_2^2} \right) + \frac{\vec{q}_1'^2 \vec{q}_2^2 - \vec{q}_2'^2 \vec{q}_1^2}{k_2^2} \ln \left( \frac{\vec{q}_1^2}{\vec{q}_2^2} \right) \left( \frac{11}{6} - \frac{1}{4} \ln \left( \frac{\vec{q}_1^2 \vec{q}_2^2}{\vec{q}_2^2 k_2^4} \right) \right) \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \vec{q}^2 (k_2^2 - \vec{q}_1^2 - \vec{q}_2^2) + 2\vec{q}_1^2 \vec{q}_2^2 - \vec{q}_1^2 \vec{q}_2'^2 - \vec{q}_2^2 \vec{q}_1'^2 + \frac{\vec{q}_1'^2 \vec{q}_2^2 - \vec{q}_2'^2 \vec{q}_1^2}{k_2^2} (\vec{q}_1^2 - \vec{q}_2^2) \right] \]

\[ \times I(\vec{q}_1^2, \vec{q}_2^2, k_2^2) \right\} \right\} + \frac{g^2 N_c}{2(2\pi)^{D-1}} \left\{ \vec{q}_i \leftrightarrow \vec{q}_i' \right\} , \]

where

\[ I(a, b, c) = \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{a(1-x) + bx - cx(1-x)} \ln \left( \frac{a(1-x) + bx}{cx(1-x)} \right) . \]
BFKL Pomeron

The "symmetric" contribution is rather complicated. The complexity is related to the non-planar diagrams. It is known since the calculation of the non-forward kernel for the QED Pomeron

V.N. Gribov, L.N. Lipatov, G.V. Frolov, 1970

H. Cheng, T.T. Wu, 1970 where only box and cross-box diagrams are relevant. The kernel was found only in the form of two-dimensional integral.

In QCD the situation is greatly worse because of the existence of cross-pentagon and cross-hexagon diagrams in addition to QED-type cross-box diagrams.

It requires the use of additional Feynman parameters.

At arbitrary $D$ no integration over these parameters at all can be done in elementary functions. It occurs, however, that

in the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ the integration over additional Feynman parameters can be performed, so that the result can be written as two-dimensional
Summary

• The BFKL approach gives the most common basis for the theoretical description of small $x$ processes

• It is applicable to scattering amplitudes at any fixed momentum transfer $\sqrt{-t}$ and at any colour state in the $t$-channel

• The basis of the BFKL approach is the gluon Reggeization

• The gluon Reggeization is a remarkable property of QCD, very important for description of high energy processes
Summary

- The Reggeization hypothesis is extremely powerful: all scattering amplitudes are expressed in terms of the gluon trajectory and several Reggeon vertices.

- The non-forward BFKL kernel is calculated now in the NLO for any colour state in the $t$-channel.

- The gluon Reggeization hypothesis of is proved in the NLA.