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The DØ Detector

Tracking Detector

Vertex Detector
Transition Radiation Detector
Central and Forward Drift Chambers

LAr Calorimeter

Projective geometry, 4 E.M. layers and 4-5 hadronic layers
E.M. calorimeter: 21 radiation lengths
$\Delta \eta \times \Delta \phi = 0.1 \times 0.1$ (0.05\times0.05 for E.M. layer 3)

$\Delta E/E \sim 15%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 0.4\%$ for e/$\gamma$

$\Delta E/E \sim 80%/\sqrt{E}$ for jets

Muon Spectrometer

Three layers of proportional drift tubes
with magnetized iron toroids with $B=1.9T$

$\Delta p/p \sim 20%/p \oplus 0.8\%$

$E_T$ Resolution

$\sim 1+0.02 \Sigma E_T$ (GeV)

Special Features

No central magnetic field
Two beam pipes, One wanted, one unwanted
Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model

Supersymmetry predicts a supersymmetric particle for every Standard Model particle

Under R-parity conservation
supersymmetric particles are pair produced

The lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP)
is stable and weakly interacting
escaping detection $\Rightarrow E_T$

MSSM is the minimal extension of the Standard Model

(1) add an extra Higgs doublet of opposite hypercharge
(2) supersymmetrization of the gauge theory

In the framework of MSSM

The Gaugino-Higgsino sector is parameterized by
four parameters: $M_1, M_2, \mu, \tan\beta$

Gauge/Higgs Bosons: $\gamma, Z^0, W^\pm, h^0, H^0, A^0, H^\pm, g$

Neutralinos/Charginos: $\tilde{\chi}_1^0, \tilde{\chi}_2^0, \tilde{\chi}_3^0, \tilde{\chi}_4^0, \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm, \tilde{\chi}_2^\pm, \tilde{g}$

The scalar sector is parameterized by many mass parameters

lepton/quark $(v,e)_L e_R (u,d)_L u_R d_R$
slepton/squark $(\tilde{v},\tilde{e})_L \tilde{e}_R (\tilde{u},\tilde{d})_L \tilde{u}_R \tilde{d}_R$

Different SUSY breaking leads to different class of models
Models with Dominant $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 + \gamma$ Decay

To explain a recent CDF $ee\gamma\gamma E_T$ event, it has been suggested (Kane et al. PRL 76, 3498 (1996))

$\tilde{e} \rightarrow e + \tilde{\chi}_2^0$ and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 + \gamma$

$\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ is mostly higgsino, $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ is mostly gaugino
only possible if $M_1 \sim M_2$, $\tan\beta \sim 1$ and $|\mu| < M_2$ in MSSM
No gaugino mass unification

Furthermore, the event kinematics and rate suggest

$m(\tilde{\chi}_2^0) - m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) > 20 \text{ GeV}/c^2$

$\text{Br}(\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 + \gamma) = 100\%$

Processes $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \tilde{e}\tilde{e}, \tilde{\nu}\tilde{\nu}, \tilde{\chi}_2^0\tilde{\chi}_2^0$
will result $\gamma\gamma E_T$ events at Tevatron
Clean signature, but small cross section.....

Processes $p\bar{p} \rightarrow (\tilde{q}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{\chi}_2^0) \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_2^0 + X$
will result $\gamma E_T$ events with multijets at Tevatron
Large cross section, large backgrounds.....
Search for $\gamma\gamma E_T$ Events

Using the event selection and the background estimation of our published $\gamma\gamma E_T$ analysis (PRL 80, 442 (1998))

$E_{T\gamma}^1 > 20$ GeV, $E_{T\gamma}^2 > 12$ GeV, $|\eta_{\gamma}| < 1.2$ or $1.5 < |\eta_{\gamma}| < 2.0$, $E_T > 25$ GeV

2 events observed and $2.3 \pm 0.9$ background events expected

we can set 95% CL upper limits on

$$\sigma(p\bar{p} \rightarrow \tilde{e}\tilde{e}, \tilde{\nu}\tilde{\nu}, \chi_2^0\chi_2^0 + X)$$

All these limits are well above the theoretical cross sections

No sensitivity to the models
Search for $\gamma E_T + \geq 2$-Jets Events

Signature and Backgrounds

One high $E_T$ photon, two or more jets
Large missing transverse energy

There is almost no Standard Model backgrounds at parton-level

But there are important instrumental backgrounds
(1) multijet, direct photon events
(2) $e+$jets ($W+$jets, $t\bar{t}$, ...) and $\nu+$jets events
Search for $\gamma E_T + \geq 2$-Jets Events

Selection of Base Sample

(1) $E_T^\gamma > 20$ GeV, $|\eta| < 1.2$ or $1.5 < |\eta| < 2.0$
(2) Two or more jets with $E_T^j > 20$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.0$
(3) $E_T > 25$ GeV

A total of 378 events are selected
(74 events with $\geq 3$-jets and 10 events with $\geq 4$-jets)
from a data sample of $\int Ldt = 99.4 \pm 5.4$ pb$^{-1}$

![Graph showing the distribution of $E_T$ and background events with cuts applied.](image-url)
Search for $\gamma \mathbb{E}_T + \geq 2$-Jets Events

**Multijet Backgrounds**

Multijet (with misidentified photon) and direct photon events with mismeasured $\mathbb{E}_T$ will fake $\gamma \mathbb{E}_T + \geq 2$-jets events

$\mathbb{E}_T$ mismeasurement can be modeled using multijet events with photon-like clusters

The estimated multijet background is $370.3 \pm 35.7$ events

**e/ν+jets Backgrounds**

Events with genuine $\mathbb{E}_T$ such as those from $W(\rightarrow e\nu)+$jets and $Z(\rightarrow \nu\nu)+$jets would fake $\gamma \mathbb{E}_T + \geq 2$-jets events if the electrons or jets were misidentified as photons

We estimate their contributions using the fake $P(e \rightarrow \gamma)$ and $P(\text{jet} \rightarrow \gamma)$ probabilities

The estimated e/ν+jets background is $5.3 \pm 0.8$ events

Total background $375.6 \pm 35.7$
Search for $\gamma E_T + \geq 2$-Jets Events

Jet Multiplicity

Events

$\gamma E_T + \geq 2$-jets
Background

$H_T = \sum E_T^j$

Events

$H_T$ (GeV)
Search for $\gamma E_T + \geq 2$-Jets Events

![Graph 1: Events vs. $E_T^\gamma$ (GeV) with data points for $\gamma E_T + \geq 2$-jets and a line for Background.]

![Graph 2: Events vs. (All) Jet $E_T$ (GeV) with data points for $\gamma E_T + \geq 2$-jets and a line for Background.]

Search for $\gamma E_{T} + \geq 2$-Jets Events

Signal Simulation

The production of $pp \rightarrow (\tilde{q}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{\chi}_2^0) \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_2^0 + X$ and decays of sparticles are modeled using SPYTHIA program.

The MSSM parameters ($M_1, M_2, \mu$ and $\tan \beta$) are varied within the constraints:

$$m(\tilde{\chi}_2^0) - m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) > 20 \text{ GeV/c}^2$$

$$\text{Br}(\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 + \gamma) = 100\%$$

Sleptons are assumed to be heavy so they do not affect decays of other sparticles. All stop productions (direct or indirect) are ignored.

We study three different cases:

1) $m(\tilde{q}) = m(\tilde{g})$  
   equal squark/gluino mass

2) $m(\tilde{q}) \gg m(\tilde{g})$  
   heavy squarks/light gluinos

3) $m(\tilde{q}) \ll m(\tilde{g})$  
   heavy gluinos/light squarks

For the case $m(\tilde{q}) = m(\tilde{g})$, the expected numbers of events are:

351 for $m(\tilde{q}) = 200 \text{ GeV/c}^2$ and 19 for $m(\tilde{q}) = 300 \text{ GeV/c}^2$ in the base sample.
Search for $\gamma E_T + \geq 2$-Jets Events

$E_T$ (GeV) vs. Events

- $m(q) = m(g)$
- $\gamma + \geq 2$-jets
- Background
- $m(q) = 200$ GeV/$c^2$
- $m(q) = 300$ GeV/$c^2$ ($\times 10$)

$H_T$ (GeV) vs. Events

- $E_T > 25$ GeV
- $\gamma E_T + \geq 2$-jets
- Background
- $m(q) = 200$ GeV/$c^2$
- $m(q) = 300$ GeV/$c^2$ ($\times 10$)
Search for $\gamma E_T + \geq 2$-Jets Events

Selection Optimization

The number of observed events (378) agrees well with $375.6 \pm 35.7$ events expected from background sources.

(For $\gamma E_T + \geq 3$-jet events, 74 observed compared with $75.8 \pm 16.2$ expected)

The base sample is dominated by multijet backgrounds. The background events and events expected from supersymmetry have very different $E_T$ and $H_T$ distributions.

To increase sensitivity to supersymmetry, we optimize the event selection in $E_T$-$H_T$ plane.

$E_T$ and $H_T$ cuts are varied to maximize the ratio $\varepsilon/\sigma_b$ for $m(\tilde{q})=m(\tilde{g})=300$ GeV/c$^2$.

The optimized cuts are $E_T > 45$ GeV and $H_T > 220$ GeV

For the optimized cuts, we observe 5 data events while $8.1 \pm 5.8$ background events are expected.

For $m(\tilde{q})=m(\tilde{g})=300$ GeV/c$^2$, the detecting efficiency for the signal is $(21.5 \pm 1.0 \pm 1.9)\%$ and 11.3 events are expected.
Search for $\gamma E_T + \geq 2$-Jets Events

$\langle m(q) \rangle = m(g)$

$E_T^\gamma$ (GeV) vs. Events
- $\gamma E_T + \geq 2$-jets
- Background
- $m(q) = 200$ GeV/$c^2$
- $m(q) = 300$ GeV/$c^2$ ($\times 10$)

Jet Multiplicity vs. Events
- $\gamma E_T + \geq 2$-jets
- Background
- $m(q) = 200$ GeV/$c^2$
- $m(q) = 300$ GeV/$c^2$ ($\times 10$)
Search for $\gamma E_T + \geq 2$-Jets Events

Interpretations of the Results

With 5 candidates and $8.1 \pm 5.8$ events expected we observe no excess of events.

We set a 95% CL low mass limit of 311 GeV/$c^2$ for equal squark/gluino mass in models with

$$m(\tilde{\chi}^0_2) - m(\tilde{\chi}^0_1) > 20 \text{ GeV}/c^2$$
$$\text{Br}(\tilde{\chi}^0_2 \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}^0_1 + \gamma) = 100\%$$

and heavy sleptons.

Kane et al., PRL 76, 3496 (1996)
Search for $\gamma E_T + \geq 2$-Jets Events

Interpretations of the Results

If squarks are heavy and gluinos are light
$$m(\tilde{g}) > 233 \text{ GeV}/c^2$$

If squarks are light and gluinos are heavy
$$m(\tilde{q}) > 219 \text{ GeV}/c^2$$

The $\sigma(p\bar{p} \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_2^0 + X)$ is higher if sleptons are light
and is lower if stop is light
Consequently, the mass limits vary about 10 GeV/c²

No sign of the models of Kane et al.
But the models are NOT ruled out if the squarks/gluinos
are heavy
Search for Energetic $\gamma\gamma$ Events

Introduction

We have searched for possible excess beyond the expected backgrounds in $\gamma\gamma+$jets and $\gamma\gamma+{E}_T$ final states and found nothing.

But how about energetic $\gamma\gamma$ events?

In fact, the existence of the monopole will result in anomalous $\gamma\gamma\rightarrow\gamma\gamma$ scattering at Tevatron.

Magnetic coupling, low $Q^2$ production resulting large $\sigma(pp\rightarrow\gamma\gamma+X)$ cross section.
Search for Energetic γγ Events

The Monopole Theory

The monopole was introduced by Dirac and later further developed by Schwinger

It symmetrizes electricity and magnetism and explains quantization of electric charge

\[ g = \frac{2\pi n}{e} \quad n = \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \]

\[ \alpha = \frac{e^2}{4\pi} \approx \frac{1}{137} \] \[ \Rightarrow \quad \alpha_g = \frac{g^2}{4\pi} = \frac{n^2}{4\alpha} \approx 34n^2 \]

The relic monopoles have been searched for extensively

Their flux is strongly constrained

However, these experiments are insensitive to the monopole mass

Accelerator experiments complement the flux experiments and are unique in exploring monopole mass reach

The L3 experiment sets a mass limit

\[ M > 510 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \]

by studying the \( Z^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma \) rate
Search for Energetic $\gamma\gamma$ Events

Selection of Base Sample

1) Two photons with $E_T^\gamma>40$ GeV and $|\eta^\gamma|<1.1$
2) No jet with $E_T^j>15$ GeV and $|\eta^j|<2.5$
3) No significant $E_T$, $E_T<25$ GeV

90 candidate events selected

Background Processes

Physics backgrounds due to similar loop diagrams with other particles are negligible

The QCD backgrounds due to dijets (jj), direct photons ($j\gamma$) and diphotons ($\gamma\gamma$) with jets misidentified as photons are estimated to be 25±9 events

The Drell-Yan backgrounds due to dielectron production with electrons misidentified as photons are estimated to be 63±7 events

The total estimated background events: 88±12, in good agreement with the 90 observed candidates
Search for Energetic γγ Events

Selection Optimization

To optimize the sensitivity to the monopole contribution we apply a cut on $S_T = \Sigma E_T^\gamma$

We vary the $S_T$ cut threshold ($S_T^{\text{min}}$) to achieve an expected background of 0.4 events

The $S_T^{\text{min}} = 250$ GeV cut corresponds to a background of $0.41 \pm 0.11$ events with no data events
Search for Energetic $\gamma\gamma$ Events

Cross Section Limit

The photon identification efficiency is 73%
the overall efficiency for selecting $\gamma\gamma$ events with
$\Sigma E_T^\gamma>250$ GeV and $|\eta^\gamma|<1.1$ is
$(52.8\pm1.4)\%$

The analysis is based on a sample of
$69.5\pm3.7$ pb$^{-1}$, the trigger did not require
the presence of an inelastic collision and therefore
was efficient for low $Q^2$ process

We set 95% CL upper cross section limit on the production of
two or more photons with $\Sigma E_T^\gamma>250$ GeV and $|\eta^\gamma|<1.1$
$\sigma(p\bar{p}\rightarrow\gamma\gamma+X)<0.083$ pb
Search for Energetic $\gamma\gamma$ Events

$S_T > 250$ GeV
Search for Energetic $\gamma\gamma$ Events

Monopole Interpretation

If we take the $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma + X$ cross section recently calculated by I.F. Ginzburg and A. Schiller (hep-ph/9802310)

$$\sigma(p\bar{p} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma + X) = 0.040 \ P(s) \left( \frac{n}{M \ (TeV)} \right)^8 \ pb$$

We set 95% CL limits on monopole mass $M/n$

$$M/n > \begin{cases} 
585 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \text{ if } s=0 \\
830 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \text{ if } s=1/2 \\
1500 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \text{ if } s=1
\end{cases}$$
Update on Leptoquark Searches

Introduction

Leptoquarks (LQ) are hypothesized exotic color-triplet bosons which couple to both quarks and leptons, LQ are expected to couple to leptons/quarks within a single generation.

Thanks to H1 and ZEUS experiments at HERA
The 1st generation LQ received much publicity in 1997

Leptoquarks would be dominantly pair produced via strong interactions at Tevatron. The signatures for the 1st generation LQ (→eq, vq’) are ee+jets, ev+jets and vv+jets.
Update on Leptoquark Searches

**ee+jets Analysis**

1) Two electrons with $E_T > 20$ GeV, $|\eta| < 1.1$ or $1.5 < |\eta| < 2.5$
2) Two jets with $E_T > 15$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.5$
3) $82 < M_{ee} < 100$ GeV/c$^2$
4) $S_T (\equiv E_T^{e_1} + E_T^{e_2} + \Sigma E_T^j) > 350$ GeV

No candidate was observed with the estimated $0.45 \pm 0.06$ background events
Update on Leptoquark Searches

**ev+jets Analysis**

1) One electron with $E_T > 20$ GeV, $|\eta| < 1.1$ or $1.5 < |\eta| < 2.5$
2) Two jets with $E_T > 20$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.5$
3) $E_T > 30$ GeV and point away from jets
4) NN (based on $S_T$ and mass variables) cut optimized
   for each assumed LQ mass for $M_{LQ} > 120$ GeV/$c^2$

$$S_T (\equiv E_T^e + E_T^{j1} + E_T^{j2} + E_T) > 400$$ GeV for $M_{LQ} < 120$ GeV/$c^2$

No candidate observed with the expected background events
ranging from 0.3 to 0.6 depending on the NN cut
Update on Leptoquark Searches

Interpretation of the Results

No excess of ee+jets and ev+jets events were observed

Combined with our $\nu\nu$+jets results for the stop search, we exclude the first generation scalar LQ of mass less than 225, 204, and 79 GeV/c$^2$ for $\beta=1$, 0.5, and 0, respectively

These results rule out a leptoquark interpretation of the excess of high $Q^2$ events at HERA within chiral models
Update on Leptoquark Searches

Vector Leptoquark

LQ can be either scalar or vector particles
Vector LQ has the same signatures as the scalar LQ

The ee+jets, ev+jets and νν+jets analyses
for the scalar LQ are applied to
the vector LQ search with small modifications

For Yang-Mills coupling, the lower limits on the vector LQ mass
are 340, 329 and 200 GeV/c² for β=1, 0.5 and 0, respectively
If supersymmetry is around the corner, then we still are trying to find out where the corner is...

Monopoles are cool, but we have not had any luck so far

Leptoquarks are getting cold...

Supersymmetry, monopoles and leptoquarks are interesting, we will take whatever God gives...