Evidence for Single Top Quark Production at DØ & A First Direct Measurement of $|V_{tb}|$

Dag Gillberg
For the DØ Collaboration

The Tevatron and DØ

Fermilab



The DØ detector

- Silicon and fiber tracker
- 2T Superconducting Solenoid
- Ur-LAr Calorimeter
- Muon system



The Top Quark

- Top pair ($t\bar{t}$) production observed 1995 by the DØ and the CDF Collaborations
- By far the heaviest fundamental particle we know of
- Cross section: 6.8 ± 1.2 pb (incl. uncertanty on the mass)

$t\bar{t}$ production channels



Electroweak single top production

- Never observed before
- Dominant production channels at the Tevatron:

s-channel (tb)



$\sigma_{\mathrm{NLO}} = \mathbf{0.88} \pm 0.11$ pb (*)

t-channel (tqb)



$\sigma_{\mathrm{NLO}} = \mathbf{1.98} \pm 0.25$ pb(*)

(*) $m_t = 175$ GeV, Phys.Rev. D70 (2004) 114012

Motivation

1. Predicted but not observed before

Motivation

1. Predicted but not observed before
2. **Study the Wtb coupling**
   - Direct $|\mathrm{V_{tb}}|$ measurement (more later)
   - Unitarity test of CKM matrix
   - Anomalous Wtb couplings

Motivation

1. Predicted but not observed before
2. **Study the Wtb coupling**
   – Direct $|\mathrm{V_{tb}}|$ measurement (more later)
   – Unitarity test of CKM matrix
   – Anomalous Wtb couplings
3. Measurement of top quark properties:
   polarization, decay width, lifetime, mass...

Motivation

1. Predicted but not observed before
2. **Study the Wtb coupling**
   – Direct $|\mathrm{V_{tb}}|$ measurement (more later)
   – Unitarity test of CKM matrix
   – Anomalous Wtb couplings
3. Measurement of top quark properties:
   polarization, decay width, lifetime, mass...
4. **New physics**, example:
   – s-channel: heavy W', charged Higgs
   – t-channel: Flavour-changing neutral currents
   – 4-th quark generation?
5. Also, very similar to $WH(\rightarrow l\nu b\bar{b})$...



Signal and backgrounds samples

Single top signal

- CompHEP-SingleTop

W+jets

- Most difficult background
- Shapes from Alpgen
- Normalization and heavy flavour fraction from data

$t\bar{t}$

- Alpgen
- Normalized to $\sigma_{NNLO} = 6.8$ pb

Multijet events

- misidentified lepton, from data









Analysis Strategy

Strategy: Maximize signal acceptance – extract signal using multivariate techniques



Signature

- isolated lepton
- $\not{E}_T$
- jets
- at least 1 b-jet

Event selection

- One lepton:
  - electron: $p_T > 15$ GeV, $|\eta_{det}| < 1.1$
  - muon: $p_T > 18$ GeV, $|\eta_{det}| < 2$
- $\not{E}_T > 15$ GeV
- **2-4 jets**: $p_T > 15$ GeV, $|\eta| < 3.4$
  - Leading jet: $p_T > 25$ GeV, $|\eta_{det}| < 2.5$
  - Second leading jet: $p_T > 20$ GeV
  - **1-2 jets b-tagged**



b-tagging

Data-background model agreement

Expected N_{events}

$s+t$ **signal**	**62**
$t\bar{t}$	348
Wjj	174
Wbb & Wcc	675
multijet	201

data/bkg N_{events}

signal	**62**
background	**1399**
data	**1398**



The Decision Tree Analysis

- Single top signal sample trained against our background samples
- Idea: recover events that fail criteria in cut-based analysis
- Separate training per (lep, N_{tag}, N_{jet})-bin
- **Boosting** – give mis-classified events higher weight and re-train! (20 times)





Decision Tree Output

Build posterior $P(\sigma|D) \propto$

$\int_{a,b} P(D|\sigma, a, b) Prior(\sigma) Prior(a, b)$



Bayesian posterior

Ensemble Testing

Tested our machinery with many sets of **pseudo-data**

- Subset of our total pool of background events
- Individual statistical and systematical fluctuations
- Wonderful tool – like running DØ 1000s of times!
- Generated several ensembles with different single top content →



Ensemble Testing

Tested our machinery with many sets of **pseudo-data**

- Subset of our total pool of background events
- Individual statistical and systematical fluctuations
- Wonderful tool – like running DØ 1000s of times!
- Generated several ensembles with different single top content →



Significance

- Used 70,000 pseudo-datasets with no single top content
- Defined by fraction of datasets in which we measure at least the SM cross section (**expected significance** or at least the observed cross section (**observed significance**)

Expected results

Expected Decision Tree Results

Expected cross section	$2.7^{+1.5}_{-1.4}$ pb
Expected p-value	1.9%
Expected significance	2.1σ





Boosted decision tree observed results

$\sigma_{s+t} = 4.9 \pm 1.4$ pb
p-value $= 0.035\%$ (3.4σ)
SM compatibility: 11% (1.3σ)

Evidence!







Observed σ_{s+t} for all three analyses



- The three analyses give consistent results!
- Same data used (0.9 fb^{-1}) – therefore correlated

First direct measurement of $|V_{tb}|$

- Assuming $V_{td}^2 + V_{ts}^2 \ll V_{tb}^2$ and pure $V-A$ and CP-conserving Wtb interaction





$|V_{tb}f_1^L| = 1.3 \pm 0.2$

$0.68 < |V_{tb}| \leq 1$ @ 95% CL
(assuming $f_1^L = 1$,
flat prior in [0,1])

- No assumption about number of quark families or CKM unitarity

Conclusion

Using Decision Trees:

First evidence for single top production found at DØ!

$$\sigma(\mathbf{p\bar{p} \to tb + X, tqb + X}) = \mathbf{4.9 \pm 1.4\ pb}$$

3.4σ significance

First direct measurement of $|V_{tb}|$

$$|V_{tb} f_1^L| = 1.3 \pm 0.2$$

assuming $f_1^L = 1$: $0.68 < |V_{tb}| \leq 1$ @ 95% CL

(Assuming $V_{td}^2 + V_{ts}^2 \ll V_{tb}^2$ and pure $V-A$ and CP-conserving Wtb interaction)

hep-ex/0612052, submitted to PRL

- Working on combining our three mulitvariate analyses
- A lot more data ($\times$2!) already at hand

BACKUP SLIDES

Expected signal yields and S:B ratios

Percentage of single top *tb+tqb* selected events and S:B ratio (white squares = no plans to analyze)

Electron + Muon	1 jet	2 jets	3 jets	4 jets	≥ 5 jets
0 tags	10% 1 : 3,200	25% 1 : 390	12% 1 : 300	3% 1 : 270	1% 1 : 230
1 tag	6% 1 : 100	21% 1 : 20	11% 1 : 25	3% 1 : 40	1% 1 : 53
2 tags		3% 1 : 11	2% 1 : 15	1% 1 : 38	0% 1 : 43

Yields before b-tagging

Source	Event Yields in 0.9 fb^{-1} Data Electron+muon combined, before b-tagging		
	2 jets	3 jets	4 jets
tb	25	12	3
tqb	47	25	8
$t\bar{t} \to ll$	62	50	18
$t\bar{t} \to l$+jets	40	175	227
W+$b\bar{b}$	670	310	89
W+$c\bar{c}$	1,959	912	224
W+jj	10,160	3,138	728
Multijets	1,762	1,083	314
Total background	14,654	5,667	1,601
Data	14,652	5,665	1,601

Data-background agreement before b-tagging



- **Background model show good agreement with data!**

Agreement after tagging



Sample	# of Events
s&t-channel Signal	62
Wjj	174
tt→l+jets	266
Wbb & Wcc	675
Mis-ID's leptons	201
Diboson,tt→ dileptons	82

Totals	2 Jets	3 Jets	4 Jets
Data	697	455	246
Total Background	685	460	253
Signal	36	20	6



Systematics Uncertainties

Source of Uncertainty	Size
Top pairs normalization	18%
W+jets & multijets normalization	18–28%
Integrated luminosity	6%
Trigger modeling	3–6%
Lepton ID corrections	2–7%
Jet modeling	2–7%
Other small components	Few %
Jet energy scale	1–20%
Tag rate functions	2–16%

Cross-check samples



Measuring a cross section

Probability to observe data distribution D, expecting y:

$$y = \alpha l \sigma + \sum_{s=1}^{N} b_s \equiv a\sigma + \sum_{s=1}^{N} b_s$$

$$P(D|y) \equiv P(D|\sigma, a, b) = \prod_{i=1}^{nbins} P(D_i|y_i)$$



The cross section is obtained

$$Post(\sigma|D) \equiv P(\sigma|D) \propto \int_a \int_b P(D|\sigma, a, b) Prior(\sigma) Prior(a, b)$$

- Bayesian posterior probability density
- Shape and normalization systematics treated as nuisance parameters
- Correlations between uncertainties properly accounted for
- Flat prior in signal cross section

Decision Trees - 49 input variables

Object Kinematics

p_T(jet1)
p_T(jet2)
p_T(jet3)
p_T(jet4)
p_T(best1)
p_T(notbest1)
p_T(notbest2)
p_T(tag1)
p_T(untag1)
p_T(untag2)

Angular Correlations

ΔR(jet1,jet2)
cos(best1,lepton)$_{\mathrm{besttop}}$
cos(best1,notbest1)$_{\mathrm{besttop}}$
cos(tag1,alljets)$_{\mathrm{alljets}}$
cos(tag1,lepton)$_{\mathrm{btaggedtop}}$
cos(jet1,alljets)$_{\mathrm{alljets}}$
cos(jet1,lepton)$_{\mathrm{btaggedtop}}$
cos(jet2,alljets)$_{\mathrm{alljets}}$
cos(jet2,lepton)$_{\mathrm{btaggedtop}}$
cos(lepton,Q(lepton)$\times z$)$_{\mathrm{besttop}}$
cos(lepton$_{\mathrm{besttop}}$,besttop$_{\mathrm{CMframe}}$)
cos(lepton$_{\mathrm{btaggedtop}}$,btaggedtop$_{\mathrm{CMframe}}$)
cos(notbest,alljets)$_{\mathrm{alljets}}$
cos(notbest,lepton)$_{\mathrm{besttop}}$
cos(untag1,alljets)$_{\mathrm{alljets}}$
cos(untag1,lepton)$_{\mathrm{btaggedtop}}$

Event Kinematics

Aplanarity(alljets,W)
M(W,best1) ("best" top mass)
M(W,tag1) ("b-tagged" top mass)
H_T(alljets)
H_T(alljets$-$best1)
H_T(alljets$-$tag1)
H_T(alljets,W)
H_T(jet1,jet2)
H_T(jet1,jet2,W)
M(alljets)
M(alljets$-$best1)
M(alljets$-$tag1)
M(jet1,jet2)
M(jet1,jet2,W)
M_T(jet1,jet2)
M_T(W)
Missing E_T
p_T(alljets$-$best1)
p_T(alljets$-$tag1)
p_T(jet1,jet2)
Q(lepton)$\times\eta$(untag1)
$\sqrt{\hat{s}}$
Sphericity(alljets,W)

- Adding variables does not degrade performance
- Tested shorter lists, lost some sensitivity
- Same list used for all channels

Boosted decision tree event characteristics

$DT < 0.3$ | $DT > 0.55$ | $DT > 0.65$



Decision trees — Summary



The Matrix Element Analysis



Matrix Elements Results



$\sigma(tb+tqb) = 4.6\ ^{+1.8}_{-1.5}$ pb

Measured p-value = 0.21 %

Measured significance = 2.9 σ

Compatibility with SM = 21%





Bayesian Neural Network Analysis Results



$\sigma(tb+tqb) = 5.0 \pm 1.9$ pb

Measured p-value = 0.89 %

Measured significance = 2.4 σ

Compatibility with SM = 18%





s+t summary — Correlations



High discriminant correlation

Choose the 50 highest events in each discriminant and look for overlap

	Electron	Muon
DT vs ME	52%	58%
DT vs BNN	56%	48%
ME vs BNN	46%	52%

Linear correlation

Measured cross section in 400 members of SM ensemble with all three techniques and calculated the linear correlation between each pair

	DT	ME	BNN
DT	100%	39%	57%
ME		100%	29%
BNN			100%

Ensemble Testing

To verify that the machinery is working properly we test with many sets of **pseudo-data** – Random subsets from our total pool of background events

- Each subset correspond to 0.9 fb^{-1} data
- Individual statistical and systemtaical fluctuations for each pseudo-dataset according to our uncertainties
- Wonderful tool – like running DØ 1000s of times!

Ensemble Testing

To verify that the machinery is working properly we test with many sets of **pseudo-data** – Random subsets from our total pool of background events

- Each subset correspond to 0.9 fb^{-1} data
- Individual statistical and systemtaical fluctuations for each pseudo-dataset according to our uncertainties
- Wonderful tool – like running DØ 1000s of times!

Generated ensembles include:

1. 0-signal ensemble ($s + t\ \sigma = 0pb$)
2. SM ensemble ($s + t\ \sigma = 2.9pb$)
3. "Mystery" ensembles to test analyzers ($s + t\ \sigma = ??pb$)

Each analysis tests linearity of "response" to single top.



Sensitivity determination

- Use the 0-signal ensemble (68,000 pseudo-datasets)

Expected p-value

Fraction of 0-signal pseudo-datasets in which we measure at least 2.9 pb (SM σ)

Observed p-value

Fraction of 0-signal pseudo-datasets in which we measure at least the observed cross section

First direct measurement of $|V_{tb}|$

- **General form of *Wtb* vertex:**

$$\Gamma^{\mu}_{Wtb} = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} V_{tb} \left\{ \gamma^{\mu} \left[f_1^L P_L + f_1^R P_R \right] - \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}}{M_W} (p_t - p_b)_{\nu} \left[f_2^L P_L + f_2^R P_R \right] \right\}$$

- **Assume**
  - SM top quark decay : $V_{td}^2 + V_{ts}^2 \ll V_{tb}^2$
  - Pure *V–A* : $f_1^R = 0$
  - CP conservation : $f_2^L = f_2^R = 0$
- **No need to assume only three quark families or CKM matrix unitarity** (unlike for previous measurements using $t\bar{t}$ decays)
- Measure the strength of the *V–A* coupling, $|V_{tb} f_1^L|$, which can be > 1



Additional theoretical uncertainties		
	tb	*tqb*
Top mass	13 %	8.5 %
Scale	5.4 %	4.0 %
PDF	4.3 %	10 %
α_s	1.4 %	0.01 %